APPENDIX I
WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT

Wetland Delineation Report

Badger State Solar Project
Jefferson, Wisconsin

Lead Delineators: Brian Karczewski,
Matt Knickelbine, Timothy Botting

Prepared for:
Badger State Solar, LLC
20 Jay St. #900
Brooklyn, NY 11201

Prepared by:
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
12075 Corporate Parkway
Suite 200
Mequon, Wisconsin 53092
Phone: (262) 241-3133
Fax: (262) 241-4901

April 19, 2019

WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT

Badger State Solar Project
SIGNATURE PAGE
April 19, 2019


This document entitled Wetland Delineation Report was prepared by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. ("Stantec") for the account of Badger State Solar, LLC (the "Client").

Prepared by 

Brian J. Karczewski, Senior Project Manager

Reviewed by

Sarah Kraszewski, Senior Ecologist, PWS

Reviewed by 

Justin Funk, Senior Project Manager

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1.2

2.0 METHODS 2.3
2.1 WETLANDS 2.3
2.2 WATERWAYS 2.5

3.0 RESULTS 3.6
3.1 SITE DESCRIPTION 3.6
3.2 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS 3.6
3.3 WETLANDS 3.7
3.3.1 Vegetation 3.7
3.3.2 Soils 3.8
3.3.3 Hydrology 3.8
3.3.4 Wetland Boundary 3.8
3.4 UPLANDS 3.17
3.5 WATERWAYS 3.17

4.0 CONCLUSION 4.20

5.0 REFERENCES 5.21

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. Summary of Wetlands Identified within the Study Area
Table 3. Summary of Waterways Identified within the Study Area

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – TABLE 1 – STUDY AREA NRCS SOIL TYPES A.1

APPENDIX B – FIGURES B.2

APPENDIX C – WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS C.9

APPENDIX D – SITE PHOTOGRAPHS D.10

APPENDIX E – WETS ANALYSIS E.11

APPENDIX F – DESKTOP HISTORIC AERIAL REVIEW – COMBINED RESULTS F.12

APPENDIX G – DRAIN TILE MAPS G.13

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Badger State Solar, LLC (Badger) is preparing an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for submission to the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (PSC) to construct and place in utility service a 149-megawatt (MW) AC solar farm. The project facilities are located within the Towns of Jefferson and Oakland, Jefferson County, Wisconsin.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) performed a wetland determination and delineation of the Badger State Solar Project (the "Study Area") on behalf of Badger State Solar, LLC. The wetland delineation was led by Brian Karczewski, Matt Knickelbine, Timothy Botting, Jennifer Kamm, and Kurt Rubsam of Stantec. An overview of the Study Area is provided on Figure 1 – Appendix B.

The Study Area is approximately 1,750 acres in size and located in Jefferson County, Wisconsin. The purpose and objective of the wetland determination and delineation was to identify the extent and spatial arrangement of wetlands, as well as to identify potentially jurisdictional waterways, within the Study Area. Field delineations were completed from July 9th to July 20th, and from October 29th to October 30th, 2018.

Wetland and waterways may be subject to federal regulation under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), state regulation under the jurisdiction of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), and local regulation under jurisdiction of the local county, town, city, or village.

Prior to Stantec performing its wetland determination and delineation effort detailed in the following text, a delineation of portions of the Badger State Solar site was completed by Tetra Tech on March 15, 2018. Refer to section 3.2 of this document for a description of this work.

2.0 METHODS

2.1 WETLANDS

Wetland determinations were based on the criteria and methods outlined in the *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual,* Technical Report Y-87-1 (1987) and subsequent guidance documents, and applicable Regional Supplements to the *Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual*.

The wetland determination involved the use of available resources to assist in the assessment such as U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey, WDNR Wisconsin Wetland Inventory (WWI) mapping, and aerial photography.

Field access was provided on all parcels within the Project area. Field delineated wetlands are symbolized uniquely on the attached figures in Appendix B.

On-site wetland determinations were made using the three criteria (vegetation, soil, and hydrology) and technical approach defined in the USACE 1987 Manual and applicable Regional Supplement. According to procedures described in the 1987 Manual and applicable Regional Supplement, areas that under normal circumstances reflect a predominance of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology (e.g., inundated or saturated soils) are considered wetlands.

As recent weather patterns influence the visibility and presence of some wetland hydrology indicators, the antecedent precipitation in the three months leading up to the field investigation was reviewed. The current year's precipitation data were compared to long-term (30-year) precipitation averages and standard deviation to determine if precipitation was normal, wet, or dry for the area using the Climate Analysis for Wetlands Tables, also known as a WETS analysis as developed by the NRCS.

A review of USDA National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) aerial imagery and Farm Service Agency crop slides using the Minnesota Guidance for Offsite Hydrology / Wetland determinations (USACE, 2016) methodology was conducted for the Study Area to assist in the wetland determination because farmed areas with mapped poorly drained or somewhat poorly drained soils are present. Table 1 (Appendix A) summarizes the NRCS soil types within the Study Area. The aerial imagery was reviewed for the appearance of wetland signatures. A wetland signature is field evidence, recorded by aerial imagery, of ponding, flooding, or impacts of saturation for sufficient duration, which meets wetland hydrology and possibly wetland vegetation criteria. Wetland signatures may vary based on the type and seasonal date of the aerial imagery. Signatures visible on NAIP aerial imagery in cropland for Wisconsin have been categorized as follows (USDA, NRCS 1998):

1. Hydrophytic vegetation (seen as a different color of green)

2. Surface water (usually black or white)
3. Drowned-out crops (bare soil or mud flats)
4. Differences in color due to different planting dates or isolated areas not farmed with the rest of the field
5. Inclusions of wet areas in set-aside program
6. Patches of greener color in "dry" years
7. Crop stress (yellow) or sparse canopy (light green)

The antecedent precipitation in the months leading up to each aerial image was reviewed and compared to long-term (30-year) precipitation averages and standard deviation to determine if each year was normal, wet, or dry using a WETS analysis (Appendix E).

Soils mapped as "poorly" and "somewhat poorly" drained soils were identified within the Study Area. Available aerial imagery was analyzed for signatures of wetness consistency in these areas (Appendix F - Desktop Aerial Imagery Analysis). Areas within agricultural fields were identified as potential wetland if they contained hydric soils and 50% or more of the aerial images taken in the most recent normal precipitation years showed any of the wetland signatures listed above. Potential wetland areas were identified based on the aerial image having the largest wetland boundary during a "normal" rainfall year if signatures were apparent in at least 50% of normal precipitation years (USDA, NRCS 1998). These areas were investigated further in the field to document hydrologic, vegetation and soil characteristics at each identified location.

Wetlands within agricultural land were delineated based on field indicators present at the time field investigations were completed, taking into consideration antecedent precipitation. Wetland indicators that were taken into consideration in agricultural lands included:

- Crop stress (stunting, yellowing, etc.)
- Topography (low-lying areas or depressions)
- Indicator status of plants comprising the weed community
- Hydrologic indicators (e.g., visible surface hydrology, farm-around areas, etc.)
- NRCS hydric soil units

Prior to wetland delineation field efforts, a preliminary reconnaissance of the Study Area was used to determine the general topography and plant communities in the area, and to identify suitable locations for sampling transects. At least one pair of upland / wetland sample points was typically recorded at a representative location at each wetland to document the rationale for boundary placement using the above-described methods. Data collected from a single upland sample point was used if a desktop identified wetland signature lacked wetland hydrology or hydric soil indicators in the field.

The following was conducted at each sample point:

1. The presence or absence of normal circumstances was determined.
2. The plant community was characterized by identifying dominant plant species using the "50/20" rule. For each stratum in the plant community, dominant species are those (when

ranked in descending order of abundance and cumulatively totaled) that immediately exceed 50% of the total dominance measure for the stratum, plus any additional species comprising 20% or more of the total dominance measure for the stratum. Wetland indicator status (OBL-UPL) is ranked by percent probability of the species occurrence in wetlands as follows:

- OBL = Obligate Wetland, occurs with an estimated 99 percent probability of occurrence in wetlands;
- FACW = Facultative Wetland, estimated 67 to 99 percent probability of occurrence in wetlands;
- FAC = Facultative, equally likely to occur in wetlands and non-wetlands (34 to 66 percent probability);
- FACU = Facultative Upland, 67 to 99 percent probability of occurrence in non-wetlands, 1 to 33 percent in wetlands;
- UPL = Obligate Upland, greater than 99 percent probability of occurrence in non-wetlands in this region; and
- NI = No indicator, insufficient information available to determine an indicator status.

3. Hydrology was assessed by observing primary (i.e., inundation, saturation within the root zone, water marks, etc.) and secondary (i.e., drainage patterns, geomorphic position, FAC-Neutral test, etc.) indicators of wetland hydrology.
4. Soil pits were dug to a depth of at least 20 inches, where capable, and the soil was evaluated for hydric soil indicators.

The wetland boundary and sampling points were identified and surveyed with a Global Positioning System (GPS) capable of sub-meter accuracy and mapped using Geographical Information System (GIS) software. Representative photographs of all wetlands and upland data point were collected and are included in Appendix D.

2.2 WATERWAYS

Observed waterways, waterbodies, and/or other connections to off-site wetland or aquatic features were mapped and described. Geomorphological characteristics of each waterway were collected at a waterway sample point, including width and height of top of bank, width and height of ordinary high-water mark, channel substrate, approach slope, turbidity, and flow rate. The waterway lines, polygons, and sample point locations were surveyed using a GPS unit and mapped with GIS software.

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Study Area is located in the Townships of Jefferson and Oakland, west of the City of Jefferson, and is comprised of active agricultural fields, wetlands, upland forests, and fallow fields. The section boundaries are displayed on Figure 1.

Soils present within the Study Area and their hydric status are summarized in Table 1 (Appendix A). Wetlands identified during the field investigation are located primarily within areas mapped as hydric or partially hydric soils and wetland indicator soils (Appendix B, Figure 2 and 3).

Average precipitation for the Study Area was obtained from the Lake Mills, Wisconsin, weather station and used for the WETS analysis for the historical aerial review. From this analysis it was determined that 2015, 2013, 2008, 2006, 2000, and 1997 were considered "normal" precipitation years. This analysis is documented in Appendix F.

Average precipitation for the Study Area was obtained from the Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin weather station and used for the WETS analysis prior to the field investigations completed in 2018. A total of 12.64 inches of precipitation occurred in the three-month period prior to the field investigation in July 2018 compared to the long-term average of 10.44 inches. Based on the WETS analysis, precipitation conditions were wetter than normal prior to the July 2018 survey (Appendix E).

3.2 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Prior to Stantec performing its wetland determination and delineation effort detailed in the following text, a delineation of portions of the Badger State Solar site was completed by Tetra Tech on March 15, 2018.

The extent of the Tetra Tech delineation covered most of the area currently investigated as part of the Stantec delineation detailed within this report with the exception of lands to the west of County Trunk Highway G within the Town of Ockland. These are new lands that were added by Badger State following the Tetra Tech investigation.

The Tetra Tech delineation report was provided to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for comment prior to Stantec performing field work documented within this report. WDNR comments and questions regarding the Tetra Tech report were provided in an email dated May 10, 2018. Comments and questions received from this review assisted Stantec in developing the necessary historical aerial photograph review plan and field investigation plan.

Three wetland areas delineated and documented within the Tetra Tech report of March 5, 2018 have been used as part of the Stantec delineation documented here. These wetlands, named as W08DS, W09DS and W11KM have been included within this report and renamed as W40, W41, and W27 within this Stantec report.

3.3 WETLANDS

Forty-one (41) wetlands were identified within the Study Area (Figure 5). A total of 158 sample points were completed in the wetlands and adjacent uplands to document wetland or upland conditions. USACE data forms completed for the Project are included in Appendix C. Photographs of the wetlands and adjacent uplands / agricultural fields can be found in Appendix D. The location of photographs taken during field investigations are depicted on Figure 6.

Four (4) wetland types were observed within the Study Area. A description of each wetland type is listed below and summarized in Table 2. Many of the wetlands located within the Study Area are degraded by agricultural practices and consequently are of relatively low quality. Several wetlands had more than one wetland type. Specific information related to each wetland can be found on data forms provided in Appendix C.

3.3.1 Vegetation

Hardwood Swamp

Five (5) hardwood swamp wetland communities were found in the Study Area during the field surveys. One of these communities was a hardwood swamp in its entirety (W29) while only a portion of four (W1, W28, W33, and W40) were hardwood swamp. Dominant species included Bebb's willow (*Salix bebbiana*), Eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), box elder (*Acer negundo*), and American elm (*Ulmus americana*), in the overstory, with dominant shrub/ground layer species including white mulberry (*Morus alba*), gray dogwood (*Cornus obliqua*), red-osier dogwood (*Cornus alba*), common buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*), swamp dewberry (*Rubus hispidus*), American black currant (*Ribes americana*), fowl manna grass (*Glyceria striata*), reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), chufa (*Cyperus esculentus*), orange jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*), giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*), enchanter's nightshade (*Circaea canadensis*), and barnyard grass (*Echinocloa crus-galli*).

Wet Meadow

Eighteen (18) wet meadow wetland communities were found in the Study Area during the field surveys. Fourteen (14) of these communities were wet meadows in their entirety (W4, W5, W6, W10, W15, W16, W17, W18, W19, W20, W21, W22, W23, and W35) while only a portion of four (W1, W-27,W28, and W40) were wet meadow. Dominant species included reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), chufa, orange jewelweed, roughfruit amaranth (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*), stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*), path rush (*Juncus tenuis*), giant ragweed, enchanter's nightshade, red-root amaranth (*Amaranthus retroflexus*), spotted lady's thumb (*Persicaria maculosa*), witchgrass (*Panicum capillare*), cattail (*Typha latifolia*), barnyard grass, cinnamon willow herb (*Epilobium coloratum*), dark green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*), Torrey's rush (*Juncus torreyi*), spikerush (*Eleocharus obtusa*) and sandbar willow (*Salix interior*).

Shrub-Carr

Two wetlands (W1, and W27) had shrub-carr wetland community components which were dominated by white mulberry (*Morus alba*) gray dogwood (*Cornus obliqua*), and red-osier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*).

Farmed Wetland

Twenty-two (22) farmed wetland communities were found in the Study Area during the field

surveys. Twenty-one (21) of these communities were farmed wetlands in their entirety (W2, W3, W7, W8, W9, W11, W12, W13, W14, W24, W25, W26, W30, W31, W32, W34, W36, W37, W38, W39, and W41) while only a portion of one (W33) was farmed wetland. Vegetation in these wetlands was sparse and mostly consisted of agricultural weeds and stunted crops, or the area was completely devoid of vegetation.

3.3.2 Soils

Mapped soils within the Study Area are shown on Figure 2. Soil profiles documented during the field investigation are provided on the data sheets in Appendix C. Most wetlands identified during the wetland delineation were located in mapped hydric soils, or in areas that have hydric soil inclusions. The most common NRCS field indicators of hydric soil identified during the wetland delineation were A1 – Histosol, A11-Depleted Below Dark Surface, A12-Thick Dark Surface, F3-Depleted Matrix, and F6-Redox Dark Surface.

3.3.3 Hydrology

Wetland hydrology indicators observed at wetlands within the Study Area included Surface Water (A1), High Water Table (A2), Saturation (A3), Algal Mat or Crust (B4), Stunted or Stressed Vegetation (D1), Geomorphic Position (D2), and a positive FAC-Neutral Test (D5). For a complete description of hydrology indicators observed at each wetland, refer to the data sheets presented in Appendix C.

3.3.4 Wetland Boundary

In general, wetland boundaries were determined based on distinct differences in vegetation, hydrology, soils, and topography consisting of the following: 1) transition from a wetland plant community to agricultural crops (that did not show signs of significant crop stress); 2) transition from areas that had wetland hydrology indicators to areas that lacked wetland hydrology indicators; 3) transition from soils that had field indicators of hydric soil to soils that lacked hydric soil field indicators; and 4) location of wetland signatures from the aerial imagery review in normal precipitation years consistent with observations made in the field.

Average precipitation for the Study Area was obtained from the Lake Mills, Wisconsin, weather station and used for the WETS analysis for the historical aerial review. From this analysis it was determined that 2015, 2013, 2008, 2006, 2000, and 1997 were considered “normal” precipitation years. These aerials were reviewed for wetland “signatures” in locations of mapped poorly drained soils. A total of forty-six (46) areas were highlighted as having the potential to support wetland hydrology. The aerials for each of these locations were reviewed and, if there were wetland “signatures” present for greater than 50% of the normal precipitation years, further field investigation of the area was deemed necessary. Results of the aerial review are provided in the table found in Appendix F. Wetland conditions were documented from field verifications at a total of 21 areas where wetland “signatures” were observed during this historical aerial review. Wetland conditions were found to not exist from field verifications at a total of 25 areas where wetland “signatures” were observed during this historical aerial review. This analysis is documented in Appendix F.

The primary observation as to why wetland conditions were verified at 21 of the locations listed above while 25 were not involved indicators of hydrology. At least two secondary, and at several locations, one primary indicator of hydrology were observed at the 21 locations verified as having

wetland characteristics. Conversely, at the 25 locations where wetland conditions were not observed, only one or no secondary indicators of hydrology was observed.

