

# Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 111

Thursday, June 9, 2016

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Utilities Service

#### 7 CFR Part 1738

RIN 0572-AC06

#### Rural Broadband Access Loans and Loan Guarantees

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule; confirmation.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS), hereinafter referred to as the Agency, is confirming the interim final rule published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2015, which amends its regulation for the Rural Broadband Access Loan and Loan Guarantee Program (Broadband Loan Program).

**DATES:** Effective June 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Keith Adams, Assistant Administrator, Telecommunications Program, Rural Utilities Program, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., STOP 1590, Room 5151-S, Washington, DC 20250-1590. Telephone number: (202) 720-9554, Facsimile: (202) 720-0810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Since the inception of the Broadband Loan Program, the Agency has faced, and continues to face, significant challenges in delivering the program due to the following factors: (1) The significant number of applicants proposing to offer broadband service that are start-ups with limited resources; (2) the continual evolution of telecommunications technology; and (3) the associated higher costs of serving rural communities. With the enactment of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (the 2014 Farm Bill), the Broadband Loan Program has been significantly modified, and was suspended while the Agency revised this regulation. Given that the program was unable to operate during the

revision, the Agency published an interim rule on July 30, 2015 in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 45397) so that the program could immediately reopen. The Agency invited comments to guide its efforts in drafting the new procedures implementing the 2014 Farm Bill and received comments from the National Cable & Telecommunications Association, WTA-Advocates for Rural Broadband and Mr. James Cook. These comments and the Agency's responses are summarized as follows:

#### Broadband Service and Broadband Lending Speed Definitions

*Comment:* Respondents commented that the definitions for Broadband Service, which sets the eligibility standard for an area, and Broadband Lending Speed, which sets the construction standard, are too low and should be raised to higher standards to be more in line with the current Federal Communications Commission (FCC) definition for broadband of 25 megabits downstream and 3 megabits upstream.

*Response:* As the respondents noted in their comments, the regulation establishes a process to change these definitions by publishing new requirements when a NOSA/NOFA is published opening up an application window. The Agency agrees that higher definitions would be beneficial to rural residents. However, when these definitions are set, it is not only the bandwidth requirement for rural areas that is considered but also the amount of funding that is available for any given application window. If a higher definition for bandwidth is used, more of the country then becomes eligible for funding. When limited funding is available, the Agency has to ensure that those funds are directed to the most unserved rural areas. The Agency will re-evaluate these requirements every time a NOSA/NOFA is published and set the standards accordingly.

#### Overbuilding

*Comment:* Respondents commented that the RUS investment should go into unserved areas and that the Agency count all terrestrial providers in the proposed service territory when determining area eligibility.

*Response:* RUS agrees that unserved areas should be the target of the program. To ensure that all terrestrial broadband providers are counted in an

area where an application is being considered, RUS has developed a multi-layer approach to locate them. First, when an application is submitted, the proposed service territory maps will be posted online utilizing the RUS Mapping Tool and existing service providers may respond to the public notice. If three or more existing providers are identified in the area and they meet the minimum eligibility speeds, then that area is considered ineligible for funding. If no providers respond to the public notice, then the Agency will have its field staff visit the proposed service area and locate all broadband providers in the area. The field staff will contact these providers and request that they respond to the public notice.

#### Area Eligibility

*Comment:* One respondent suggested that instead of three incumbents making a service area ineligible for funding, that the requirement be changed to two incumbents. The respondent also suggested that the definition of broadband service be raised to the current FCC definition for broadband of 25 megabits downstream and three megabits upstream.

*Response:* Although there is merit in using a higher bandwidth definition to determine area eligibility, the requirement that three incumbents in an area make that area ineligible is a statutory requirement and cannot be changed. The regulation does allow for the eligibility definition to be changed and the Agency will consider higher speeds whenever a NOSA/NOFA is published. As stated previously, the Agency must also recognize the amount of funding that is available each time an application window is opened and will set the eligibility definition accordingly.

#### Affordable Rates

*Comment:* One commenter reiterated that broadband service in rural areas needs to be affordable.

