Record of Decision

USDA-Rural Development's Business and Industry Program is issuing this Record of Decision for:

Adoption of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the White Pass Ski Area Expansion, Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forests, and Gifford Pinchot National Forest, State of Washington

Decision and Reasons for the Decision

US Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Business and Cooperative Programs is considering an application from KeyBank for a guaranteed loan for the White Pass ski resort expansion project. The request is for a 70% loan guarantee on \$6.3 million. Rural Development requires an environmental analysis in compliance with its NEPA regulations at 7 CFR 1940-G, prior to a decision on any approval of the loan application. USDA Rural Development has reviewed the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) prepared by the US Forest Service, Okanogan, Wenatchee and Gifford Pinchot National Forests for the White Pass Expansion Master Development Plan Proposal dated June, 2007. The Forest Service issued a Record of Decision (ROD) approving the project and subsequently issued a special use authorization allowing the project to be constructed in accordance with the special use permit (SUP). The application for the loan guarantee to fund the expansion project, identified as Modification Alternative 4 in the FEIS and subsequent ROD, is substantially the same proposed action for the Guaranteed Business and Industry Program. RD has evaluated the analyses of the FEIS, and subsequent mitigation actions, and has determined that the FEIS meets the standards for NEPA compliance under its implementing regulations. By this ROD today, RD intends to adopt the Forest Service FEIS in its entirety in compliance with the RD NEPA requirements.

Background

White Pass Ski operations are located within the Okanogan, Wenatchee, and Gifford Pinchot National Forests, in the State of Washington (see Figure 1). The land is federally owned with the U.S. Forest Service serving as the land management agency. White Pass has a current ski operation on approximately 865 acres which is now in the process of expansion to cover approximately 1,572, all under the FS SUP.

The expansion and improvements to be guaranteed by the RD loan include constructing 18 new trails on 85 acres of terrain, installing two new chairlifts, constructing a new 2000-square foot, two-story mid-mountain lodge which will have 150 seats and restroom facilities. A 400-square foot wooden ticket booth with composting toilet will be constructed adjacent to the Yakima Ski Club building, in addition to the construction of a new 7-acre parking lot and utilities trenching into the ski trail clearings with aerial crossings over streams. (Figure 2 shows the area of current operations and expansion).

The Forest Service completed the FEIS (White Pass Expansion Master Development Plan Proposal) in June, 2007, and issued a Record of Decision which selected the alternative (Modified Alternative 4 of the FEIS) and stipulated a substantial number of mitigation measures to be implemented as part of the issued Special Use Permit (SUP). The mitigation measures are detailed in the 2009-2010 Implementation Plan. White Pass Ski Resort began construction related activities in 2007 and is mid-way through the planned construction. To date, tree removal activities, utility line installation, ski lift tower footings, mid-mountain lodge foundations, and trail re-routing (of a Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail segment) have been completed. All activities have been monitored by Forest Service personnel. Forest Service personnel report implementation of all construction to be on-schedule, and in accordance with the approved implementation plan (letter of Randy Shepard, District Ranger, September 4, 2009).

Applicable laws, regulations, and policies

The overall regulations for implementing NEPA are in 40 CFR Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality; specifically, Section 1506.3 provides for adoption of an Agency's EIS; RD Instruction 1940.324(a) further provides that another agency EIS may be adopted after completion if:

- 1- An independent review of the document is conducted (by RD) and it is concluded that the document meets the requirements of this subpart; and
- 2- That the actions covered in the EIS are substantially the same as those proposed (by RD) and the environmental conditions have not substantially changed since its publication; and that the circulation and timing requirements of subparagraphs (f), (g), and (j) of Section 1940.320, as well as Parts 1506.3(c), 1506.9, and 1506.10 of the CEQ regulations will apply.

It is the conclusion of RD environmental personnel that the FS FEIS meets the requirements of Subpart 1940, that the actions of the EIS are substantially the same as those proposed as the loan guarantee to KeyBank. Further, RD environmental personnel conclude that environmental conditions have not substantially changed since the publication of the FEIS, only that the proposed action has been partially implemented in it first year of construction, and that such implementation has been in accordance with the all permit conditions and mitigation measures of the FS.

Alternatives

The decision for RD is to guarantee the loan by KeyBank to White Pass for the purpose of construction operations under the approved SUP. While the actions of White Pass proposal are the same as under the FEIS, the decision by RD is qualitatively different from the one by the Forest Service. The FS was making a land use and permitting decision, and the consideration of alternatives was necessarily broader and site specific. Hence, the FEIS considered a number of alternatives relating to White Pass operations

and locations which are not relevant to the RD decision. Essentially, RD can approve the loan guarantee or not approve it. Non approval is the same as the No Action Alternative under the FEIS and forms the baseline to compare any environmental impacts. Approval of the loan guarantee is the proposed action.