As a result, the project area was investigated for indications of hydrology manipulation. It can be seen from Figure 5 that an extensive network of drainage ditches has been excavated through the poorly drained soils within the project area. These ditches extend to depths up to eleven (11) feet. The lateral drainage effect from ditches of this depth can be significant. In addition, landowners within the project area were questioned regarding information of drainage tile networks in these soils. The raw maps of known drainage tile networks are provided in Appendix G. The extent of drainage tile systems gathered from these maps is summarized on Figure 7. It can be observed from Figure 7 that the extent of draintile systems in poorly drained soils within the project area is significant. It also should be noted that the extent of draintiles shown on Figure 7 do not represent a complete survey. Landowners have verified that additional draintile are present within the project area, but no mapping is available. Given the extent of the drainage ditches and draintile networks and observations in the field, the poorly drained soils within the project area are considered effectively drained.

Table 2. Wetland Summary Table

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W1	5-1	1.73	Wet Meadow, Shrub-Carr, Hardwood Swamp	No	No	Yes	Medium	Delineated	Components of wet meadow, shrub-carr and hardwood swamp dominated by Bebb's willow, Eastern cottonwood, American elm, white mulberry, gray dogwood, chufa, reed canary grass, and orange jewelweed. Wetland located along a drainage swale.	WW1
W2	5-1	0.49	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland, stunted / stressed corn. Dominated by chufa, and crabgrass.	N/A
W3	5-1	0.48	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland, stunted / stressed corn. Dominated by corn and common purslane. The wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled.	N/A
W4	5-1	0.05	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Depression within agricultural field dominated by roughfruit amaranth and barnyard grass. Wetland presence likely a result of a drain tile failure or blowout. The wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled.	N/A
W5	5-1	0.20	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Depression within agricultural field dominated by roughfruit amaranth and barnyard grass. Wetland presence likely a result of a drain tile failure or blowout. The wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled.	N/A

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W6	5-2	3.26	Wet Meadow	No	Yes	Yes	Medium	Delineated	Wetland is mapped on the WWI as an emergent wet meadow (E2K). The wetland is wet meadow dominated by reed canary grass and stinging nettle.	N/A
W7	5-2	0.28	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland, stunted and stressed corn. Dominated by corn and nodding spurge.	N/A
W8	5-2	3.51	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland, stunted and stressed corn. Dominated by American water plantain, northern water plantain, nodding spurge, blunt spikerush, and reed canary grass.	N/A
W9	5-2	0.16	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland devoid of vegetation due to saturation / standing water during time of planting.	N/A
W10	5-2	0.45	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow wetland dominated by reed canary grass and roughfruit amaranth.	N/A
W11	5-4	1.08	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland. The area is planted with a mint cultivar but is also dominated by eastern cottonwood seedlings. Even though this wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled, several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed within a hydric soil.	N/A

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W12	5-4	0.33	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland. The area is planted with a mint cultivar. Even though this wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled, several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed within a hydric soil.	N/A
W13	5-4	1.63	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland. The area is planted with a mint cultivar. Even though this wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled, several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed within a hydric soil.	N/A
W14	5-4	0.25	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland. The area is planted with a mint cultivar. Even though this wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled, several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed within a hydric soil.	N/A
W15	5-3	0.22	Wet Meadow	No	Yes	No	High	Delineated	This wetland is a farm drainage ditch. The dominant vegetation is reed canary grass.	N/A
W16	5-3	0.99	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow within agricultural field dominated by barnyard grass, spotted lady's thumb, red root amaranth, sandbar willow, cattail, and path rush. Wetland presence likely a result of a drain tile failure or blowout. The wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled.	N/A

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W17	5-3	0.68	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow within agricultural field dominated by reed canary grass, path rush, and cinnamon willow herb. Wetland presence likely a result of a drain tile failure or blowout. The wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled.	N/A
W18	5-6	0.13	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow within agricultural field dominated by dark green bulrush and path rush. Wetland presence likely a result of a drain tile failure or blowout. The wetland is within an ag field that is drain tiled.	N/A
W19	5-6	2.06	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow within agricultural field dominated by red root amaranth. Even though the vegetation is not hydrophytic, positive wetland hydrology indicators and hydric soils leads to the professional opinion of wetland.	N/A
W20	5-6	0.72	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow located in an agricultural field. Dominated by path rush and Torrey's rush.	N/A
W21	5-6	0.04	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow located in an agricultural field. Dominated by path rush. Wetland present most likely as a result of a drain tile failure / blow out.	N/A
W22	5-5	0.59	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wetland meadow dominated by reed canary grass surrounded by an upland forest.	N/A

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W23	5-5	0.40	Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow located in an agricultural field. Dominated by cattail and reed canary grass.	N/A
W24	5-5	0.18	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland devoid of vegetation due to saturation / standing water during time of planting. Crop did not germinate due to saturation.	N/A
W25	5-6	0.09	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland devoid of vegetation due to saturation / standing water during time of planting. Crop did not germinate due to saturation.	N/A
W26	5-6	0.06	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland devoid of vegetation due to saturation / standing water during time of planting. Crop did not germinate due to saturation.	N/A
W27	5-8	0.83	Hardwood Swamp	No	No	Yes	Low	Delineated – Tetra Tech	Wet meadow and shrub carr wetland community dominated by reed canary grass and red-osier dogwood. Refer to Tetra Tech Wetland Delineation Report dated March 15, 2018.	N/A
W28	5-7	0.76	Hardwood Swamp, Wet Meadow	No	No	Yes	Medium	Delineated	Hardwood swamp and wet meadow associated with waterway WW-14. Vegetation is dominated by American elm, box elder, common buckthorn and reed canary grass.	WW14

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W29	5-7	0.04	Hardwood Swamp	No	No	No	Medium	Delineated	Hardwood swamp dominated by fowl manna grass, American elm, swamp dewberry, and American black currant.	N/A
W30 / W31	5-8	0.03 / 0.02	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland dominated by corn. Corn is stressed and stunted. Several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed.	N/A
W32	5-8	0.65	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland dominated by corn. Corn is stressed and stunted. Several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed.	N/A
W33	5-8	4.21	Hardwood Swamp, Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Medium	Delineated	Hardwood swamp and farmed wetland dominated by chufa, common buckthorn, orange jewelweed, enchanter's nightshade, American black currant, soybeans, barnyard grass, and giant ragweed.	N/A
W34	5-8	2.46	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland dominated by corn. Corn is stressed and stunted. Several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed.	N/A
W35	5-10	4.29	Wet Meadow	No	Yes	Yes	Low	Delineated	Wet meadow wetland community dominated by reed canary grass. Several indicators of wetland hydrology were observed.	N/A
W36	5-10	0.25	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland community dominated by switchgrass and eastern cottonwood saplings. Two secondary indicators of wetland hydrology observed.	N/A

Wetland ID	Map Page (Figure # -Page #)	Acreage within Study Area	Wetland Type	Farmed (Yes/No)	WWI Mapped Wetland (Yes/No)	Invasive Species Present (Yes/No)	Wetland Functional Value	Wetland Boundary Aerial, Delineated or Sketched	Wetland Description/Dominant Plant Species	Waterway Associated
W37	5-12	0.78	Farmed Wetland	Yes	Yes	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland community dominated by corn. One primary and 2 secondary hydrology indicators observed. Corn did not appear stressed.	N/A
W38	5-12	0.57	Farmed Wetland	Yes	Yes	No	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland community dominated by corn. One primary and 2 secondary hydrology indicators observed. Corn did not appear stressed.	N/A
W39	5-7	2.93	Farmed Wetland	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Delineated	Farmed wetland community dominated by switchgrass and eastern cottonwood saplings. Two secondary indicators of wetland hydrology observed.	N/A
W40	5-3	0.48	Wet Meadow, Hardwood Swamp	No	No	Yes	Medium	Delineated – Tetra Tech	Wet meadow and Forested wetland community dominated by reed canary grass and eastern cottonwood. Appears to be an abandoned gravel pit. Refer to Tetra Tech Wetland Delineation Report dated March 15, 2018.	N/A
W41	5-3	0.55	Farmed Wetland	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Delineated – Tetra Tech	Farmed wetland community dominated by water plantain (*Alissma subcordatum*) and spike rush. Refer to Tetra Tech Wetland Delineation Report dated March 15, 2018.	N/A

3.4 UPLANDS

Upland within the Study Area consisted of active agricultural fields, upland grasslands, and upland forests. The agricultural crops consisted of corn, soybeans and cultivated mint. Other agricultural field species observed were common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemiisifolia*), giant ragweed, chufa, red-root amaranth, roughfruit amaranth, common plantain (*Plantago major*), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), and roughfruit amaranth.

Upland grasslands were dominated by reed canary grass, quackgrass (*Elymus repens*), smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), velvet leaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*), field penny cress (*Thlapsi arvense*), common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*), Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*), giant ragweed, red-root amaranth, Canada thistle, stinging nettle, and green foxtail (*Setaria viridis*).

Upland forests were dominated by white avens (*Geum canadense*), stinging nettle, box-elder, black cherry (*Prunus serotina,*), American elm, white mulberry, quackgrass, smooth brome, white oak (*Quercus alba*), Tartarian honeysuckle (*Lonicera tartarica*), Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), nannyberry (*Viburnum lentago*), smooth Solomon's seal (*Polygonatum biflorum*), annual fleabane (*Erigeron annuus*), common buckthorn, black walnut (*Juglans nigra*), burdock (*Arctium minus*), American black currant, perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), common milkweed, garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*), twistedstalk (*Streptopus lanceolatus*), and common blackberry (*Rubus allegheniensis*).

An additional sixty-two (62) sample points numbered U1 through U56 and SP1 through SP-13 (numbering not sequential) were collected within agricultural fields in the Study Area. The placement of these additional sample points, along with many of the wetland / upland sample points collected along transects in wetlands located in agricultural fields of the Study Area, coincided with wetland signatures noted during the historical aerial review performed prior to the commencement of field investigations. These additional upland sample points were documented to note that the crop was not stressed or stunted. Hydric soils were identified along most of these upland data points. However, neither primary nor secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed. The lack of wetland hydrology indicators at a majority of these upland points is likely the result of the extensive drain tile systems that have been installed in these agricultural fields along with the maintained network of linear drainage ditches, many which have been excavated to a depth of 8 to 10 feet below the surrounding ground surface. Maps of drain tile systems installed in these agricultural fields, where available, have been included in Appendix G. An overview of draintile mapping across the Study area is provided in Figure 7. Landowner interactions / discussions have indicated that maps showing the extent of draintiles on parcels within the study area are not complete.

3.5 WATERWAYS

Waterways identified during the field review included intermittent and perennial streams, agricultural ditches, and roadside ditches. Waterways are summarized below in Table 3, and their locations are depicted on Figure 5. Photographs of the waterways can be found in Appendix D. The majority of waterways within the Study Area are excavated linear channels that convey drain tile discharge.

Table 3. Waterway Summary Table

Waterway ID	Waterway Sample Point(s)	Top of Bank Width (feet)	OHWM Width (feet)	Top of Bank Height (feet)	OWHM Height (feet)	Substrate	Waterway Type
WW1	S4	8	0.25	1	0.25	sand	Intermittent
WW2	H1	22	7	8	2	muck	Perennial
	H3	25	10	6	2	muck	Perennial
WW3	H2	20	5	6	2	muck	Perennial
WW4	P6	23	14	6	3	muck	Perennial
WW5	P7	15	8	4	3	muck	Perennial
	P8	15	6	4	2	muck	Perennial
WW6	P5	18	5	5	1	muck	Perennial
	A13	16	8	4	2	muck	Perennial
WW7	A12	25	12	8	2	muck	Perennial
WW8	P3	22	8	5	1	muck	Perennial
	P4	20	8	6	2	sand	Perennial
	A10	17	7	9	3	mucky sand	Perennial
WW9	P1	20	8	4	2	muck	Perennial
	P2	20	4	4	1	muck	Perennial
WW10	L2	10	4	3	1	muck	Perennial
	A2	20	10	10	4	mucky sand	Perennial
	A3	18	10	10	4	sandy muck	Perennial
WW11	A1	23	10	10	4	muck	Perennial
	A4	22	6	10	4	sandy muck	Perennial
	A5	18	6	8	3	muck	Perennial
	A6	10	3	4	2	muck	Intermittent
	A7	20	8	8	2	muck	Perennial
WW12	L1	20	7	9	3	muck	Perennial
WW13	A11	22	10	8	4	muck	Perennial
WW14	--	15	4	8	1	muck	Perennial
WW15	A8	20	6	9	3	muck	Perennial
	A9	24	9	11	4	muck	Perennial
WW16	S5	20	3	7	1	clay muck	Perennial
WW17	S6	20	4	8	1	clay muck	Perennial

Waterway ID	Waterway Sample Point(s)	Top of Bank Width (feet)	OHWM Width (feet)	Top of Bank Height (feet)	OWHM Height (feet)	Substrate	Waterway Type
WW18	S3	2.2	2.5	1.5	0.25	muck	Intermittent
WW19	S1	1.5	0.5	1	0.25	Silt / gravel	Intermittent
	S2	1.5	0.5	1	0.25	silt	Intermittent

4.0 CONCLUSION

Stantec performed a wetland determination and delineation and waterway delineation for the proposed Badger State Solar, LLC Project in Jefferson County, Wisconsin. The Project involves the construction of a solar panel array and associated facilities. The wetland and waterway delineation described in this report was conducted in July 2018.

Forty-one (41) wetlands were identified within the Study Area in accordance with state and federal guidelines. The identified wetlands consisted of hardwood swamps, farmed wetlands, shrub-carrs, and wet meadows. The uppermost wetland boundaries were surveyed with a GPS capable of sub-meter accuracy and mapped using GIS software.

Waterways identified during the field review included intermittent and perennial streams and agricultural ditches. The majority of the waterways within the Study Area are excavated linear channels conveying drain tile discharge.

The delineations were performed by experienced and qualified professionals using regulatory agency-accepted practices and sound professional judgment.

5.0 REFERENCES

Environmental Laboratory. (1987). "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual," Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Lichvar, R.W., D. L. Banks, W. N. Kirchner, and N.C. Melvin. 2016. *The National Wetland Plant List:* 2016 wetland ratings. Phytoneuron 2016-30: 1-17. Published 28 April 2016. ISSN 2153 733X. http://www.phytoneuron.net/.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database. Available online at http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/ or http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources. "Guidance for Offsite Hydrology / Wetland Determinations", Issued July 1, 2016. Available online at http://bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/delineations/Guidance_for_Offsite_Hydrology_and_Wetland_Determinations.pdf.

USACE and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). *"Guidance for Submittal of Delineation Reports to the St. Paul District Army Corps of Engineers and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources"*, Issued March 4, 2015. Available online at http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/documents/FinalWisconsinDelineationGuidance.pdf.

USACE. 2011. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0),* ed. J.S. Wakely, R.W. Lichvar, C.V. Nobel, and J. F. Berkowitz. ERDC/EL TR-12-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

United States Department of Agriculture, Farm Service Agency (USDA, FSA). (1997, 2000, 2006, 2008, 2013, 2015) [Jefferson County, WI aerial photographs]. National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP). Salt Lake City, UT: Aerial Photography Field Office.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service (USDA, NRCS). 2016. *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States*, Version 8.0. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz (eds.). USDA, NRCS in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.

United States Geological Survey (USGS). *Wisconsin 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic) Maps.* 1:24,000. Reston, VA: United States Department of the Interior, USGS.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), Bureau of Watershed Management. (2010). [Digital inventory of Wisconsin wetlands]. *Wisconsin Wetland Inventory.*

WDNR, Division of Water. (2010). [24k hydrography geospatial data layer]. Available online: ftp://dnrftp01.wi.gov/geodata/hydro_24k/.

WDNR. (1992). *Wisconsin Wetland Inventory Classification Guide.* PUBL-WZ-WZ203. http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/documents/WWI_Classification.pdf.