*Response:* Applicants must complete a market survey and a competitive analysis of all providers in the proposed service area as part of the application. This ensures that price points are set at the proper level for the area in question and that the operation is sustainable. There is a balance between providing high quality broadband service and charging the appropriate rate. It must be recognized that in less dense population

areas, it may be necessary to charge a higher rate to ensure the viability of the operation.

#### Affiliated Companies

*Comment:* One commenter proposed that affiliate or affiliated companies providing broadband service in the same proposed funded service area should be recognized as one incumbent service provider when considering if an area is eligible for funding.

*Response:* Each company that is providing broadband service in an area and meets the definition of an incumbent service provider will be counted as an incumbent service provider in determining the eligibility of an area. RUS cannot treat legally established companies properly acting as independent companies as the same entity.

#### Broadband Usage Restrictions

*Comment:* One commenter recommended that if a company has capped the amount of bandwidth that is allowed for a given period, that additional standards should be established in determining if this provider would be counted as an incumbent service provider when determining service eligibility.

*Response:* The Agency appreciates this suggestion and will consider it during the next revision to the regulation. The main goal of the program is to provide funding to areas that do not have any broadband service. Most companies that cap bandwidth have options where a consumer can buy more bandwidth at an additional cost.

#### Wireless Broadband Service

*Comment:* One commenter recommended that wireless solutions for broadband service should be validated during busy hour/busy time when determining if the wireless provider meets the definition for an incumbent service provide when determining area eligibility.

*Response:* There are many levels of providing broadband service and a number of ways for determining this. The Agency has elected to use advertised broadband rates that are being sold and to validate that this level of service is being provided in an area. We will consider implementing additional tests the next time the regulation is revised. If tests of this nature are implemented for wireless service providers then corresponding tests will have to be implemented for wireline service providers.

The Agency appreciates the interest of the commenters and thanks them for their comment submissions.

The Rural Utilities Service did not receive any significant adverse comments during the public comment period on the interim rule, and therefore confirms the rule without change.

#### PART 1738—RURAL BROADBAND ACCESS LOANS AND LOAN GUARANTEES

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 7 CFR part 1738 which was published at 80 FR 45397 on July 30, 2015, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: May 26, 2016.

**Brandon McBride,**

*Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13302 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2016-4233; Directorate Identifier 2016-CE-003-AD; Amendment 39-18540; AD 2016-11-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; BLANIK LIMITED Gliders

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are superseding airworthiness directive (AD) 99-19-33 for BLANIK LIMITED Models L-13 Blanik and L-13 AC Blanik gliders (type certificate previously held by LET Aeronautical Works). This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as lack of distinct color marking of the elevator drive. We are issuing this AD to require actions to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD is effective July 14, 2016.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain other publication listed in this AD as of November 8, 1999 (64 FR 50440, September 17, 1999).

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-

4233; or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

For service information identified in this AD, contact BLANIK LIMITED, 2nd Floor Beaux Lane House, Mercer Street Lower, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland; phone: +420 733 662 194; email: [info@blanik.aero](mailto:info@blanik.aero); Internet: [http://www.blanik.aero/%EF%BB%BFcustomer\\_support](http://www.blanik.aero/%EF%BB%BFcustomer_support). You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148. It is also available on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for Docket No. FAA-2016-4233.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Rutherford, Aerospace Engineer, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4165; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [jim.rutherford@faa.gov](mailto:jim.rutherford@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to BLANIK LIMITED Models L-13 Blanik and L-13 AC Blanik gliders. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2016 (81 FR 11473), and proposed to supersede AD 99-19-33, Amendment 39-11320 (64 FR 50440; September 17, 1999) ("AD 99-19-33").

The NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products and was based on mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country. The MCAI states that:

Colour marking of elevator drive is not inspected or re-painted during sailplane operation. The elevator drive is asymmetrical and improper installation causes significant elevator deflection changes.

The MCAI can be found in the AD docket on the Internet at: <https://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=FAA-2016-4233-0003>.

A review of records since issuance of AD 99-19-33 revealed that the FAA inadvertently did not address this MCAI for the EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Models L 13 SEH VIVAT and L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders and the BLANIK LIMITED Model L-13 AC Blanik gliders. This AD would supersede AD 99-19-13 to add