Environmental Issues/Impacts

Table 1 (attached) summarizes the impacts of the proposed White Pass expansion compared to the No Action Alternative. The major issues of the FEIS dealt with protection of important water resources, notably wetlands, riparian buffers and reserves, scenic vistas for the Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail, and wildlife values.

Mitigation Measures

The FEIS proposed a number of mitigation measures during construction and operation to protect those important environmental resources. The FS ROD for FEIS adopted those mitigation measures and required them to be addressed under the SUP in the implementation plan. The Implementation Plan for 2009-2010 addresses all those mitigation measures of the SUP and details how construction activities will avoid, minimize or reduce the environmental impacts of construction in each area of proposed activity. Monitoring by FS personnel for specific resource protection activities is regular and current. To date, White Pass has demonstrated compliance with all mitigation activities recorded in the FS ROD and Implementation Plan.

Factors other than environmental consequences considered in making the decision

The analysis of Key Bank's proposal for White Pass Ski Resort is presented in a separate loan application evaluation governed by RD Business and Cooperative Programs' regulations and policies.

Identification of environmental document(s) considered in making the decision

USDA, Forest Service, White Pass Expansion Master Development Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement, June, 2007

USDA, Forest Service, White Pass Expansion Master Development Plan, Record of Decision, June 2007

USDA, Forest Service, Implementation Plan, White Pass Expansion, May 2009.

USDA, Forest Service, Letter of September 4, 2009 to Frank Mancino, USDA, RD.

Public involvement conducted

In accordance with its NEPA regulations, RD published a notice in the local newspaper and EPA published a Notice in the Federal Register on September 14, 2009 on the intent to adopt the FS FEIS and requested comments during the 30 day review period. RD sent

letters to twenty eight agencies at the Federal, State and local level (see Table 2). The letters summarized the White Pass proposal and the RD decision, provided an on-line location for accessing the complete FEIS, and requested comments on the RD action. To date, six comment letters have been received. The Yakima Regional Clean Air Agency pointed out that White Pass Ski should file a Dust Control Plan with the YRCCA, and an order of approval must be issued prior to the start of construction. This comment has been transmitted to White Pass Ski, and they are responsible for complying with the YRCCA for the construction activities which may require YRCCA approval.

The State of Washington, Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation concurred with the mitigation conditions for professional archaeological monitoring of selected areas and requested a copy of the monitoring report which will be provided to them by the FS.

The State of Washington, Department of Transportation noted that prior to the construction of the access connection from the new parking lot to US 12, a WSDOT Access permit will be required, and provided a name and contact for additional information regarding the Access Connection Permit. This letter will be sent to White Pass Ski so they may obtain the necessary permit for the connection.

The State of Washington, Department of Ecology noted a recent court decision affecting water rights within the State of Washington, and questioned if White Pass Ski Resort had sufficient water capacity. The FEIS demonstrated that the demand for water at White Pass under the Selected Alternative (Alternative 4) would be approximately 40% of the current storage capacity of 52,000 gallons (Table 3.13-3, page 3-432). Therefore, sufficient water capacity exists and the outcome of any court decision upon existing water users in the State of Washington is considered remote and speculative at this time.

The State of Washington, Department of Ecology also recommended the use of a recycling program and green materials development as part of the construction and operation of facilities, such as the Mid-Mountain Lodge. RD will provide those comments to White Pass for their consideration.

The State of Washington, Department of Ecology questioned whether the Wetlands survey and analyses of the FEIS included isolated wetlands, and recommended they be identified for appropriate mitigation, as part of State regulatory authority over waters of the State of Washington. Section 3-3 of the FEIS analyzed impacts to all waters and wetlands within the project area, and Appendix C of the FEIS identified all wetlands within the SUP, including isolated wetlands. The FEIS and FS ROD identified mitigation measures to avoid/or reduce impacts to wetlands, and those measures are addressed in the Implementation Plan. White Pass does need to obtain a permit from the Department of Ecology for a NPDES Section 401 Water Quality Certification, and it is recommended that White Pass consult with Washington DOE at that time about any additional measures to address isolated wetlands.

Timing of Action

In accordance with 40 CFR 1506.3 and RD Instruction 1940.324(a), a final EIS may be adopted by RD after circulating the FEIS for a 30 day comment period. That comment period is now over and the comments received have been addressed above. RD has fulfilled its NEPA responsibilities for timing and comment and may adopt the FS FEIS through this Record of Decision.