Appendix A – Table 1 – Project Area NRCS Soil Types

SYMBOL	SPOIL MAPPING UNIT NAME	PrimeFarmland	DrainageCl
Ad	Adrian muck	Not prime farmland	Very poorly drained
CaB2	Casco loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Farmland of statewide importance	Somewhat excessively drained
CaC2	Casco loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	Somewhat excessively drained
CrD2	Casco-Rodman complex, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	Somewhat excessively drained
DdB	Dodge silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
Ed	Edwards muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	Very poorly drained
Ev	Elvers silt loam	Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season	Very poorly drained
FoC2	Fox loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Farmland of statewide importance	Well drained
FsB	Fox silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
Ht	Houghton muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	Very poorly drained
JuB	Juneau silt loam, 1 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
Kb	Keowns silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Poorly drained
KdA	Kibbie fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Somewhat poorly drained
KfB	Kidder loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
KfC2	Kidder loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Farmland of statewide importance	Well drained

KfD2	Kidder loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	Well drained
KgB	Kidder loam, moderately well-drained, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Moderately well drained
MmA	Matherton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Somewhat poorly drained
MpB	McHenry silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
MpC2	McHenry silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Farmland of statewide importance	Well drained
Ot	Otter silt loam	Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season	Poorly drained
Pa	Palms muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	Very poorly drained
RaA	Radford silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season	Somewhat poorly drained
RtD2	Rotamer loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	Well drained
RtE2	Rotamer loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	Well drained
SbA	St. Charles silt loam, moderately well drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Moderately well drained
SbB	St. Charles silt loam, moderately well-drained, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Moderately well drained
SfB	St. Charles silt loam, moderately well-drained, gravelly	All areas are prime farmland	Moderately well drained

	substratum, 2 to 6 percent slopes		
ShB	Salter loamy sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
Sm	Sebewa silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Poorly drained
SoB	Sisson fine sandy loam, 1 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
VwA	Virgil silt loam, gravelly substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Somewhat poorly drained
Wa	Wacousta silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Very poorly drained
WmA	Wasepi sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Somewhat poorly drained
WtA	Watseka variant loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	Somewhat poorly drained
WvA	Wauconda silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Somewhat poorly drained
WvB	Wauconda silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Prime farmland if drained	Somewhat poorly drained
WxB	Whalan loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	Well drained
WxC2	Whalan loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Farmland of statewide importance	Well drained

Appendix B– Figures

Figure 1.	**Project Location and Topography**
Figure 2.	**NRCS Soil Survey Data and Hydric Ratings**
Figure 3.	**NRCS Soil Survey Data Drainage Class**
Figure 4.	**Wisconsin Wetland Inventory**
Figure 5a / 5b.	**Field Collected Data**
Figure 6.	**Photo Review**
Figure 7.	**Draintile Location Map**

Figure No.
1

Title
Project Location and Topography

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705928
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-15
Technical Review by CA on 2018-08-24
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 1,000 2,000 Feet
1:24,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Boundary



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Background: USGS 1:24k Topographic Quadrangles

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Y:\193705928\03_data\gis_cad\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig1_topo_193705928.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: rdelrose

Figure No.
2

Title
NRCS Soil Survey Data
Hydric Ratings

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-15
Technical Review by CA on 2018-08-24
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16


0 750 1,500 Feet
1:18,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary

NRCS Soil Survey Data
Hydric Ratings
- Predominantly Hydric Soils
- Partially Hydric Soils
- Non-Hydric Soils

DNR 24k Hydrography
- Perennial Stream
- Intermittent Stream
- Waterbody



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, NRCS, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Y:\1937\Active\193705828_003_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetland\report_2019\fig2_nrcs_soils_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dlabarge

Figure No.
3

Title
NRCS Soil Survey Data
Drainage Class

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-15
Technical Review by CA on 2018-08-24
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16


0 750 1,500 Feet
1:18,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary

NRCS Soil Survey Data
Drainage Class
- Very Poorly Drained
- Poorly Drained
- Somewhat Poorly Drained

DNR 24k Hydrography
- Perennial Stream
- Intermittent Stream
- Waterbody



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, NRCS, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data_viz_cad_gis\mxds\Wetland Report 2019\fig3_nrcs_drainage_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdispirito




Figure No.
4

Title
Wisconsin Wetland Inventory

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-15
Technical Review by CA on 2018-08-24
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 750 1,500 Feet
1:18,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Wisconsin Wetland Inventory

DNR 24k Hydrography
- Perennial Stream
- Intermittent Stream
- Waterbody



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, FEMA, W&DOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\fig4_wwi_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dfenerty



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

y:\1921\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig5a_fc_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdelcreate

Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\mxds\VegetationReport_2019_fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdelprete



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

v:\1193\active\193705828\03 data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport 2019\fig5a_fcd 193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: delacrete



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxd\Wetland\figure2 2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dsiplinski

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxd\Wetland\Report 2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dsiprete




Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

v:\1193\active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetlandreport_2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdelorete



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828


Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03 data\gis\mxds\Wetland\Report 2019\fig5a_fc d 193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dfelipe




Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

v:\1937\active\193705828\03 data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport 2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: delacrete



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03 data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\fig5a_fld_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: rdelgado

Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport\2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: idalgreta



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03 data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetland\Report\2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: idelgado



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, W&DOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig5a_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dpierrete



Figure No.
5a

Title
Field Collected Data

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-08
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-08
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Waterway

Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Stantec
- Tetratech



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\fig5a_fld_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dfeligreto

Figure No.

5b

Title

Field Collected Data

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC

Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by JD on 2018-09-18
Technical Review by CP on 2018-09-18
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16


0 750 1,500 Feet

1:9,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Boundary
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1837\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\figSb_fcd_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdelprete



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

y:\1921\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdejarnette



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\193\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019 Updates\photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dderose



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

v:\1193\active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport 2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised 2019-05-07 By jdalprete



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxd\Wetland\Report\2019\fig6 photo review 193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdebruin



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\003_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: ddelrose

Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdeberardi



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetlands\report_2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdeboer

Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

y:\1937\active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetlands\report_2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdeblonde



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

v:\1193\active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport 2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdelprete

Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\Wetland\Report_2019\Figure_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdebrate



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

\\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetland\Report 2019\Figure 6 photo review 193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdebruine



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, W&DOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdalrymple



Figure No.
6

Title
Photo Review

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxd\WetlandReport\2019\Fig6_photo_review_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: dabruns




Figure No.
7

Title
Drain Tile Location

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-02-20
Technical Review by CP on 2019-02-22
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 750 1,500 Feet
1:18,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Drain Tile



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

y:\1937\active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmds\Wetlandreport_2019\fig7_drain_tile_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: jdebruin

Appendix C – Wetland Determination Data Forms

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	McHenry silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	U1
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: McHenry silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	15	--	10YR 4/2	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
15	22	--	10YR 4/3	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
22	24	--	10YR 5/4	95	5YR 4/4	5	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U1

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	60	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	60		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	60	x 5 =	300
Total	60 (A)		300 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	U2
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Community ID:	Ag field
			Datum: N/A	Section:	6
				Township:	6N
				Range:	14E Dir: --

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. This ag field is ditched and drain tile are installed (per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix			Redox Features					Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
			Color (Moist)		%	Color (Moist)		%	Type	Location	
0	13	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
13	29	--	2.5YR	3/4	90	--	10YR 5/6	10	C	M	silt loam
29	38	--	10YR	6/4	95	--	10YR 6/8	5	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☑ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Plant matter in second soil layer. Marl deposits in third soil layer.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U2

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Chenopodium album*	25	Y	FACU
2.	*ZEA MAYS*	30	Y	UPL
3.	*Xanthium strumarium*	5	N	FAC
4.	*Amaranthus tuberculatus*	15	Y	OBL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	75		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn appears to be generally healthy.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***33%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	15	x 1 =	15
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	25	x 4 =	100
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	75 (A)		280 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.733***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	U3
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. This field is ditched and drain tiled (per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
14	32	--	2.5Y	6/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	sandy loam
32	36	--	2.5Y	4/2	95	--	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U3

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	70	Y	UPL
2.	*THLASPI ARVENSE*	2	N	UPL
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	72		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	72	x 5 =	360
Total	72 (A)		360 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U4
Community ID:	Ag field
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. This ag field is ditched and drain tiled (per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 29	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: Could not locate water table.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	18	--	N 2.5/N	100	-- --	--	--	--	peat
18	26	--	2.5YR 2.5/4	100	-- --	--	--	--	loamy peat
26	38	--	N 2.5/N	100	-- --	--	--	--	peat
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U4

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*CAPSELLA BURSA-PASTORIS*	15	Y	FACU
2.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	2	N	FACU
3.	*ZEA MAYS*	50	Y	UPL
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	67		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	17	x 4 =	68
UPL spp.	50	x 5 =	250
Total	67 (A)		318 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.746***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Sebewa silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U5
Community ID:	Ag field
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. This ag field is ditched and drain tiled (per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Sebewa silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly to somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Argiaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	5	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
5	13	--	10YR	4/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
13	24	--	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U5

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	40	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	40		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	40	x 5 =	200
Total	40 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	U6
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. This ag field is ditched and drain tiled (per landowner).

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	17	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
17	24	--	2.5Y	5/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
24	29	--	2.5Y	6/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U6

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	30	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	30 (A)		150 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U7
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the Army Corps of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil is present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate the sample plot is located in a drained ag field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	16	1	10YR	2/1	100						silt loam
16	24	2	10YR	5/1	80	10YR	4/6	2	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U7

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	U8
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. Ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	22	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
22	28	--	10YR	5/1	95	--	10R 3/6	5	C	M	silt loam
28	33	--	7.5YR	5/2	90	--	5YR 4/6	8	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	10R 3/4	2	C	M	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U8

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	55	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	55		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn is not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	55	x 5 =	275
Total	55 (A)		275 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U9
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the Army Corps of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil is present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate the sample plot is located in a drained ag field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	2/1	100						silty loam
14	24	2	10YR	5/1	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U9

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Corn crop appears to be healthy and is approximately 10-12 feet tall.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Terrace	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	U10
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. Accordint to the U.S. Army Coprs of Engineers NE/NC Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils was observed at the sample plot, the lack of wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is located in an upland agricultural field. The ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	1	10YR	2/1	100				--	--	silty loam
9	24	2	10YR	5/4	95	10YR	5/4	5	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U10

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	80	Y	FACW
2.	*ARCTIUM MINUS*	5	N	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	80	x 2 =	160
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	5	x 4 =	20
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		180 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.118***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation is problematic because the farmed crop is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U11
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the U.S. Army Coprs of Engineers NE/NC Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation was observed at the sample plot, the lack of hydric soil and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is located in an upland agricultural field. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR	2/1	100				--	--	sand
8	16	2	10YR	4/3	90	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	sand
						10YR	5/2	5	C	M	sand
16	24	3	10YR	4/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U11

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	60	Y	FACW
2.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	15	Y	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	75		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***50%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	60	x 2 =	120
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	15	x 4 =	60
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	75 (A)		180 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.400***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation is problematic because the farmed crop is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Wasepi sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U12
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation was observed at the sample plot, the lack of wetland hydrology and hydric soil indicate that the sample plot was located in an upland agricultural field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Wasepi sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquollic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	2/1	100				--	--	silt loam
14	20	2	10YR	5/3	100				--	--	sand
20	24	3	10YR	5/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U12

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	90	Y	FACW
2.	*Cyperus esculentus*	5	N	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	95 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation is problematic because the crop is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Radford silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	undulating
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U14
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Radford silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Fluvaquentic Hapludolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
12	16	2	10YR	2/1	100						silty clay loam
16	24	3	10YR	5/1	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U14

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U16
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface. This ag field is drain tiled.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
24	36	2	10YR	2/1	100						mucky peat
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U16

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Kidder loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex
Slope (%):	6-8	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/19/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U17
Community ID:	Ag field
Section:	12
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Kidder loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	--	10YR	3/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
14	24	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U17

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*RUMEX CRISPUS*	4	Y	FAC
2.	*Ambrosia trifida*	4	Y	FAC
3.	*ZEA MAYS*	1	N	UPL
4.	*GLYCINE MAX*	2	N	UPL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	11		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	8	x 3 =	24
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	3	x 5 =	15
Total	11 (A)		39 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.545***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Met dominance test due to sparse vegetation with FAC indicator status. Sparse vegetation do not appear due to drainage problems.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Kidder loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Hillside	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	U18
Slope (%):	10-12	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Kidder loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	11	--	10YR 4/3	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Compaction Depth: 11"

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U18

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Soy not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	McHenry silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Footslope	Local Relief:	Convex
Slope (%):	8-12	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/19/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U19
Community ID:	Hardwood forest
Section:	12
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: McHenry silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	15	--	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
15	24	--	10YR	3/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U19

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Carya ovata*	60	Y	FACU
2.	*Quercus alba*	20	Y	FACU
3.	*Acer negundo*	5	N	FAC
4.	*Prunus serotina*	5	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Acer negundo*	10	Y	FAC
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	10		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Glyceria striata*	40	Y	OBL
2.	*Ribes cynosbati*	30	Y	FACU
3.	*Streptopus lanceolatus*	1	N	FACU
4.	*Geranium maculatum*	2	N	FACU
5.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	5	N	UPL
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	78		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***40%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	40	x 1 =	40
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	15	x 3 =	45
FACU spp.	118	x 4 =	472
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	178 (A)		582 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.270***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	McHenry silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Footslope	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	U20
Slope (%):	7-10	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: McHenry silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	--	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Rock Depth: 6"

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Tried multiple soil cores but encountered rock refusal each time.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U20

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Prunus americana*	50	Y	UPL
2.	*Ulmus americana*	10	N	FACW
3.	*Juniperus communis*	2	N	FACU
4.	*Acer negundo*	10	N	FAC
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	72		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Prunus americana*	15	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ALLIARIA PETIOLATA*	60	Y	FACU
2.	*Chenopodium album*	12	N	FACU
3.	*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*	1	N	FACU
4.	*GALINSOGA PARVIFLORA*	5	N	UPL
5.	*BRASSICA RAPA*	2	N	UPL
6	*BROMUS INERMIS*	11	N	UPL
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	91		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	10	x 2 =	20
FAC spp.	10	x 3 =	30
FACU spp.	75	x 4 =	300
UPL spp.	83	x 5 =	415
Total	178 (A)		765 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.298***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	McHenry silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	U21
Slope (%):	2-3	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: McHenry silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	7	--	10YR	3/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
7	26	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U21

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Prunus serotina*	70	Y	FACU
2.	*Acer negundo*	15	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Ribes cynosbati*	15	N	FACU
2.	*Toxicodendron radicans*	15	N	FAC
3.	*Apocynum cannabinum*	40	Y	FAC
4.	*ALLIARIA PETIOLATA*	5	N	FACU
5.	*PLANTAGO MAJOR*	2	N	FACU
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	77		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***50%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	70	x 3 =	210
FACU spp.	92	x 4 =	368
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	162 (A)		578 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.568***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	McHenry silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	U22
Slope (%):	14-16	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Upland meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: McHenry silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	--	10YR 3/2	100	--	--	--	--	silty sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Rock Depth: 6"

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U22

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Prunus serotina*	20	Y	FACU
2.	*Acer negundo*	10	Y	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*MORUS ALBA*	5	Y	FACU
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	70	Y	UPL
2.	*Ribes americanum*	30	Y	FACW
3.	*Streptopus lanceolatus*	2	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	102		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***40%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	30	x 2 =	60
FAC spp.	10	x 3 =	30
FACU spp.	27	x 4 =	108
UPL spp.	70	x 5 =	350
Total	137 (A)		548 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	6-12	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/19/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U23
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	12
Township:	6N
Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Sand and rocks at surf Depth: 0

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Restrictive sand and rocks present at surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U23

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Appears slightly stressed but due to dryness in the rocky soil, not ponding. Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Fox loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	6-12	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/19/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U25
Community ID:	Upland swale
Section:	12
Township:	6N
Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an upland swale through an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR 4/3	--	--	--	--	--	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Compacted silt loam Depth: 10

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Restrictive compacted silt loam present at 10 inches. The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U25

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*POA PRATENSIS*	80	Y	FACU
2.	*Ambrosia trifida*	40	Y	FAC
3.	*DAUCUS CAROTA*	5	N	UPL
4.	*TARAXACUM OFFICINALE*	5	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	130		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***50%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	40	x 3 =	120
FACU spp.	85	x 4 =	340
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	130 (A)		485 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.731***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Radford silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	undulating
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U28
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Radford silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Fluvaquentic Hapludolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
14	18	2	10YR	2/1	100						silty clay loam
18	24	3	10YR	5/2	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U28

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Otter silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	undulating
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U29
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface. Drain tile are present in this agricultural field.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Otter silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Cumulic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR	3/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
12	14	2	10YR	4/1	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
14	24	3	10YR	5/1	85	10YR	5/6	15	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U29

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U30
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
24	36	2	10YR	2/1	100						mucky peat
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U30

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Crop is not stressed or stunted.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U31
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: This sample point is located in a fallow field immediately adjacent to the cultivated soybean field. This sampel point is at the same elevation at sampel point P11.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
10	12	2	10YR	4/2	100						sand
12	16	3	10YR	5/1	85	10YR	4/6	15	c	m	gravelly sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U31

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*DAUCUS CAROTA*	70	Y	UPL
2.	*Achillea millefolium*	20	N	FACU
3.	*SONCHUS ARVENSIS*	10	N	FACU
4.	*MEDICAGO LUPULINA*	15	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	115		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Fallow field vegetation at same elevation as cultivated soybean field located immediately adjacent.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	45	x 4 =	180
UPL spp.	70	x 5 =	350
Total	115 (A)		530 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.609***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U32
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
12	20	2	10YR	5/3	100						sand
20	28	3	10YR	5/3	85	10YR	4/6	15	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U32

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Crop is not stressed or stunted.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U33
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards Muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Medisaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	26	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
26	33	2	2.5Y	5/4	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck / marl
33	36	3	2.5Y	5/1	80	10YR	5/6	20	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U33

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	95	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	95 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also oberved in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U34
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 33	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	30	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
30	36	2	10YR	5/1	100						marl
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U34

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Crop is not stressed or stunted.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U35
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 36	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	38	1	10YR 2/1	100	-- --	--	--	--	muck
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U35

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also observed in field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U36
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	36	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
36	38	2	10YR	5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck / marl
						--	--	--	--	--	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U36

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	95	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	95 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also observed in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U37
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 30	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 28	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
14	24	2	10YR	6/2	85	10YR	6/6	15	c	m	sand
24	31	3	10YR	6/6	80	10YR	5/6	20	c	m	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U37

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	80	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	80	x 2 =	160
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	80 (A)		160 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also observed in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U38
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards Muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Medisaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
24	36	2	10YR	5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck / marl
36	38	3	2.5Y	5/1	80	10YR	5/6	20	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U38

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Vegetation is problematic because cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also observed in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U39
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	38	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	mucky peat
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U39

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is FACW. Corn stubble is also observed in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	--	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	1-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U40
Community ID:	Hardwood forest
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: -- Series Drainage Class: --

Taxonomy (Subgroup): --

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
6	13	--	10YR	3/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
13	19	--	10YR	4/4	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
19	24	--	10YR	4/6	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U40

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	70	Y	FACW
2.	*Acer negundo*	10	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Ribes cynosbati*	25	Y	FACU
2.	*ALLIARIA PETIOLATA*	10	Y	FACU
3.	*Streptopus lanceolatus*	2	N	FACU
4.	*Zanthoxylum americanum*	2	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	39		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***33%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	70	x 2 =	140
FAC spp.	10	x 3 =	30
FACU spp.	39	x 4 =	156
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	119 (A)		326 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.739***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U41
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 38	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 38	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	30	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
30	38	2	10YR	2/1	100						muck
38	40	3	10YR	5/2	100						fine sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U41

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also observed in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U42
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the NRCS NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil may be present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is not located within a wetland. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR	2/1	80	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
0	40	1	7.5YR	2.5/1	20	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample point may meet the A12 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U42

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Corn crop was healthy. Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U43
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
10	13	2	10YR	4/1	100						silty clay loam
13	24	3	10YR	5/1	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U43

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	*VERBASCUM THAPSUS*	5	N	UPL
3.				
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	90 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Palms muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U44
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the NRCS NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil may be present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is not located within a wetland. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 40	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Palms muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR	2/1	85	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
0	40	1	7.5YR	2.5/1	15	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Tile Depth: 25

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Restrictive drain tile at 25 inches. The soil at the sample point may meet the A12 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U44

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	80	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	80	x 5 =	400
Total	80 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Corn crop has evidence of leaves being browsed or chopped but they are not stressed by hydrology. Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U45
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the NRCS NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil may be present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is not located within a wetland. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR 2/1	95	--	--	--	--	silt loam
0	40	1	7.5YR 2.5/1	5	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample point may meet the A12 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U45

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Corn crop was healthy. Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U46
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
10	13	2	10YR	4/1	100						silty clay loam
13	24	3	10YR	5/1	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U46

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	*SETARIA FABERI*	5	N	FACU
3.	*VERBASCUM THAPSUS*	5	N	UPL
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	5	x 4 =	20
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	95 (A)		470 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.947***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U47
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR 2/1	100	-- --	--	--	--	mucky peat
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U47

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Vegetation is problematic because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble was also observed in the field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U48
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
10	13	2	10YR	4/1	100						silty clay loam
13	24	3	10YR	5/1	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U48

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U49
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the NRCS NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil may be present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is not located within a wetland. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	25	1	10YR	2/1	70	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
0	25	1	7.5YR	2.5/1	30	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Tile Depth: 25

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Restrictive drain tile at 25 inches. The soil at the sample point may meet the A12 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U49

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	*ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI*	15	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	105		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	15	x 3 =	45
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	105 (A)		495 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.714***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Corn crop was healthy. Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1K
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U50
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	30	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
30	33	2	10YR	3/2	60	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
30	33	2	10YR	2/1	40	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field inidicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U50

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1K
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	
Sample Point:	U51
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil contains some mixed in, decomposing plant matter. The soil is thick and dark down to 46 inches and could meet the A12 indicator.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: 0 | Sample Point: U51

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	2	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	2		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	2	x 5 =	10
Total	2 (A)		10 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Most of the sample plot is covered in cut dead hay or grass. Corn is small but does not appear to be stunted by hydrophytic conditions but some other agricultural practice.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1K
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	
Sample Point:	U52
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 38	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 37	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☑ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil contains some mixed in, decomposing plant matter. The soil is thick and dark down to 46 inches and could meet the A12 indicator.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: 0 | Sample Point: U52

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	25	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	25		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	25	x 5 =	125
Total	25 (A)		125 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Corn is not as abundant as other areas, but appears to be healthy.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	
Landform:	Floodplain	Local Relief:	Flat	Sample Point:	U53
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: upland
					Section: 5
					Township: 6N
					Range: 14 Dir: E

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This agricultural field is extensively drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	1	10YR	2/1	100						silt loam
9	24	2	10YR	6/1	95	10YR	6/6	5	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A11 and F3 indicators described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: 0 | Sample Point: U53

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	100	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. This is a problematic vegetation considering that it is a cultivated / agricultural crop and it is actively irrigated.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U54
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 24	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 20	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface. This ag field is drain tiled.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
14	26	2	10YR	4/4	100						peat
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U54

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U55
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards Muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Medisaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	38	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
38	40	2	10YR	5/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	fine sand
						--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U55

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	95	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	95 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This is problematic vegetation because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble found in field as well.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U55
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards Muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Medisaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	38	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
38	40	2	10YR	5/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	fine sand
						--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drail tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U55

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	95	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	95 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. This is problematic vegetation because the cultivar is a FACW species. Corn stubble found in field as well.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Otter silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	undulating
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	N/A
Sample Point:	U56
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface. Drain tile are present in this agricultural field.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Otter silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Cumulic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
20	30	2	10YR	2/1	100						muck
30	32	3	10YR	5/1	85	10YR	6/6	15	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The 1st horizon appears to be comprised of material originating / eroding from positions further up slope via mass creep.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: U56

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex
Slope (%):	2-3	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-1u
Community ID:	Ag field
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
8	13	--	10YR	4/1	90	--	7.5YR 3/4	10	C	M	silt loam
13	22	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
22	24	--	2.5Y	4/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	30	Y	UPL
2.	*ELYMUS REPENS*	40	Y	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	70		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn was not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	40	x 4 =	160
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	70 (A)		310 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.429***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	1-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-1w
Community ID:	Wet meadow
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	3	--	10YR	3/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
3	14	--	2.5Y	4/1	95	--	5YR 4/4	5	C	M	silt loam
14	24	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Salix bebbiana*	5	Y	FACW
2.	*Populus deltoides*	5	Y	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	10		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*RUMEX CRISPUS*	2	N	FAC
2.	*Cyperus esculentus*	95	Y	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	97		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	7	x 3 =	21
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	107 (A)		221 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.065***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/16/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W1
Landform:	Summit	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	W1-2u
Slope (%):	3-5	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	--	10YR	3/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
14	24	--	10YR	3/2	95	--	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	5	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*MORUS ALBA*	15	Y	FACU
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Urtica dioica*	30	Y	FAC
2.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	10	N	UPL
3.	*ZEA MAYS*	40	Y	UPL
4.	*Vitis riparia*	2	N	FAC
5.	*LOLIUM PERENNE*	2	N	FACU
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	84		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn was not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***50%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	5	x 2 =	10
FAC spp.	32	x 3 =	96
FACU spp.	17	x 4 =	68
UPL spp.	50	x 5 =	250
Total	104 (A)		424 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.077***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Ditch	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	3-5	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-2w
Community ID:	Wet meadow
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 4	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 2	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	7	--	10YR	3/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
7	16	--	10YR	3/1	80	--	10YR 4/1	5	D	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	5YR 4/4	15	C	M	--
16	24	--	2.5Y	5/1	75	--	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.5YR 3/4	15	C	M	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☑ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☑ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	5	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*MORUS ALBA*	15	Y	FACU
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	70	Y	FACW
2.	*Echinocystis lobata*	5	N	FACW
3.	*Urtica dioica*	5	N	FAC
4.	*Impatiens capensis*	25	Y	FACW
5.	*Vitis riparia*	2	N	FAC
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	107		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***75%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	105	x 2 =	210
FAC spp.	7	x 3 =	21
FACU spp.	15	x 4 =	60
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	127 (A)		291 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.291***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex
Slope (%):	3-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-3u
Community ID:	Ag field/ditch edge
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
9	19	--	10YR	3/3	98	--	7.5YR 4/6	2	C	M	clay loam
19	24	--	10YR	3/3	90	--	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-3u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	35	Y	UPL
2.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	40	Y	UPL
3.	*LOLIUM PERENNE*	5	N	FACU
4.	*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*	5	N	FACU
5.	*Urtica dioica*	2	N	FAC
6	*POA PRATENSIS*	2	N	FACU
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	89		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn was not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	2	x 3 =	6
FACU spp.	12	x 4 =	48
UPL spp.	75	x 5 =	375
Total	89 (A)		429 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.820***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Ditch/sideslope	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	5-7	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-3w
Community ID:	Wet meadow
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 18	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 8	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	5	--	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
5	16	--	2.5Y	4/1	95	--	5YR 4/6	5	D	M	silty clay
16	24	--	5G	5/5G	80	--	5YR 4/4	10	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☑ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-3w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	98	Y	FACW
2.	*Impatiens capensis*	2	N	FACW
3.	*Urtica dioica*	2	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	102		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	2	x 3 =	6
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	102 (A)		206 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.020***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex
Slope (%):	7-10	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-4u
Community ID:	Ag field/field edge
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
6	16	--	10YR	5/4	90	--	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty clay
16	24	--	10YR	5/4	80	--	7.5YR 5/6	20	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-4u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Acer negundo*	50	Y	FAC
2.	*Ulmus americana*	25	Y	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	75		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Acer negundo*	5	Y	FAC
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*	15	N	FACU
2.	*Glyceria striata*	40	Y	OBL
3.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	40	Y	UPL
4.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	10	N	FACW
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	105		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***80%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	40	x 1 =	40
FACW spp.	35	x 2 =	70
FAC spp.	55	x 3 =	165
FACU spp.	15	x 4 =	60
UPL spp.	40	x 5 =	200
Total	185 (A)		535 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.892***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	1-3	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W1
Sample Point:	W1-4w
Community ID:	Wet meadow
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth: 16	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 2	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	4	--	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
4	16	--	2.5Y	5/1	85	--	5YR 3/4	15	C	M	silty clay
16	23	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
23	24	--	10YR	4/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W1 | Sample Point: W1-4w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	40	Y	FACW
2.	*MORUS ALBA*	10	N	FACU
3.	*Salix bebbiana*	30	Y	FACW
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*MORUS ALBA*	15	Y	FACU
2.	*Cornus obliqua*	5	Y	FACW
3.	*Populus deltoides*	2	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	22		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Typha latifolia*	15	N	OBL
2.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	85	Y	FACW
3.	*Urtica dioica*	1	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	101		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***80%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	15	x 1 =	15
FACW spp.	160	x 2 =	320
FAC spp.	3	x 3 =	9
FACU spp.	25	x 4 =	100
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	203 (A)		444 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.187***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/16/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W2
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	W2-1u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	--	10YR	3/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
12	16	--	10YR	3/1	95	--	5YR 4/6	5	C	M	clay loam
16	21	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
21	24	--	10YR	4/1	80	--	2.5YR 3/4	20	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W2 | Sample Point: W2-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	40	Y	UPL
2.	*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*	5	N	FACU
3.	*Amaranthus albus*	2	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	47		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn was not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	7	x 4 =	28
UPL spp.	40	x 5 =	200
Total	47 (A)		228 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.851***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	1-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W2
Sample Point:	W2-1w
Community ID:	Wet meadow
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	3	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
3	14	--	10YR	3/1	90	--	5YR 3/4	10	C	M	silty clay
14	19	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
19	24	--	10YR	4/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W2 | Sample Point: W2-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Cyperus esculentus*	50	Y	FACW
2.	*DIGITARIA ISCHAEMUM*	20	Y	FACU
3.	*ELYMUS REPENS*	12	N	FACU
4.	*ZEA MAYS*	2	N	UPL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	84		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Stunted/stressed corn

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***50%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	50	x 2 =	100
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	32	x 4 =	128
UPL spp.	2	x 5 =	10
Total	84 (A)		238 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.833***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex
Slope (%):	4-5	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W2
Sample Point:	W2-2u
Community ID:	Ag field
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	--	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
10	14	--	10YR	3/2	98	--	7.5YR 4/6	2	C	M	silt loam
14	18	--	10YR	3/2	92	--	7.5YR 3/4	8	C	M	peat
18	24	--	10YR	4/3	80	--	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	5YR 3/4	10	C	M	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W2 | Sample Point: W2-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	80	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn was not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	80	x 5 =	400
Total	80 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	3-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/16/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W2
Sample Point:	W2-2w
Community ID:	Wet meadow
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	--	7.5YR	3/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
6	15	--	10YR	3/2	95	--	5YR 3/4	5	C	M	silty clay
15	24	--	10YR	4/2	90	--	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W2 | Sample Point: W2-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Cyperus esculentus*	75	Y	FACW
2.	*ZEA MAYS*	5	N	UPL
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Stunted/stressed corn

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	75	x 2 =	150
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	80 (A)		175 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.188***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W3
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	W3-1u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. Ag field is ditched and drain tiled (as per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
10	15	--	10YR	6/1	85	--	2.5YR 3/6	10	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	5YR 4/6	5	C	M	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Clay (compacted) Depth: 15

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W3 | Sample Point: W3-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	50	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	50		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	50	x 5 =	250
Total	50 (A)		250 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W3
Sample Point:	W3-1w
Community ID:	Ag wetland
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	sandy loam
6	14	--	10YR	5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
14	24	--	10YR	6/4	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☑ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W3 | Sample Point: W3-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	25	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	25		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	25	x 5 =	125
Total	25 (A)		125 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Corn appears stressed. Wetland is disturbed as it is located within a corn field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W3
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	W3-2u
Slope (%):	1-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	16	--	N	2.5/N	100	--	--	--	--	--	peat
16	18	--	10YR	3/1	90	--	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty clay
18	24	--	10YR	5/1	90	--	7.5YR 5/6	10	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☑ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W3 | Sample Point: W3-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	40	Y	UPL
2.	*PORTULACA OLERACEA*	5	N	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	45		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn is not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	5	x 4 =	20
UPL spp.	40	x 5 =	200
Total	45 (A)		220 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.889***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	2-3	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W3
Sample Point:	W3-2w
Community ID:	Ag wetland
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation is wetter than normal. Ag field is ditched and drain tiled (as per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 31	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: Could not locate water table.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	--	N 2.5/N	100	-- --	--	--	--	peat
14	17	--	10YR 5/1	92	-- 5YR 4/4	8	C	M	silty clay
17	30	--	2.5YR 3/4	100	-- --	--	--	--	peat
30	32	--	10YR 6/4	100	-- --	--	--	--	sandy peat
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W3 | Sample Point: W3-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	5	N	UPL
2.	*Amaranthus tuberculatus*	15	N	OBL
3.	*PORTULACA OLERACEA*	75	Y	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn appears stunted. Wetland is disturbed as it is located within a corn field.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	15	x 1 =	15
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	75	x 4 =	300
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	95 (A)		340 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.579***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W3
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	W3-3u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. Ag field is ditched and drain tiled (as per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	sandy loam
8	18	--	10YR	4/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
18	26	--	10YR	3/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W3 | Sample Point: W3-3u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	50	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	50		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	50	x 5 =	250
Total	50 (A)		250 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Dip	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W3
Sample Point:	W3-3w
Community ID:	Ag wetland
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loam
9	17	--	10YR	5/1	95	--	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Sand (compacted) Depth: 17

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W3 | Sample Point: W3-3w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	30	Y	UPL
2.	*Amaranthus albus*	5	N	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	35		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn appears stunted. Wetland is disturbed as it is located within a corn field.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	5	x 4 =	20
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	35 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.857***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W4
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	W4-1u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑No		Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. Ag field is ditched and drain tiled (as per landowner)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
24	26	--	10YR	5/1	98	--	2.5YR 3/4	2	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	2-3	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W4
Sample Point:	W4-1w
Community ID:	Ag wetland
Section:	6
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal. Ag field is ditched and drain tiled (as per landowner). This wetland appears to be a result of a drain tile failure or blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	21	--	10YR	2.5/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty loam
21	24	--	N	3/N	70	--	5YR 4/6	30	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W4 | Sample Point: W4-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	20	Y	UPL
2.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	40	Y	FACU
3.	*Amaranthus tuberculatus*	10	N	OBL
4.	*EUPHORBIA ESULA*	10	N	UPL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	10	x 1 =	10
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	40	x 4 =	160
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	80 (A)		320 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Corn appears stunted. Wetland is disturbed as it is located within a corn field.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W4 | Sample Point: W4-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	45	Y	UPL
2.	*THLASPI ARVENSE*	5	N	UPL
3.	*ABUTILON THEOPHRASTI*	5	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	55		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn is not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	5	x 4 =	20
UPL spp.	50	x 5 =	250
Total	55 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.909***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W5
Sample Point:	W5-1u
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the Army Corps of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil is present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is located in a drained agricultural field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	25	1	10YR	2/1	100						silty loam
25	27	2	10YR	4/1	80	10YR	4/6	20	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W5 | Sample Point: W5-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W5
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W5-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a depression within an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. A pile of burnt wood was present in the center of the wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☑ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	2/1							silty loam
14	18	2	10YR	2/1	90	7.5YR	3/4	10	C	M	silty loam
18	23	3	10YR	3/1	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	silty loam
23	27	4	10YR	4/1	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W5 | Sample Point: W5-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Amaranthus tuberculatus*	60	Y	OBL
2.	*ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI*	40	Y	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	60	x 1 =	60
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	40	x 3 =	120
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		180 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***1.800***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W6
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W6-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Fallow field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a fallow field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	loamy sand
8	24	2	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	loamy sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth: — **Hydric Soil Present?** ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W6 | Sample Point: W6-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	*Asclepias syriaca*	15	N	UPL
3.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	2	N	FACW
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	107		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	2	x 2 =	4
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	105	x 5 =	525
Total	107 (A)		529 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.944***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	E2K	Wetland ID:	W6
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W6-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. Although wetland hydrology was not observed at the sample plot, the presence of hydric soil and hydrophytic vegeatation and professional opinion indicate that the sample plot is located in a wet meadow wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of only 1 secondary indicator at the sample plot does not provide sufficient evidence of wetland hydrologoy.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	2/1	100						loamy sand
14	24	2	10YR	4/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	loamy sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States- version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W6 | Sample Point: W6-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Urtica dioica*	95	Y	FAC
2.	*Asclepias syriaca*	15	N	UPL
3.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	15	N	UPL
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	125		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	95	x 3 =	285
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	125 (A)		435 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.480***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W6
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W6-2U
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. Sample point is located adjacent to a drainage ditch.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	18	1	10YR 2/1						loamy sand
18	24	2	10YR 4/3	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	loamy sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field inidcators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W6 | Sample Point: W6-2U