Implementation Date:

September 24, 2009

Contact Person:

Frank Mancino, RD/PSS 202-720-1827

Signature and Date

Pandor H. Hadjy, Acting Deputy Administrator,

Business Programs, Rural Development

Date

Attachments: Table 1, Table 2, Figure 1, Figure 2

Table 1: Comparison of Environmental Impacts by Alternative

Project Components	No Action	Modified	EIS
State of the state	Alt. 1	Alt. 4	References
Climate and Snow			
Avalanche	As needed	Increased on	Section 3.1.3.2
Control	along cliff	an as needed	
	band	basis	
Potential Dispersal of	No	Yes	
Backcountry Skiers to	*		
High Avalanche Hazard Areas			
Geology and Soils			
Grading Impacts (acres)	0.0	+19.6	Table 3.2.4
Total Graded Area (acres)	45.1 (existing)	64.7	Table 3.2.1
Impervious Surface Impacts	0.0	+8.1	Table 3.2.3
(acres)			
Total Impervious Surface	35.9	44.0	
Impacts (acres)			
Detrimental Soil Condition	0.0	+8.1	
Impacts (acres)			
Total Detrimental Soil	45.11	53.2	
Conditions (acres)			
% of White Pass Study Area	2.9%	3.4%	` .
With Detrimental Soil			
Conditions			
			1
Water and Watershed		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Total Stream Crossings	28	51	Tables 3.3-2, 3.3-
			10 and 3.3-11
Stream Stability Impacts	0.0	+0.5	Tables 3.3-6 and
(miles)			3.3-12
Total Unstable Streambanks	1.5	2.0	
(miles)			
Wetland Impacts (acres)	2.3	+0.1	Tables 3.3-3 and 3.3-13
Total Wetland Impacts (acres)	2.3	2.4] 3.3413
T ()	=,0		
Riparian Reserve Impacts (acres)	0.0	+25.8	Table 3.3-14
Riparian Influence Area Impacts	~ 0.0	+5.9	Table 3.3-16
(acres)		- ,	
Fisheries			
Fish Presence	None	None	Section 3.4.2
			5500011 5.4.2

	Vegetation			
	Vegetation Community Impacts (acres)	0.0	+44.7	Table 3.5-5
	Wildlife			
	Riparian Reserves Impacts (acres)	0.0	+25.8	Table 3.6-7
	Impacts to Forested Riparian Reserves (acres)	0.0	+24.8	Table 3.6-7
	Total Forested Riparian Reserves (acres)	522.7	497.9	
	Air Quality			
	Exceed 24-hr. PM25 Standard? Exceed 24-hr. PM10 Standard?	No	No	Section 3.8.2
	1.00	No	No	_
	Exceed 1-hr. CO Standard?	No	No	_
u syk trok e osa. Na sautosa	Heritage Resources NRHP Eligible Resources Affected?	No	No	Section 3.9.6.2
	Non-eligible Heritage Resources affected?	No	No	
	NRHP Eligible Traditional Cultural Heritage Resources	No	No	
	affected?			
	Non-eligible Traditional Cultural Heritage Resources affected?	No	No	
	Social/Economics		_1	
	Environmental Justice	No disproportionate effects to minority or low income		Section 3.10.3.
		populations		
-	Employment			
	Full time	18	+2	Table 3.106
·	Seasonal	144	+20	
. ⊢	Total	162	184	
<u> </u>	Recreation	2.670	7 2 2 2 2	
· -	CCC	2,670	3,800	Section 3.11.3.
. –	Number of lifts	5	7	Section 3.11.3.2
. 	Number of trails	37	55	C4' 2.11.2
	Nordic Trails (km) Visits in Year 1	13.64	11.55	Section 3.11.3-
<u> </u>	Visits in Year 5	109,782	149,782	Table 3.11-4
<u></u>	Visits in Year 10	115,382 121,268	157,422 165,453	4

Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail (PCNST)	No Change	PCNST Reroute in view of	Section 3.11.3.6
		Chairlift	
		Terminal	
Transportation			
Parking (visitors/vehicles)	2,890/1109	3,800/1505	Table 3.12- FEIS1
Unpaved Road Length (miles)	6.2	6.2	Tables 3.12-1
Paved Road Length (miles)	0.5	0.5	and 3.12-2
Road Density (mi/sqmi)	2.7	2.7	
US 12 LOS	LOS B	LOS C	Section 3.12.3
Utilities			
Power (kW)	Transformer: 2,970	4,000	Sections 3.13.2.3 and 3.13.3
Peak Water Demand	12,561	20,566	Table 3.13-3
(gallons/day)		1.0	
Wastewater	Existing	Mid-Mountain	Sections 3.13.2.6
en e	Treatment	Treatment	and 3.13.3
	facility; design	facility and	
	capacity	drainfield; at	
	12,000 GPD	base area	·
		possible	
	· ·	equalization	
		and/or	
		drainfield	
New Structures	None	Mid Mountain	Section 3.12.3
		Lodge and	
		Ticket Booth	
Inventoried Roadless Areas			
Inventoried Roadless Areas	No Change	Development	Section 3.14
(IRA)		within 767	,
		acres of the	
		White Pass	
		IRA would	
		disqualify this portion of the	
		IRA from	
		placement on	
		the inventory	
		of potential	
		wilderness	
		areas	
Visual Resources			
Viewpoints#1-5	Retention/High	Retention/High	Section 3.15.3

Noise			
Maximum Noise Levels during construction at a distance of 50 ft	N/A	93dBA	Section 3.16.2
Effect of Operations	Similar to operations in Year 2007	Similar to operations in Year 2007 with slight increase in noise due to increased traffic and facilities	

(Source: FEIS Pages ES-9 -13)

Table 2: List of Agencies Contacted

Ken S. Berg United States Dept. of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Western Washington Office 510 Desmond Drive SE, Suite 102 Olympia, WA 98503

Lewis County Commissioners Lewis County Courthouse 351 NW North Street Chehalis, WA 98532-1900

District Ranger Naches Ranger District 10237 US Highway 12 Naches, WA 98937

Superintendent Mount Rainier National Park 55210 238th Avenue East Ashford, WA 98304

US Army Corps of Engineers PO Box 3755 Seattle, WA 98124-3755 Rob Whitlam, Ph.D. State Archeologist Dept. of Archeology and Historic Preservation PO Box 48343 Olympia, WA 98504-8343

Yakima Regional Clean Air Authority 6 South 2nd Street Suite 1016 Yakima, WA 98901

Ms. Allison O'Brien Regional Environmental Assistant US Dept. of Interior 500 NE Multinomah Street, Suite 356 Portland, OR 97232

Executive Director Southwest Clean Air Agency 11815 NE 99th Street, Suite 1294 Vancouver, WA 98682-2322

US Army Corps of Engineers Portland District PO Box 2946 Portland, OAR 97208-2946 Gifford Pinchot National Forest Headquarters 10600 NE 51st Circle Vancouver, WA 98682

Mr. Tom Tebb, Regional Director Department of Ecology Central Regional Office 15 West Yakima Avenue, Suite 200 Yakima, WA 98902-3452

Mr. Jay Manning, Director Department of Ecology PO Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Ms. Mary Selecky, Secretary of Health Washington State Dept. of Health 101 Israel Road SE Tumwater, WA 98501

Mr. Peter Goldmark
Office of the Commissioner of Public Lands
PO Box 47001
Olympia, WA 98504-7001

Gary Pruitt, Executive Director Yakima Regional Clean Air Agency 329 North First Street Yakima, WA 98901-2303 US Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Regional Administrator's Office, RA-140 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101

Ms. Sally Toteff, Regional Director Department of Ecology Southwest Regional Office PO Box 47775 Olympia, WA 98504-7775

Mr. Phil Anderson, Interim Director Washington State Dept. of Fish and Wildlife 500 Capital Way North Olympia, WA 98501

Donald R. Wagner, Regional Administrator Dept. of Transportation, SW Region 11018 NE 51st Circle Vancouver, WA 98682-6686

Regional Administrator Dept of Transportation, South Central Region PO Box 12560 Yakima, WA 98909-2560

Yakima County Commissioners Yakima County Courthouse 128 North 2nd Street Yakima, WA 98901 Robyn Thorson, Regional Director US Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Region 911 NE 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97232

The Honorable William Iyall, Chair Cowlitz Tribe 1055 9th Avenue, Suite B Longview, WA 98632

The Honorable David Lopeman, Chair Squaxin Island Tribe SE 10 Squaxin Lane Shelton, WA 98584 The Honorable Ralph Sampson, Chair Confederated Bands and Tribes of the Yakama Nation PO Box 151 Toppenish, WA 98948

The Honorable Cynthia Iyall, Chair Nisqually Tribe 4820 She-Nah-Num Drive SE Olympia, WA 98513 Figure 1 White Pass Location Figure 2 Project Locations