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	E2K	Wetland ID:	W6
Landform:	Shoulder	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W6-2w
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This area lacks geomorphic position due to historic grading activities for the nearby ditch. The presence of hydric soil and wetland hydrology and professional judgement indicate that the sample plot is located in a wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of only one secondary indicator at the sample plot does not provide sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	16	1	10YR 2/1						loamy sand
16	24	2	10YR 5/2	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	loamy sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States- version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W6 | Sample Point: W6-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	50	Y	FACW
2.	*Urtica dioica*	10	N	FAC
3.	*Asclepias syriaca*	7	N	UPL
4.	*Sambucus nigra*	5	N	FACW
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	72		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	55	x 2 =	110
FAC spp.	10	x 3 =	30
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	7	x 5 =	35
Total	72 (A)		175 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.431***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W7
Sample Point:	W7-1u
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	3	1	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
3	9	2	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
9	12	3	10YR	4/3	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Compaction Depth: 12

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Restrictive compacted silty clay loam at 12 inches. The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W7 | Sample Point: W7-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W7
Sample Point:	W7-1w
Community ID:	Farmed wetland
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 3 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	16	1	10YR	3/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	clay loam
16	24	2	10YR	3/2	90	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	10YR	4/1	5	D	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F6 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W7 | Sample Point: W7-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Euphorbia nutans*	10	Y	FACU
2.	*ZEA MAYS*	5	Y	UPL
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	10	x 4 =	40
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	15 (A)		65 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.333***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation was problematic because the corn crop was stressed or absent. Professional opinion is that vegetation was hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Virgil silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W8
Sample Point:	W8-1u
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Virgil silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
9	18	2	10YR	5/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
18	20	3	10YR	5/3	75	10YR	5/8	20	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	10YR	6/2	5	D	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W8 | Sample Point: W8-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Virgil silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W8
Sample Point:	W8-1w
Community ID:	Farmed wetland
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 5 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Virgil silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR	3/2	90	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty clay loam
8	24	2	10YR	5/2	90	10YR	5/8	5	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A11, F3 and F6 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W8 | Sample Point: W8-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Alisma triviale*	40	Y	OBL
2.	*Euphorbia nutans*	25	Y	FACU
3.	*Eleocharis obtusa*	20	Y	OBL
4.	*Persicaria pensylvanica*	10	N	FACW
5.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	5	N	FACW
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	60	x 1 =	60
FACW spp.	15	x 2 =	30
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	25	x 4 =	100
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***1.900***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Virgil silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W8
Sample Point:	W8-2u
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Virgil silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
12	24	2	10YR	4/3	95	10YR	5/7	5	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W8 | Sample Point: W8-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	*PLANTAGO MAJOR*	5	N	FACU
3.	*CIRSIUM ARVENSE*	2	N	FACU
4.	--	--		--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	97		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	7	x 4 =	28
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	97 (A)		478 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.928***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski
Soil Unit:	Virgil silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W8
Sample Point:	W8-2w
Community ID:	Farmed wetland
Section:	5
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 5 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Virgil silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR	3/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
8	19	2	10YR	5/2	95	10YR	5/8	5	C	M	silty loam
19	24	3	10YR	5/2	90	10YR	5/8	10	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets A11 and F6 indicators described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States--version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W8 | Sample Point: W8-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Euphorbia nutans*	30	Y	FACU
2.	*Alisma subcordatum*	25	Y	OBL
3.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	15	Y	FACW
4.	--	--		--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	70		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	25	x 1 =	25
FACW spp.	15	x 2 =	30
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	30	x 4 =	120
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	70 (A)		175 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.500***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Virgil silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W8
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W8-3u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Virgil silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Compaction Depth: 10

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Restrictive compaction at 10 inches. The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W8 | Sample Point: W8-3u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Virgil silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W8
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W8-3w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 5 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Virgil silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR 3/2	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	silty clay loam
14	24	2	10YR 5/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F6 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W8 | Sample Point: W8-3w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Euphorbia nutans*	20	Y	FACU
2.	*Alisma subcordatum*	20	Y	OBL
3.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	15	Y	FACW
4.	*Eleocharis obtusa*	10	N	OBL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	65		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	30	x 1 =	30
FACW spp.	15	x 2 =	30
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	20	x 4 =	80
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	65 (A)		140 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.154***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W9
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W9-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR 4/3	100	--	--	--	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Compaction Depth: 10

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Soil is compacted at 10 inches. The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W9 | Sample Point: W9-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W9
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W9-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 3 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	11	1	10YR 3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty loam
11	24	2	10YR 5/2	95	10YR	5/8	5	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meet the A11 indicator described in the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W9 | Sample Point: W9-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: No vegetation present at sample plot. Professional opinion is that vegetation is hydrophytic because corn crop is too stressed to grow.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***NA*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***NA***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W10
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W10-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Community ID:	Agricultural field
			Datum: N/A	Section:	5
				Township:	6N
				Range:	14 Dir: E

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	17	1	10YR 4/3	98	10YR 5/6	2	C	M	silty loam
17	24	2	10YR 5/2	95	10YR 5/6	5	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W10 | Sample Point: W10-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W10
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W10-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 5 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 3/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty loam
12	24	2	10YR 3/2	85	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	10YR 4/1	5	D	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F6 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W10 | Sample Point: W10-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Amaranthus tuberculatus*	25	Y	OBL
2.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	15	Y	FACW
3.	*Eleocharis obtusa*	2	N	OBL
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	42		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	27	x 1 =	27
FACW spp.	15	x 2 =	30
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	42 (A)		57 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***1.357***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Sisson fine sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W11
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W11-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers NE/NC Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation is present at the sample plot, the lack of wetland hydrology and hydric soil indicate that the sample plot is located in an upland agricultural field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Sisson fine sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	11	1	10YR 3/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: gravel Depth: 11

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Restrictive gravel at 11 inches. The soil at the plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W11 | Sample Point: W11-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	100	Y	FACW
2.				
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation at the sample plot is problematic because the farmed crop is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Sisson fine sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W11
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W11-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☑ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 primary and 3 secondary indicator at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Sisson fine sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR	3/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	clay loam
12	24	2	10YR	4/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A11 and F6 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W11 | Sample Point: W11-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	10	Y	FACW
2.	*Populus deltoides*	2	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	12		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	10	x 2 =	20
FAC spp.	2	x 3 =	6
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	12 (A)		26 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.167***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Matherton silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W12
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W12-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Community ID:	Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the Army Corps of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are requred to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation was observed at the sample plot, the lack of wetland hydrology and hydric soil indicate that the sample plot is located in an upland agricultural field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Matherton silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Udollic Endoaqualfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 2/1	100				--	--	clay loam
12	24	2	2.5Y 5/3	60	2.5Y	5/2	30	C	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	2.5Y	5/6	10	C	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not meet any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W12 | Sample Point: W12-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	100	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation is problematic because the farmed crop is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Wasepi sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W12
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	P-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a depression within an agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provide evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Wasepi sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquollic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR 2/1	100				--	--	sand
20	24	2	10YR 5/2	95	2.5Y	5/6	5	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W12 | Sample Point: P-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	20	Y	FACW
2.	*Persicaria pensylvanica*	7	N	FACW
3.	*Cyperus esculentus*	5	N	FACW
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	32		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Evidence of crop stress is present.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	32	x 2 =	64
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	32 (A)		64 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Sebewa silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W13
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W13-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the Army Coprs of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation was observed at the sample plot, the lack of wetland hydrology and hydric soil indicate that the sample plot is located in an upland agricultural field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of only 1 secondary indicator at the sample plot does not provide sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Sebewa silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly to somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Argiaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	1	10YR 2/1	100			C	M	clay loam
9	24	2	2.5Y 5/3	90	2.5Y 5/6	10	C	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth: — **Hydric Soil Present?** ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W13 | Sample Point: W13-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	100	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation is problematic because the crop they are farming is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Sebewa silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W13
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W13-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☑ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 4 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Sebewa silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly to somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Argiaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	23	1	10YR 2/1	100			--	--	clay loam
23	25	2	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--			--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W13 | Sample Point: W13-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	15	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	15	x 2 =	30
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	15 (A)		30 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Sebewa silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W13
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W13-2w
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located within a mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provide sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Sebewa silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly to somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Argiaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	9	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay loam
9	12	2	10YR	6/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silt loam
12	20	3	10YR	5/2	80	10YR	5/8	20	C	M	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A11 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W13 | Sample Point: W13-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	100	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Watseka loamy sand	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W14
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W14-1u
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a swale through a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the NRCS NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydrophytic vegetation is present at the sample plot, the lack of hydric soil and wetland hydrology indicate that the sample plot is not located within a wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Watseka loamy sand — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquic Hapludolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	13	1	10YR 3/2	10	--	--	--	--	--	clay loam
13	24	2	10YR 4/2	98	10YR	5/6	2	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not meet any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W14 | Sample Point: W14-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	100	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Vegetation at the sample plot is problematic because they are farming a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Wasepi sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W14
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W14-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	5
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a depression within a mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provide sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Wasepi sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquollic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	6	1	10YR 3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay loam
6	23	2	10YR 3/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	clay loam
23	25	3	10YR 4/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F6 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W14 | Sample Point: W14-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA SPICATA*	2	N	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	2		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***NA*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	2	x 2 =	4
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	2 (A)		4 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: There was very little vegetation at the sample plot. The vegetation is a planted cultivar - therefore it is problematic

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Otter silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W-15
Sample Point:	P-1u
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a cultivated soybean field. The WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wetter than normal leading up to field investigations.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Otter silt loam Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Cumulic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	3	1	10YR 3/2	100					silty clay loam
3	24	2	10YR 3/1	100					silty clay loam
24	30	3	10YR 5/1	90	10YR 6/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☑ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This soil is comprised of sidecastings from dredging of adjacent drainage ditch. The sidecastings are approximately 2 to 3 feet higher than the ground surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-15 | Sample Point: P-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Ambrosia trifida*	100	Y	FAC
2.				
3.				
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	100	x 3 =	300
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		300 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The vegetation layer at this sample point is likely a result of seedbank spread as a result of dredging out the adjacent drainage ditch and not representative of wetland hydrology since this vegetation is found on sidecastings which are 2 to 3 feet higher than the surrounding ground surface.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Otter silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W15
Landform:	Drainage ditch	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W15-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: This sample point is located within a farm drainage ditch.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 12	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Otter silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Cumulic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	3	1	10YR 3/2	100						silty clay
3	18	2	2.5Y 5/1	85	10YR	5/6	15	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W15 | Sample Point: W15-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA	100	Y	FACW
2.				
3.				
4.				#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W16
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W16-1u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes**	☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a cultivated soybean field. The WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wetter than normal leading up to field investigations.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface. This ag field is extensively drain tiled. This wetland is likely a result of a drain tile failure or blowout.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
14	30	2	10YR 4/4	100					mucky peat
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W16 | Sample Point: W16-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	90	Y	UPL
2.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	5	N	FACU
3.				
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	5	x 4 =	20
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	95 (A)		470 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.947***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This sample point is located within a cultivated soybean field immediately adjacent to wetland W-7

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W16
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W16-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop. The wetland is present likely because of a drainage tile system failure or blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 10	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR	2/1	100						muck
24	36	2	10YR	4/4	100						mucky peat
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☑ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W16 | Sample Point: W16-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI*	35	Y	FAC
2.	*PERSICARIA MACULOSA*	20	Y	FAC
3.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	35	Y	FACU
4.				#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	55	x 3 =	165
FACU spp.	35	x 4 =	140
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	90 (A)		305 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.389***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W-16
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-2u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes**	☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a cultivated soybean field. The WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wetter than normal leading up to field investigations. This ag field is extensively drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
12	16	2	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	c	m	silty clay
16	24	3	10YR 5/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	c	m	sandy clay
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-16 | Sample Point: P-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	GLYCINE MAX	85	Y	UPL
2.				
3.				
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This sample point is located within a cultivated soybean field immediately adjacent to wetland W-7

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W16
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-2w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop. The wetland is present likely because of a drainage tile system failure or blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 10	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR 2/1	100						muck
20	40	2	10YR 5/1	85	10YR	5/6	15	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☑ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W16 | Sample Point: P-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Salix interior*	5	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Typha latifolia*	20	Y	OBL
2.	*Juncus tenuis*	80	Y	FAC
3.				
4.				#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	20	x 1 =	20
FACW spp.	5	x 2 =	10
FAC spp.	80	x 3 =	240
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	105 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.571***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W17
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W17-1u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes**	☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a cultivated soybean field. The WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wetter than normal leading up to field investigations. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface. This ag field is drain tiled.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	28	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
28	30	2	10YR 4/4	100					mucky peat
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W17 | Sample Point: W17-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	GLYCINE MAX	85	Y	UPL
2.				
3.				
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This sample point is located within a cultivated soybean field immediately adjacent to wetland W-17

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W17
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W17-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop. The wetland is present likely because of a drainage tile system failure or blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 10	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	2/1	100						muck
10	14	2	10YR	4/4	100						mucky peat
14	22	3	10YR	2/1	100						muck
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W17 | Sample Point: W17-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Verbena hastata*	5	N	FACW
2.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	30	Y	FACW
3.	*Juncus tenuis*	60	Y	FAC
4.	*PERSICARIA MACULOSA*	15	N	FAC
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	110		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	35	x 2 =	70
FAC spp.	75	x 3 =	225
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	110 (A)		295 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.682***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W17
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W17-2u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes**	☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a cultivated soybean field. The WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wetter than normal leading up to field investigations. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	28	1	10YR	2/1	100						muck
28	30	2	10YR	4/4	100						mucky peat
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W17 | Sample Point: W17-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	GLYCINE MAX	85	Y	UPL
2.				
3.				
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This sample point is located within a cultivated soybean field immediately adjacent to wetland W-17

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project		Stantec Project #:	193705828	
Applicant:	Ranger Power				
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:			
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck		NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	
Landform:	Flat		Local Relief:	Linear	
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A		Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/11/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W17
Sample Point:	W17-2w
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop. The wetland is present likely because of a drainage tile system failure or blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 10	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
10	14	2	10YR 4/4	100					mucky peat
14	22	3	10YR 2/1	100					muck
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W17 | Sample Point: W17-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Epilobium coloratum*	90	Y	OBL
2.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	10	N	FACW
3.	*Juncus tenuis*	5	N	FAC
4.				#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	105		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	90	x 1 =	90
FACW spp.	10	x 2 =	20
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	105 (A)		125 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***1.190***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W-18
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-1u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a cultivated soybean field. The WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wetter than normal leading up to field investigations. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	2/1	100						silt loam
10	24	2	10YR	4/3	100						silt loam
24	30	3	10YR	2/1	100						muck
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Top horizons are likely deposition from up slope over an original histic epipedon found at depth. Deposition is a result of erosion and mass creep from up slope positions.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-18 | Sample Point: P-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	GLYCINE MAX	95	Y	UPL
2.				
3.				
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	95 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This sample point is located within a cultivated soybean field immediately adjacent to wetland W-18

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W18
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop. The wetland is present likely because of a drainage tile system failure or blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 8	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 2/1	100					silt loam
12	24	2	10YR 4/2	85	10YR 5/6	15	c	m	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☑ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W18 | Sample Point: P-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.				#N/A
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Scirpus atrovirens*	30	Y	OBL
2.	*Juncus tenuis*	70	Y	FAC
3.				
4.				#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	30	x 1 =	30
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	70	x 3 =	210
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		240 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.400***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/11/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W-19
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes**	☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. The cultivated crop in this area has been "drowned out" giving evidence of standing water ealier in the year during the planting season

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☑ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No draintile is present in this agricultural field. The soybean crop was drowned out in this area giving and indication of standing water / saturation during the early part of the planting season.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR 2/1	100	-- --	--	--	--	muck
24	36	2	10YR 2/1	100					mucky peat
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Draintiles are not present in this ag field

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-19 | Sample Point: P-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	85	Y	FACU
2.		--	--	#N/A
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	85	x 4 =	340
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		340 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

Even though the vegetation is not hydrophytic, several positive indicators of wetland hydrology as well as hydric soils leads to professional opinion that this is a wetland.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W-20
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-1u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes**	☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This agricultural field is extensively drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
12	17	2	10YR 4/4	100					mucky peat
17	26	3	10YR 6/2	90	10YR 6/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drain tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-20 | Sample Point: P-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.				
3.		--	--	
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project		Stantec Project #:	193705828	
Applicant:	Ranger Power				
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:			
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck		NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	
Landform:	Flat		Local Relief:	Linear	
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A		Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W20
Sample Point:	P-1w
Community ID:	wet meadow
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 6	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
10	12	2	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 6/6	10	c	m	silt loam
12	14	3	10YR 5/1	90	10YR 5/6	10	c	m	sand
14	20	4	10YR 4/2	85	10YR 6/6	15	c	m	silt loam
20	26	5	2.5Y 5/1	85	10YR 5/6	15	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☑ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W20 | Sample Point: P-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Juncus tenuis*	95	Y	FAC
2.	*Bidens cernua*	5	N	OBL
3.	*Typha latifolia*	5	N	OBL
4.	*Cyperus esculentus*	5	N	FACW
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	110		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	10	x 1 =	10
FACW spp.	5	x 2 =	10
FAC spp.	95	x 3 =	285
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	110 (A)		305 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.773***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W-20
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-2u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located adjacent to a drainage ditch on top of the sidecastings from dredging the ditch.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	30	1	10YR 2/1	60					muck
			10YR 4/2	20					silt loam
			10YR 5/1	20					silty clay
--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: This soil is a mixed matrix because it is comprised of the sidecastings from dredging the adjoining drainage ditch. The sample point is located on these sidecastings approximately 2-3 feet higher that the wetland piont (W4-p5w) completed below.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-20 | Sample Point: P-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	20	Y	FAC
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	20		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	75	Y	FACW
2.	*CIRSIUM ARVENSE*	20	Y	FACU
3.	*Urtica dioica*	5	N	FAC
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	75	x 2 =	150
FAC spp.	25	x 3 =	75
FACU spp.	20	x 4 =	80
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	120 (A)		305 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.542***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Wetland vegetation is present primarily because of the seedbank carried with the sidecastings from the dredging of the adjoining drainage ditch. This is not normal vegetation.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W20
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-2w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 8	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
12	20	2	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 6/6	10	c	m	silt loam
20	26	3	10YR 5/1	90	10YR 5/6	10	c	m	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☑ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W20 | Sample Point: P-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Juncus torreyi*	90	Y	FACW
2.	*Lobelia siphilitica*	5	N	FACW
3.	*RUMEX CRISPUS*	5	N	FAC
4.				#N/A
5.		--	--	#N/A
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		205 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.050***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828
Applicant:	Ranger Power		
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:	
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/10/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W-20
Sample Point:	P-3u
Community ID:	Agricultural field
Section:	7
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This agricultural field is extensively drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR	2/1	100						muck
20	22	2	10YR	4/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	c	m	sandy clay loam
22	28	3	10YR	5/1	90	10YR	6/6	10	c	m	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drain tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-20 | Sample Point: P-3u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.				
3.		--	--	
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W20
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-3w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 18	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	18	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
18	20	2	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 4/4	10	c	m	sandy clay loam
20	26	3	10YR 5/1	90	10YR 6/6	10	c	m	sandy clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W20 | Sample Point: P-3w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Epilobium coloratum*	20	Y	OBL
2.				
3.		--	--	
4.		--	--	#N/A
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	20		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

80% of the ground surface is open ground due to the effects of adjoining herbicide spraying

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	20	x 1 =	20
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	20 (A)		20 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***1.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W21
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated soybean crop. The wetland is likely due to a draintile blowout.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 12	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
24	28	2	10YR 5/1	100					sand
					--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: wetland vegetation and hydrology presence most likely a result of a draintile blowout.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W21 | Sample Point: P-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Juncus tenuis*	100	Y	FAC
2.				
3.		--	--	
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	100	x 3 =	300
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		300 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Edwards muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W-21
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	P-2u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	7
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural soybean field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Edwards muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	18	1	10YR 2/1	100					muck
18	24	2	2.5Y 5/1	90	10YR 5/6	10	c	m	fine sandy clay loam
					--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drain tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-21 | Sample Point: P-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	85	Y	UPL
2.				
3.		--	--	
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	85	x 5 =	425
Total	85 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W22
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W22-1u
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood Forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a hardwood forest. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR 4/3	100						sandy loam
20	24	2	10YR 5/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	sandy loam
					--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project Wetland ID: W22 Sample Point: W22-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Quercus alba*	40	Y	FACU
2.	*MORUS ALBA*	40	Y	FACU
3.	*Prunus serotina*	10	N	FACU
4.	*Carya ovata*	10	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*MORUS ALBA*	10	Y	FACU
2.	*LONICERA TATARICA*	10	Y	FACU
3.	*Ulmus americana*	5	N	FACW
4.	*Acer negundo*	5	N	FAC
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*	40	Y	FACU
2.	*Circaea canadensis*	10	N	FACU
3.	*Geum canadense*	10	N	FAC
4.	*ARCTIUM MINUS*	5	N	FACU
5.	*Ribes americanum*	5	N	FACW
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	70		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	10	x 2 =	20
FAC spp.	15	x 3 =	45
FACU spp.	175	x 4 =	700
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	200 (A)		765 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.825***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Juneau silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W22
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W22-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Juneau silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Udifluvents

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR	3/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
8	24	2	10YR	4/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
						--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A11, F3, and F6 indicators described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States- version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W22 | Sample Point: W22-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	100	Y	FACW
2.	*Urtica dioica*	15	N	FAC
3.	*ALLIARIA PETIOLATA*	2	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	117		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	15	x 3 =	45
FACU spp.	2	x 4 =	8
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	117 (A)		253 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.162***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	st charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W23
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W23-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: st charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: #N/A

Taxonomy (Subgroup): #N/A

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	13	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Compaceted Silt loam Depth: 13

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W23 | Sample Point: W23-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	*SETARIA VIRIDIS*	5	Y	UPL
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	st charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W23
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W23-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	1	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	1	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	1	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provide evidence of wetland hydrology. Has approximately 1 inch of perched water, but soil is dry below. Water table not found.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: st charles silt loam Series Drainage Class: #N/A

Taxonomy (Subgroup): #N/A

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix			Redox Features					Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
			Color (Moist)		%	Color (Moist)		%	Type	Location	
0	4	1	10YR	3/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
4	24	2	10YR	4/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Depth:

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F3 and F6 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States- version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W23 | Sample Point: W23-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Typha latifolia*	20	Y	OBL
2.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	10	Y	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	20	x 1 =	20
FACW spp.	10	x 2 =	20
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	30 (A)		40 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***1.333***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/19/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W24
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W24-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☑ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot .

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	7	1	10YR 3/3	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	silty loam
7	24	2	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: sand Depth: 10

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F3 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W24 | Sample Point: W24-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--		--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: *NA* (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = *NA*

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation was not present. The area appears to be seasonally too wet for corn crop to grow. Professional opinion is that vegetation is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W-25
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W25-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	34	1	10YR	2/1	100						muck
34	36	2	10YR	4/4	100						mucky peat
						--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drain tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W-25 | Sample Point: W25-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.				
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Patchy growth in agricultural crop was notices at this sample point as opposed to thick growth observed in fields north of HWY 18 where regular irrigation is employed.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W25
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W25-1w
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes**	☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated mint crop. It is in a depression that is devoid of vegetation indicating standing water conditions during periods of the year.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☑ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	28	1	10YR 3/1	100						muck
28	30	2	10YR 5/1	90	10YR	5/6	10	c	m	silty clay loam
					--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: strong evidence of surface water ponding

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W25 | Sample Point: W25-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.				
2.				
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Area is devoid of vegetation / there are algal crusts on the surface - this wetland is comprised of a depressional area. Evidence of standing water is strong.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: *NA* (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = *NA*

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W26
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W26-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes**	☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in an agricultural mint field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. WETS analysis indicates that conditions are wettter than normal leading up to field investigations. Extensive drain tile has been installed in this agricultural field. Surrounding agricultural ditches have been excavated to depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR 3/2	100					muck
8	38	2	10YR 3/1	100					muck
					--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil does not appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years. Extensive drain tiles are present within this agricultural field.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W26 | Sample Point: W26-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*MENTHA piperita*	85	Y	FACW
2.				
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	85	x 2 =	170
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	85 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at this sample point is cultivated agriculture. Patchy growth in agricultural crop was notices at this sample point as opposed to thick growth observed in fields north of HWY 18 where regular irrigation is employed. This is problem vegetation because the cultivar is a FACW species.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/10/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Brian Karczewski	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W26
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W26-1w
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wetland surounded by cultivated mint crop. It is in a depression that has a drailage tile standpipe located in its center. The area is devoid of vegetation indicateing standing water conditions during periods of the year.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☑ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☑ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☑ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	20	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	10	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR 3/2	100					muck
8	38	2	10YR 3/1	100					muck
					--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):
- ☑ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: strong evidence of surface water ponding

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W26 | Sample Point: W26-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.				
2.				
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***NA*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***NA***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Area is devoid f vegetation / there are algal crusts on the surface - this wetland is comprised of a depression that has a drain tile system standpipe located at its center. Evidence of standing water is strong.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Whalan loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W28
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	None	Sample Point:	W28-1u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Ag field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Whalan loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	5	--	10YR 3/3	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
5	14	--	10YR 4/4	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
14	16	--	10YR 3/3	95	--	2.5YR 4/4	5	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Clay Depth: 16

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W28 | Sample Point: W28-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	50	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	50		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Corn not stressed or stunted.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	50	x 5 =	250
Total	50 (A)		250 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Whalan loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W28
Landform:	Ditchline	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W28-1w
Slope (%):	1-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow / hardwood swamp
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Whalan loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	4	--	10YR 3/2	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
4	9	--	10YR 3/3	95	5YR 4/4	5	C	M	silty clay
9	13	--	10YR 3/4	90	5YR 4/6	10	C	M	silty clay
13	24	--	10YR 4/4	100	--	--	--	--	clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W28 | Sample Point: W28-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	30	Y	FACW
2.	*Acer negundo*	30	Y	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	60		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Ulmus americana*	15	Y	FACW
2.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	15	Y	FAC
3.	*Acer negundo*	5	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	35		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	70	Y	FACW
2.	*Vitis riparia*	5	N	FAC
3.	*Solidago gigantea*	2	N	FACW
4.	*RUMEX CRISPUS*	2	N	FAC
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	79		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	117	x 2 =	234
FAC spp.	57	x 3 =	171
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	174 (A)		405 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.328***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Whalan loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W28
Landform:	Summit	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	W28-2u
Slope (%):	3-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Upland meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Whalan loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	11	--	10YR 3/3	100	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
11	24	--	10YR 3/1	100	-- --	--	--	--	sandy silt
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W28 | Sample Point: W28-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Rhus glabra*	5	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	*Urtica dioica*	5	N	FAC
3.	*LOLIUM PERENNE*	10	N	FACU
4.	*Asclepias syriaca*	1	N	UPL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	106		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	10	x 4 =	40
UPL spp.	96	x 5 =	480
Total	111 (A)		535 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.820***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Whalan loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W28
Landform:	Ditchline	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W28-2w
Slope (%):	7-10	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 36	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 10	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Whalan loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	33	--	N 2.5/N	100	-- --	--	--	--	peat
33	34	--	10YR 4/1	100	-- --	--	--	--	clay
34	39	--	10YR 5/1	100	-- --	--	--	--	clay
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W28 | Sample Point: W28-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	80	Y	FACW
2.	*Echinocystis lobata*	20	N	FACW
3.	*Urtica dioica*	2	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	102		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	100	x 2 =	200
FAC spp.	2	x 3 =	6
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	102 (A)		206 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.020***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/18/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W29
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	W29-1u
Slope (%):	3-5	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	7	--	10YR 3/3	100	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
7	18	--	10YR 4/4	100	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
18	24	--	10YR 5/6	100	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: -- Depth: --

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W29 | Sample Point: W29-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	70	Y	FACW
2.	*Acer negundo*	5	N	FAC
3.	*Quercus alba*	5	N	FACU
4.	*Tilia americana*	5	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Glyceria striata*	2	N	OBL
2.	*Rubus hispidus*	2	N	FACW
3.	*ALLIARIA PETIOLATA*	5	Y	FACU
4.	*Symphyotrichum lateriflorum*	2	N	FAC
5.	*Streptopus lanceolatus*	2	N	FACU
6	*Rubus allegheniensis*	5	Y	FACU
7.	*RHEUM RHABARBARUM*	1	N	UPL
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	19		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***33%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	2	x 1 =	2
FACW spp.	72	x 2 =	144
FAC spp.	7	x 3 =	21
FACU spp.	22	x 4 =	88
UPL spp.	1	x 5 =	5
Total	104 (A)		260 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.500***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☐ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project		Stantec Project #:	193705828	
Applicant:	Ranger Power				
Investigator #1:	Timothy Botting	Investigator #2:			
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam		NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	
Landform:	Depression		Local Relief:	Concave	
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A		Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Date:	07/18/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W29
Sample Point:	W29-1w
Community ID:	Hardwood swamp
Section:	8
Township:	6N
Range:	14E Dir: --

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes**	☐ **No**

Remarks: WETS analysis indicated antecedent precipitation was wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☑ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 4	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks:

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	--	10YR 3/1	100	--	--	--	--	muck
10	14	--	10YR 4/1	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
14	24	--	10YR 5/4	99	--	2.5YR 3/6	1	C	M	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☑ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☑ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W29 | Sample Point: W29-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Ulmus americana*	60	Y	FACW
2.	*Tilia americana*	5	N	FACU
3.	*Acer negundo*	10	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	75		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Ulmus americana*	15	Y	FACW
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Glyceria striata*	20	Y	OBL
2.	*Rubus hispidus*	15	Y	FACW
3.	*Urtica dioica*	2	N	FAC
4.	*Ribes americanum*	30	Y	FACW
5.	*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*	2	N	FACU
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	69		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks:

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	20	x 1 =	20
FACW spp.	120	x 2 =	240
FAC spp.	12	x 3 =	36
FACU spp.	7	x 4 =	28
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	159 (A)		324 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.038***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Palms muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W31
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W31-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a depression at the edge of a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provide evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Palms muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	7	1	10YR 2/1	80	--	--	--	--	silt loam
0	7	1	7.5YR 2.5/1	20	--	--	--	--	silt loam
7	24	2	10YR 6/1	90	10YR 5/6	10	C	M	loamy sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☑ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Tile Depth: 25

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Restrictive drain tile at 25 inches. The soil at the sample point may meet the A11 and F3 Indicators described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W31 | Sample Point: W31-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	60	Y	UPL
2.	*Cyperus esculentus*	15	N	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	75		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	15	x 2 =	30
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	60	x 5 =	300
Total	75 (A)		330 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.400***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation at the sample plot was problematic because the corn crop was stressed.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project		Stantec Project #:	193705828	
Applicant:	Ranger Power				
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine		Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck		NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	
Landform:	Depression		Local Relief:	Concave	
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A		Datum: N/A

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?

Are normal circumstances present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Date:	07/17/18
County:	Jefferson
State:	Wisconsin
Wetland ID:	W32
Sample Point:	W32-1w
Community ID:	Farmed wetland
Section:	18
Township:	6N
Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	40	1	10YR 2/1	80	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
0	40	2	10YR 3/2	20	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-- --	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot may meet the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1. Professional opinion is that the soil is hydric due to the presence of wetland hydrology and crop stress.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W32 | Sample Point: W32-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	80	Y	UPL
2.	*Amaranthus tuberculatus*	5	N	OBL
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Vegetation was problematic because the corn crop was stressed. Professional opinion is that the vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	5	x 1 =	5
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	80	x 5 =	400
Total	85 (A)		405 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.765***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☐ Yes	☑ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☑ Yes	☐ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/16/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Rotamer loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W33
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	W33-1u
Slope (%):	2-6	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a corn field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Rotamer loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Argiudolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	13	1	10YR 3/2	100						silty clay loam
13	24	2	10YR 4/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	silty clay loam
					--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W33 | Sample Point: W33-1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Cyperus esculentus*	80	Y	FACW
2.	*Cyperus esculentus*	10	N	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	90	x 2 =	180
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	90 (A)		180 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/16/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Wacousta silty clay loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W33
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W33-1w
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☑, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: Presence of 2 secondary indicators at sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Wacousta silty clay loam — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	5	1	10YR 3/2	98	10YR 4/4	2	C	M	silty clay loam
5	12	2	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	silty clay loam
12	24	3	10YR 2/1	100	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Soil at sample plot meets F3 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - Version 8.1

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W33 | Sample Point: W33-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Cyperus esculentus*	25	Y	FACW
2.	*ZEA MAYS*	5	N	UPL
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	25	x 2 =	50
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	30 (A)		75 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.500***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/16/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Rotamer loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W33
Landform:	Side slope	Local Relief:	Convex	Sample Point:	W33-2u
Slope (%):	6-12	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes	☐ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a hardwood forest. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed within the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Rotamer loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Argiudolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR 5/4	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
14	20	2	10YR 4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of wetland hydrology, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W33 | Sample Point: W33-2u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Prunus serotina*	30	Y	FACU
2.	*Acer negundo*	30	Y	FAC
3.	*Juglans nigra*	20	Y	FACU
4.	*MORUS ALBA*	10	N	FACU
5.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	5	N	FAC
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*LONICERA TATARICA*	25	Y	FACU
2.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	5	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Erigeron annuus*	20	Y	FACU
2.	*Viburnum lentago*	10	Y	FAC
3.	*Polygonatum biflorum*	10	Y	FACU
4.	*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*	10	Y	FACU
5.	*Geum canadense*	10	Y	FAC
6	*RUBUS IDAEUS VAR. IDAEUS*	10	Y	FAC
7.	*Ribes hirtellum*	10	Y	FACW
8.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	5	N	FAC
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	85		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 11 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***45%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	10	x 2 =	20
FAC spp.	75	x 3 =	225
FACU spp.	125	x 4 =	500
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	210 (A)		745 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.548***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Wacousta silty clay loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W33
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W33-2w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Floodplain Forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a floodplain forest near a waterway. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Wacousta silty clay loam — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	17	1	10YR 2/1	100	--	--	--	--	silt loam
17	24	2	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W33 | Sample Point: W33-2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	80	Y	FAC
2.	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	20	N	FACW
3.	*Prunus serotina*	10	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	110		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	20	Y	FAC
2.	*LONICERA TATARICA*	5	Y	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	25		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Impatiens capensis*	25	Y	FACW
2.	*Circaea canadensis*	20	Y	FACU
3.	*Ribes americanum*	15	Y	FACW
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	60		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	60	x 2 =	120
FAC spp.	100	x 3 =	300
FACU spp.	35	x 4 =	140
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	195 (A)		560 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.872***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Yes	☐ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☑ Yes	☐ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/17/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Wacousta silty clay loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	N/A	Wetland ID:	W33
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W33-3w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a depression within a soy field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Wacousta silty clay loam — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Endoaquolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	3	1	10YR 3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay loam
3	7	2	10YR 2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	clay loam
7	24	3	10YR 4/1	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F3 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 8.1. This area appears to be located over a failing drain tile.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W33 | Sample Point: W33-3w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*GLYCINE MAX*	30	Y	UPL
2.	*ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI*	30	Y	FAC
3.	*Ambrosia trifida*	30	Y	FAC
4.	*Carex vulpinoidea*	5	N	OBL
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	95		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***67%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	5	x 1 =	5
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	60	x 3 =	180
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	30	x 5 =	150
Total	95 (A)		335 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.526***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Corn is slightly stressed

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	07/16/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Matt Knickelbine	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1K	Wetland ID:	W34
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	W34-1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: farmed wetland
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	18
Are Vegetation ☑, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. This ag field is drain tiled.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☑ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR 2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
20	24	2	2.5Y 5/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☑ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A12 indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of hydric soil in the United States - version 8.1

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W34 | Sample Point: W34-1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	5	Y	UPL
2.	*Cyperus esculentus*	2	N	FACW
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	7		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	2	x 2 =	4
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	5	x 5 =	25
Total	7 (A)		29 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.143***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Vegetation was problematic because there were not many plants and the planted corn was stressed. Professional opinion is that vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Jennifer Kamm	Investigator #2:	Caroline Armer	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Keowns silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	T3Kg	Wetland ID:	n/a
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	flat	Sample Point:	SP1
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Keowns silt loam — Series Drainage Class: poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Mollic Endoaquepts

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR 3/2	100	-- --	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: n/a | Sample Point: SP1

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Cultivated crop and no evidence of crop stress.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Jennifer Kamm	Investigator #2:	Caroline Armer	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	T3Kg	Wetland ID:	n/a
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	flat	Sample Point:	SP2
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	6
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	16	1	10YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silt loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: n/a | Sample Point: SP2

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	60	Y	UPL
2.	*CIRSIUM ARVENSE*	5	N	FACU
3.	*TARAXACUM OFFICINALE*	5	N	FACU
4.	*Panicum virgatum*	5	N	FAC
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	75		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	10	x 4 =	40
UPL spp.	60	x 5 =	300
Total	75 (A)		355 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.733***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-4
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 21	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	17	1	10YR	4/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
17	24	2	2.5YR	5/2	98	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	2	10YR	5/6	2	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-4

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Corn is harvested and field is plowed.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-5
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 22	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	10YR	4/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay
12	16	2	10YR	4/4	80	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay
		2	10YR	4/2	20	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
16	24	3	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-5

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	95	Y	UPL
2.	*Panicum capillare*	5	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	95	x 5 =	475
Total	100 (A)		490 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.900***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-6
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	4/2	50	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	1	10YR	4/3	50	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
14	23	2	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-6

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	90	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	90 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-7
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood Forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a hardwood forest. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	23	1	10YR 4/3	100	-- --	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-7

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	40	Y	FACW
2.	*Acer negundo*	30	Y	FAC
3.	*Prunus serotina*	2	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	72		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Rubus allegheniensis*	5	Y	FACU
2.	*LONICERA X BELLA*	5	Y	FACU
3.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	5	Y	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	15		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Geum canadense*	40	Y	FAC
2.	*ARCTIUM MINUS*	20	Y	FACU
3.	*Hackelia virginiana*	20	Y	FACU
4.	*HESPERIS MATRONALIS*	1	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	81		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***50%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	40	x 2 =	80
FAC spp.	75	x 3 =	225
FACU spp.	53	x 4 =	212
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	168 (A)		517 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.077***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Kidder loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-8
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood Forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	12
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a hardwood forest. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Kidder loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	25	1	10YR 4/3	100	-- --	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-8

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	30	Y	FACW
2.	*Acer negundo*	30	Y	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	60		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Sambucus nigra*	10	Y	FACW
2.	*LONICERA X BELLA*	10	Y	FACU
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	20		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*BROMUS INERMIS*	60	Y	UPL
2.	*Hackelia virginiana*	20	N	FACU
3.	*Urtica dioica*	20	N	FAC
4.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	10	N	FACW
5.	*LEONURUS CARDIACA*	10	N	UPL
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	120		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***60%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	50	x 2 =	100
FAC spp.	50	x 3 =	150
FACU spp.	30	x 4 =	120
UPL spp.	70	x 5 =	350
Total	200 (A)		720 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.600***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Linear	Sample Point:	SP-9
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Hardwood Forest
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a hardwood forest. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	20	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
20	23	2	10YR	3/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-9

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	10	Y	FACW
2.	*Acer negundo*	10	Y	FAC
3.	*Acer saccharinum*	10	Y	FACW
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	30		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*RUBUS IDAEUS VAR. IDAEUS*	5	Y	FAC
2.	*LONICERA X BELLA*	5	Y	FACU
3.	*RHAMNUS CATHARTICA*	5	Y	FAC
4.	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	5	Y	FACW
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	20		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ARCTIUM MINUS*	40	Y	FACU
2.	*Urtica dioica*	10	N	FAC
3.	*LEONURUS CARDIACA*	10	N	UPL
4.	*ALLIARIA PETIOLATA*	10	N	FACU
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	70		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***75%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	25	x 2 =	50
FAC spp.	30	x 3 =	90
FACU spp.	55	x 4 =	220
UPL spp.	10	x 5 =	50
Total	120 (A)		410 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.417***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

Hill to the North with old dumped farm debris.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-10
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 22	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	18	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
18	22	2	10YR	4/3	98	7.5YR	3/4	2	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-10

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	90 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-11
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	10	1	10YR	4/2	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
10	17	2	2.5YR	5/2	98	10YR	5/8	2	C	M	silty clay loam
17	23	3	2.5YR	5/2	80	10YR	5/8	20	C	M	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-11

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	90 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-12
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	18	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
18	23	2	10YR	4/3	50	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	2	10YR	3/2	50	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project Wetland ID: N/A Sample Point: SP-12

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	90 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	N/A
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	SP-13
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural Field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	12
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	24	1	10YR 4/3	100	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: N/A | Sample Point: SP-13

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	90	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	90		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	90	x 5 =	450
Total	90 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Radford silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W35
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Radford silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Fluvaquentic Hapludolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	10YR	4/4	--	--	--	--	--	--	sandy loam
8	11	2	10YR	5/8	--	--	--	--	--	--	sand
11	21	3	7.5YR	2.5/3	--	--	--	--	--	--	sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W35 | Sample Point: 1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed Cornfield, remnant corn stalks. No other vegetation was observed.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Radford silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1Hf	Wetland ID:	W35
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1w
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present☐):

Primary:

- ☑ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	2	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 primary and 1 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Radford silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Fluvaquentic Hapludolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	19	1	10YR 3/1	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	mucky loam
19	28	2	10YR 2/1	100	--	--	--	--	muck
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☑ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F1 and F6 indicator as described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W35 | Sample Point: 1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA*	94	Y	FACW
2.	*Urtica dioica*	5	N	FAC
3.	*Persicaria lapathifolia*	1	N	FACW
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	95	x 2 =	190
FAC spp.	5	x 3 =	15
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	100 (A)		205 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***2.050***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ Yes ☐ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Radford silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1Hf	Wetland ID:	W35
Landform:	Toeslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	2w
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Farmed/Wet meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a farmed wetland/wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. The sample plot is located in a problem area because hydrophytic vegetation was not present. However, according to Army Corps of Engineers guidance, areas under agricultural production are atypical situations that require the use of professional judgment. Based upon the hydrology and soil parameters observed, the sample plot is located in a farmed wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 13	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Radford silt loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Fluvaquentic Hapludolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	11	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	loamy sand
11	24	2	2.5YR	3/1	90	7.5YR	4/6	10	C	M	loamy sand
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F6 indicator as described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W35 | Sample Point: 2w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W36
Landform:	Footslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1u
Slope (%):	0-1	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	16	1	10YR	4/3	--	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
16	23	2	10YR	3/2	--	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W36 | Sample Point: 1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed Corn.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Fox silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W36
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1w
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Wet Meadow
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Fox silt loam — Series Drainage Class: well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	8	1	2.5Y 4/1	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	M	silty clay loam
8	23	2	10YR 4/2	100					silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☑ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F6 indicator as described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W36 | Sample Point: 1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Populus deltoides*	2	Y	FAC
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	2		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Panicum capillare*	94	Y	FAC
2.	*ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI*	5	N	FAC
3.	*Amaranthus retroflexus*	1	N	FACU
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	101	x 3 =	303
FACU spp.	1	x 4 =	4
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	102 (A)		307 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.010***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ Yes	☑ No	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☑ Yes	☐ No	Dominance Test is > 50%
☐ Yes	☑ No	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
☐ Yes	☑ No	Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W37
Landform:	flat	Local Relief:	flat	Sample Point:	1u
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes	☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes	☑ No	Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	23	1	10YR 4/2	100	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W37 | Sample Point: 1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	St. Charles silt loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1Hf	Wetland ID:	W37
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1w
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No		Section: 11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?			Township: 6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No			Range: 13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. The sample plot is located in a problem area beacause hydrophytic vegetation was not present. However, according to Army Corps of Engineers guidance, areas under agricultural production are atypical situations that require the use of professional judgment. Based on the hydrology and soil parameters observed, the sample plot is located in a farmed wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☑ A1 - Surface Water
- ☑ A2 - High Water Table
- ☑ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	6	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	0	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	0	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: St. Charles silt loam — Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	23	1	10YR 4/2	100	-- --	--	--	--	silty clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	-- --	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot was inundated with 6-8" of water.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W37 | Sample Point: 1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	100	Y	UPL
2.	*Panicum capillare*	2	N	FAC
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	102		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	2	x 3 =	6
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	102 (A)		506 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***4.961***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Aztalan fine sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W38
Landform:	Footslope	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1u
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Aztalan fine sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquic Argiudolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	14	1	10YR	4/3	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
14	22	2	10YR	4/3	98	10YR	5/8	2	C	M	sandy clay loam
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☑):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for extended periods of time during the growing season in most years.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W38 | Sample Point: 1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	ZEA MAYS	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/29/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Aztalan fine sandy loam	NWI/WWI Classification:	E1Hf	Wetland ID:	W38
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1w
Slope (%):	0	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	11
Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☑ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic?		☐ Yes ☑ No		Range:	13 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. The sample plot is located in a problem area beacause hydrophytic vegetation was not present. However, according to Army Corps of Engineers guidance, areas under agricultural production are atypical situations that require the use of professional judgment. Based on the hydrology and soil parameters observed, the sample plot is located in a farmed wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☐):

Primary:
- ☑ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☑ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☑ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth: surface	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 3 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides sufficient evidence of wetland hydrology. A large amount of mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and wood duck (*Aix sponsa*) were present at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Aztalan fine sandy loam — Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquic Argiudolls

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):
- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]
- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot is assumed hydric as the sample plot was located in deep open water.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W38 | Sample Point: 1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	100	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	100	x 5 =	500
Total	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Plowed cornfield.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Adrian muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W39
Landform:	Flat	Local Relief:	flat	Sample Point:	1u
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Agricultural field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☐ Yes ☑ No		Section:	8
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☐ **Yes** ☑ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a Agricultural field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal. According to the Army Corps of Engineers NC/NE Supplement, three parameters are required to meet jurisdictional wetland requirements. Although hydric soil is present at the sample plot, the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology indicate the sample plot is located in a drained ag field.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Adrian muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	N	2.5/0	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
12	20	2	2.5YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
20	23	3	5YR	5/1	95	10YR	5/8	2	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present ☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☑ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☑ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the F1 and F3 indicator as described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W39 | Sample Point: 1u

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*ZEA MAYS*	80	Y	UPL
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	80		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	80	x 5 =	400
Total	80 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site:	Badger State Solar Project	Stantec Project #:	193705828	Date:	10/30/18
Applicant:	Ranger Power			County:	Jefferson
Investigator #1:	Kurt Rubsam	Investigator #2:	Jessica Jaworski	State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Houghton muck	NWI/WWI Classification:	none	Wetland ID:	W39
Landform:	Depression	Local Relief:	Concave	Sample Point:	1w
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude: N/A	Longitude: N/A	Datum: N/A	Community ID: Fallow field
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)			☐ Yes ☑ No	Section:	8
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ significantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?		Township:	6N
Are Vegetation☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology☐ naturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No		Range:	14 Dir: E

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Hydric Soils Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland?	☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Remarks: The sample plot is located in a fallow field. WETS analysis determined that the antecedent precipitation conditions were wetter than normal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present☐):

Primary:

- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ B15 - Marl Deposits
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary:

- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ B16 - Moss Trim Lines
- ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants
- ☑ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D3 - Shallow Aquitard
- ☐ D4 - Microtopographic Relief
- ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	☑ Yes	☐ No	Depth: 18	(in.)
Saturation Present?	☐ Yes	☑ No	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: N/A

Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology.

SOILS

Map Unit Name: Houghton muck — Series Drainage Class: very poorly

Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Haplosaprists

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

Top Depth	Bottom Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color (Moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (Moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type	Redox Features Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
0	12	1	N	2.5/0	100	--	--	--	--	--	muck
12	20	2	2.5YR	2/1	100	--	--	--	--	--	silty clay loam
20	23	3	5YR	5/1	95	10YR	5/8	2	C	M	silty clay
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present☐):

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☑ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR R, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ S11 - High Chroma Sands
- ☑ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions

Indicators for Problematic Soils [1]

- ☐ A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
- ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S3 - 5cm Mucky Peat of Peat (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR K, L, M)
- ☐ S8 - Polyvalue Below Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ S9 - Thin Dark Surface (LRR K, L)
- ☐ F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR K, L, R)
- ☐ F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 149B)
- ☐ F21 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TA6 - Mesic Spodic (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A Depth: N/A

Hydric Soil Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: The soil at the sample plot meets the A1 and F1 indicator as described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States-version 8.1.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Northeast and Northcentral Region

Project/Site: Badger State Solar Project | Wetland ID: W39 | Sample Point: 1w

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 meter radius)

1.	*Populus deltoides*	5	Y	FAC
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6.	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	5		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 2 meter radius)

1.	*Panicum capillare*	80	Y	FAC
2.	*Amaranthus albus*	10	N	FACU
3.	*Ambrosia trifida*	10	N	FAC
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
6	--	--	--	--
7.	--	--	--	--
8.	--	--	--	--
9.	--	--	--	--
10.	--	--	--	--
11.	--	--	--	--
12.	--	--	--	--
13.	--	--	--	--
14.	--	--	--	--
15.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	100		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter radius)

1.	--	--	--	--
2.	--	--	--	--
3.	--	--	--	--
4.	--	--	--	--
5.	--	--	--	--
	Total Cover =	0		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***100%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	95	x 3 =	285
FACU spp.	10	x 4 =	40
UPL spp.	0	x 5 =	0
Total	105 (A)		325 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***3.095***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
- ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tall.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.

Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No

Remarks: Dominate vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.

Additional Remarks:

Appendix D – Site Photographs

Stantec

Photographic Log

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 1

File Name:
IMG_00003.jpg



Photograph ID: 2

File Name:
IMG_00011.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 3

File Name:
IMG_00012.jpg



Photograph ID: 4

File Name:
IMG_00013.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 5

File Name:
IMG_00014.jpg



Photograph ID: 6

File Name:
IMG_00015.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 7

File Name:
IMG_00016.jpg



Photograph ID: 8

File Name:
IMG_00017.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 9

File Name:
IMG_00018.jpg



Photograph ID: 10

File Name:
IMG_00019.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 11

File Name:
IMG_00024.jpg



Photograph ID: 12

File Name:
IMG_00025.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 13

File Name:
IMG_00026.jpg



Photograph ID: 14

File Name:
IMG_00027.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 15

File Name:
IMG_00028.jpg



Photograph ID: 16

File Name:
IMG_00029.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 17 **File Name:** IMG_000110.jpg	
Photograph ID: 18 **File Name:** IMG_000111.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 19

File Name:
IMG_000112.jpg



Photograph ID: 20

File Name:
IMG_000113.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 21

File Name:
IMG_000114.jpg



Photograph ID: 22

File Name:
IMG_000115.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 23

File Name:
IMG_000116.jpg



Photograph ID: 24

File Name:
IMG_000117.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 25

File Name:
IMG_000118.jpg



Photograph ID: 26

File Name:
IMG_000119.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 27 **File Name:** IMG_000120.jpg	
Photograph ID: 28 **File Name:** IMG_000121.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 29

File Name:
IMG_000122.jpg



Photograph ID: 30

File Name:
IMG_000123.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 31

File Name:
IMG_000124.jpg



Photograph ID: 32

File Name:
IMG_000125.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 33

File Name:
IMG_000126.jpg



Photograph ID: 34

File Name:
IMG_000127.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 35 **File Name:** IMG_000128.jpg	
Photograph ID: 36 **File Name:** IMG_000129.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 37 **File Name:** IMG_000130.jpg	
Photograph ID: 38 **File Name:** IMG_000131.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 39

File Name:
IMG_000132.jpg



Photograph ID: 40

File Name:
IMG_000133.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 41

File Name:
IMG_000134.jpg



Photograph ID: 42

File Name:
IMG_000135.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 43 **File Name:** IMG_000136.jpg	
Photograph ID: 44 **File Name:** IMG_000137.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 45 **File Name:** IMG_000138.jpg	
Photograph ID: 46 **File Name:** IMG_000139.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 47 **File Name:** IMG_000140.jpg	
Photograph ID: 48 **File Name:** IMG_000141.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 49

File Name:
IMG_000142.jpg



Photograph ID: 50

File Name:
IMG_000143.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 51

File Name:
IMG_000144.jpg



Photograph ID: 52

File Name:
IMG_000145.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 53 **File Name:** IMG_000146.jpg	
Photograph ID: 54 **File Name:** IMG_000147.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 55

File Name:
IMG_000148.jpg



Photograph ID: 56

File Name:
IMG_000149.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 57

File Name:
IMG_000150.jpg



Photograph ID: 58

File Name:
IMG_000151.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 59

File Name:
IMG_000152.jpg



Photograph ID: 60

File Name:
IMG_000153.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 61

File Name:
IMG_000154.jpg



Photograph ID: 62

File Name:
IMG_000155.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 63

File Name:
IMG_000156.jpg



Photograph ID: 64

File Name:
IMG_000157.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 65 **File Name:** IMG_000158.jpg	
Photograph ID: 66 **File Name:** IMG_000159.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 67

File Name:
IMG_000160.jpg



Photograph ID: 68

File Name:
IMG_000161.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 69

File Name:
IMG_000162.jpg



Photograph ID: 70

File Name:
IMG_000163.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 71

File Name:
IMG_000164.jpg



Photograph ID: 72

File Name:
IMG_000210.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 73

File Name:
IMG_000211.jpg



Photograph ID: 74

File Name:
IMG_000212.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 75

File Name:
IMG_000213.jpg



Photograph ID: 76

File Name:
IMG_000214.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 77

File Name:
IMG_000215.jpg



Photograph ID: 78

File Name:
IMG_000216.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 79

File Name:
IMG_000217.jpg



Photograph ID: 80

File Name:
IMG_000218.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 81

File Name:
IMG_000219.jpg



Photograph ID: 82

File Name:
IMG_000220.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 83

File Name:
IMG_000221.jpg



Photograph ID: 84

File Name:
IMG_000222.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 85

File Name:
IMG_000223.jpg



Photograph ID: 86

File Name:
IMG_000224.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 87

File Name:
IMG_000225.jpg



Photograph ID: 88

File Name:
IMG_000226.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 89

File Name:
IMG_000227.jpg



Photograph ID: 90

File Name:
IMG_000228.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 91

File Name:
IMG_000229.jpg



Photograph ID: 92

File Name:
IMG_000230.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 93

File Name:
IMG_000231.jpg



Photograph ID: 94

File Name:
IMG_000232.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 95

File Name:
IMG_000233.jpg



Photograph ID: 96

File Name:
IMG_000234.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 97

File Name:
IMG_000235.jpg



Photograph ID: 98

File Name:
IMG_000236.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 99

File Name:
IMG_000237.jpg



Photograph ID: 100

File Name:
IMG_000238.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 101

File Name:
IMG_000239.jpg



Photograph ID: 102

File Name:
IMG_000240.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 103

File Name:
IMG_000241.jpg



Photograph ID: 104

File Name:
IMG_000242.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 105

File Name:
IMG_000243.jpg



Photograph ID: 106

File Name:
IMG_000244.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 107

File Name:
IMG_000245.jpg



Photograph ID: 108

File Name:
IMG_000246.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 109

File Name:
IMG_000247.jpg



Photograph ID: 110

File Name:
IMG_000248.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 111

File Name:
IMG_000249.jpg



Photograph ID: 112

File Name:
IMG_000265.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 113

File Name:
IMG_000266.jpg



Photograph ID: 114

File Name:
IMG_000267.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 115

File Name:
IMG_000268.jpg



Photograph ID: 116

File Name:
IMG_000269.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 117

File Name:
IMG_000270.jpg



Photograph ID: 118

File Name:
IMG_000271.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 119

File Name:
IMG_000272.jpg



Photograph ID: 120

File Name:
IMG_000273.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 121

File Name:
IMG_000274.jpg



Photograph ID: 122

File Name:
IMG_000275.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 123

File Name:
IMG_000276.jpg



Photograph ID: 124

File Name:
IMG_000277.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 125

File Name:
IMG_000278.jpg



Photograph ID: 126

File Name:
IMG_000279.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 127 **File Name:** IMG_000280.jpg	
Photograph ID: 128 **File Name:** IMG_000281.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 129 **File Name:** IMG_000282.jpg	
Photograph ID: 130 **File Name:** IMG_000283.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 131

File Name:
IMG_000284.jpg



Photograph ID: 132

File Name:
IMG_000285.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 133

File Name:
IMG_000286.jpg



Photograph ID: 134

File Name:
IMG_000287.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 135

File Name:
IMG_000288.jpg



Photograph ID: 136

File Name:
IMG_000289.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 137 **File Name:** IMG_000290.jpg	
Photograph ID: 138 **File Name:** IMG_000291.jpg	

Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 139

File Name:
IMG_000292.jpg



Photograph ID: 140

File Name:
IMG_000293.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 141

File Name:
IMG_000294.jpg



Photograph ID: 142

File Name:
IMG_000295.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 143

File Name:
IMG_000350.jpg



Photograph ID: 144

File Name:
IMG_000351.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 145

File Name:
IMG_000352.jpg



Photograph ID: 146

File Name:
IMG_000353.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 147

File Name:
IMG_000354.jpg



Photograph ID: 148

File Name:
IMG_000355.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 149

File Name:
IMG_000356.jpg



Photograph ID: 150

File Name:
IMG_000357.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 151

File Name:
IMG_000358.jpg



Photograph ID: 152

File Name:
IMG_000359.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**


Photograph ID: 153

File Name:
IMG_000360.jpg



Photograph ID: 154

File Name:
IMG_000361.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 155

File Name:
IMG_000362.jpg



Photograph ID: 156

File Name:
IMG_000363.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 157

File Name:
IMG_000396.jpg



Photograph ID: 158

File Name:
IMG_000464.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 159

File Name:
IMG_000465.jpg



Photograph ID: 160

File Name:
IMG_000497.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 161

File Name:
IMG_000498.jpg



Photograph ID: 162

File Name:
IMG_000599.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 163

File Name:
IMG_000784.jpg



Photograph ID: 164

File Name:
IMG_000785.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 165

File Name:
IMG_000786.jpg



Photograph ID: 166

File Name:
IMG_000787.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 167

File Name:
IMG_000788.jpg



Photograph ID: 168

File Name:
IMG_000789.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 169

File Name:
IMG_0006100.jpg



Photograph ID: 170

File Name:
IMG_0007101.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**


Photograph ID: 171

File Name:
IMG_0007102.jpg



Photograph ID: 172

File Name:
IMG_0007103.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 173

File Name:
IMG_0007104.jpg



Photograph ID: 174

File Name:
IMG_0007105.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 175

File Name:
IMG_0007106.jpg



Photograph ID: 176

File Name:
IMG_0007107.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 177

File Name:
IMG_0007108.jpg



Photograph ID: 178

File Name:
IMG_0007109.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 179

File Name:
IMG_0007110.jpg



Photograph ID: 180

File Name:
IMG_0007111.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 181

File Name:
IMG_0007112.jpg



Photograph ID: 182

File Name:
IMG_0007113.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 183

File Name:
IMG_0007114.jpg



Photograph ID: 184

File Name:
IMG_0007115.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 185

File Name:
IMG_0007116.jpg



Photograph ID: 186

File Name:
IMG_0007117.jpg



Client:	Badger State Solar, LLC	Project:	Badger State Solar Project
Site Name:		Site Location:	Jefferson, Wisconsin

Photograph ID: 187

File Name:
IMG_0007118.jpg



Photograph ID: 188

File Name:
IMG_0007119.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 189

File Name:
IMG_0007120.jpg



Photograph ID: 190

File Name:
IMG_0007121.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 191

File Name:
IMG_0007122.jpg



Photograph ID: 192

File Name:
IMG_0007123.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 193

File Name:
IMG_0007124.jpg



Photograph ID: 194

File Name:
IMG_0007125.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 195

File Name:
IMG_0007126.jpg



Photograph ID: 196

File Name:
IMG_0007127.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 197

File Name:
IMG_0007128.jpg



Photograph ID: 198

File Name:
IMG_0010129.jpg



Client:	**Badger State Solar, LLC**	**Project:**	**Badger State Solar Project**
Site Name:		**Site Location:**	**Jefferson, Wisconsin**

Photograph ID: 199

File Name:
IMG_0010130.jpg



Photograph ID: 200

File Name:
IMG_0011131.jpg





Photo 000466. Upland sample point U-12, view north.




Photo 000567. Upland sample point U-11, view north



Photo 000668. Upland sample point U-53, view north



Photo 000673. Photo of sample point W6-1u, view north.



Photo 000670. Sample Point W11-1u, view north



Photo 000669. Sample Point W11-1w, view north



Photo 000672. Upland point U-10. View north



Photo 000671. Photo of agriculture field with transmission structure, view north.



Photo 000775. Sample Point W7-1u, view north



Photo 000774. Sample Point W7-1w, view north



Photo 000776. Sample Point W8-1w, view north



Photo 000777. Sample Point W8-2w, view north



Photo 000778. Sample Point W9-1w, view east



Photo 000779. Sample Point W10-1w, view west



Photo 000780. Sample Point W5-1w, view north



Photo 000781. Upland point U-7, view north



Photo 000782. Upland point U-9, view north



Photo 000783. Sample Point W6-2w, view north



Photo P6-VN. Upland point SP-1, view north



Photo P7_VN. Upland point SP-2, view north



Photo P8_vW. Photo documenting upland condition of proposed easement, view west



Photo P9_vE. Photo documenting upland condition of proposed easement, view east



Photo P10_vW. Photo documenting upland condition of proposed easement, view west



Photo W37_vN. Sample point W39-1w, view east



Photo WW14_vE. Photo showing the eastern extent of Waterway WW15, view east



Photo P3_vE. Waterway WW-14, view east



Photo P2_vN. Photo documenting upland condition, view north



Photo P1_vN. Photo documenting upland condition, view north



Photo soy_vNW Photo documenting upland condition, view northeast



Photo W34_vE. Wetland W-35, sample point W35-1w, view east



Photo W34-2w_vE. Sample point W35-1u, view east



Photo U54_vNE. Upland sample point SP-4, view northeast



Photo U55_vW. Upland sample point SP-6, view west



Photo U53_vN. Upland sample point SP-5, view north



PhotoW35_vW. Wetland W36, view westt



Photo U61_vN. Upland sample point SP-7, view north



Photo U62_vN. Upland sample point SP-9, view north.



Photo U63_vW. Upland sample point SP-8, view west



Photo W36_vNE. Wetland W38, view northeast



Photo U56_vSW. Upland sample point SP-11, view southwest.



Photo U57_vNE. Wetland W-37, view northeast



Photo U58_vNW. Upland sample point SP-12, view northwest



Photo U59_vNW. Upland sample point SP-10, view northwest



Photo U60_vN. Upland sample point SP-13, view north.

Appendix E– WETS Analysis

WETS Analysis Worksheet

Project Name: Badger State Solar Project
Project Number: 193705828
Period of interest: April - June
Station: Fort Atkinson, WI (472869)
County: Jefferson County, WI

Long-term rainfall records (from WETS table)

	Month	3 years in 10 less than	Normal	3 years in 10 greater than
1st month prior:	June	2.56	3.79	4.52
2nd month prior:	May	2.06	3.22	3.88
3rd month prior:	April	2.53	3.43	4.03
		Sum =	**10.44**	

Site determination

Site Rainfall (in)	Condition Dry/Normal*/Wet	Condition** Value	Month Weight	Product
5.96	Wet	3	3	9
6.12	Wet	3	2	6
0.56	Dry	1	1	1
Sum = **12.64**			Sum*** =	**16**

*Normal precipitation with 30% to 70% probability of occurrence

Determination: **x** Wet ____ Dry ____ Normal

**Condition value:
Dry = 1
Normal = 2
Wet = 3

***If sum is:

6 to 9	then period has been drier than normal
10 to 14	then period has been normal
15 to 18	then period has been wetter than normal

Precipitation data source:

Reference: Donald E.Woodward, ed. 1997. *Hydrology Tools for Wetland Determination* , Chapter 19. Engineering Field Handbook. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Fort Worth, TX.

WETS Table

WETS Station: FORT ATKINSON, WI 472869

Requested years: 1971 - 2000

Month	Avg Max Temp	Avg Min Temp	Avg Mean Temp	Avg Precip	30% chance precip less than	30% chance precip more than	Avg number days precip 0.10 or more	Avg Snowfall
Jan	26.1	7.8	16.9	1.35	0.85	1.62	4	12.4
Feb	31.6	13.3	22.4	1.26	0.57	1.53	4	6.9
Mar	43.5	24.9	34.2	2.08	1.28	2.52	5	5.1
Apr	57.3	35.9	46.6	3.43	2.53	4.03	7	1.8
May	69.8	46.3	58.1	3.22	2.06	3.88	7	0.2
Jun	79.5	56.0	67.7	3.79	2.56	4.52	6	0.0
Jul	83.1	60.6	71.9	3.92	2.82	4.62	6	0.0
Aug	80.6	58.1	69.3	4.16	3.04	4.89	7	0.0
Sep	73.0	49.4	61.2	3.41	1.99	4.15	6	0.0
Oct	61.0	38.4	49.7	2.56	1.47	3.11	5	0.1
Nov	44.9	27.6	36.2	2.47	1.55	2.99	5	2.7
Dec	31.3	14.8	23.0	1.65	0.96	2.00	4	8.9
Annual:					30.66	35.35		
Average	56.8	36.1	46.4	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	33.29			68	38.0

GROWING SEASON DATES

Years with missing data:	24 deg = 2	28 deg = 2	32 deg = 2
Years with no occurrence:	24 deg = 0	28 deg = 0	32 deg = 0
Data years used:	24 deg = 28	28 deg = 28	32 deg = 28
Probability	24 F or higher	28 F or higher	32 F or higher
50 percent *	4/8 to 10/28: 203 days	4/20 to 10/16: 179 days	5/6 to 10/3: 150 days
70 percent *	4/4 to 11/1: 211 days	4/15 to 10/21: 189 days	5/2 to 10/7: 158 days

* Percent chance of the growing season occurring between the Beginning and Ending dates.

STATS TABLE - total precipitation (inches)

Yr	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annl
1941									8.40	3.10	1.31	0.85	13.66
1942	2.06	0.65	1.32	1.06	4.03	3.36	2.89	1.53	4.35	2.03	3.28	3.09	29.65
1943	M1.51	0.57	2.63	2.16	2.93	2.40	4.69	3.17	0.90	1.19	1.78	0.84	24.77
1944	1.07	2.01	2.21	2.75	3.63	6.00	2.86	3.75	3.09	0.29	2.76	1.55	31.97
1945	0.35	0.91	1.17	2.85	5.50	2.63	1.81	4.61	5.47	0.31	3.38	0.95	29.94
1946	2.15	0.55	M2.05	0.81	2.46	4.76	0.25	2.64	3.97	1.35	2.14	2.22	25.35
1947	1.17	0.17	1.18	4.16	4.59	4.68	3.76	2.17	4.80	0.82	2.16	1.33	30.99
1948	0.71	1.76	1.74	3.61	4.18	M2.58	1.80	1.20	3.12	0.84	1.87	0.96	24.37
1949	1.92	1.50	1.57	1.15	2.28	3.77	5.73	1.53	1.	2.	0.82	2.01	26.

									66	12			06
1950	2.51	0.69	1.44	3.13	2.79	5.52	7.16	2.27	1.86	0.66	0.99	1.70	30.72
1951	1.97	1.64	2.73	4.07	2.83	3.17	3.04	3.47	3.01	7.06	2.58	1.84	37.41
1952	2.26	0.62	M3.40	2.10	3.14	4.19	8.36	6.71	0.63	0.13	3.42	2.22	37.18
1953	1.36	1.80	1.45	2.85	1.97	2.92	5.62	3.14	2.15	0.45	0.53	1.95	26.19
1954	0.87	0.60	1.45	3.56	2.08	6.95	5.58	3.93	3.21	2.76	0.73	1.63	33.35
1955	0.50	1.35	0.79	2.02	3.13	4.78	2.82	2.60	1.82	3.62	0.52	0.53	24.48
1956	0.28	0.83	1.96	3.44	3.77	2.26	3.72	4.18	0.56	0.39	2.63	1.32	25.34
1957	0.56	0.50	1.17	3.04	5.34	4.05	1.12	5.73	1.49	1.01	3.18	M1.69	28.88
1958	1.10	0.03	0.29	2.17	0.99	3.02	2.03	4.66	4.49	3.20	2.84	0.36	25.18
1959	1.17	1.23	2.24	3.29	1.62	2.43	7.00	3.31	2.67	6.97	2.10	2.65	36.68
1960	3.58	1.16	1.90	3.58	5.03	1.82	7.89	4.56	4.06	2.87	1.99	0.26	38.70
1961	0.16	0.77	3.42	2.25	1.48	2.24	4.84	0.54	9.54	4.60	3.24	1.19	34.27
1962	1.43	1.97	1.58	1.77	3.39	1.31	5.76	1.96	2.30	1.25	0.76	0.85	24.33
1963	0.74	0.58	2.56	1.66	1.40	3.57	2.54	2.01	2.62	0.74	1.73	0.60	20.75
1964	0.89	0.29	3.01	5.47	4.52	2.34	5.57	2.26	1.57	0.23	2.27	0.56	28.98
1965	2.67	1.22	3.16	3.35	3.05	0.99	3.97	6.92	8.53	3.31	2.09	2.98	42.24
1966	1.46	1.00	3.41	2.52	2.52	2.00	3.03	3.62	0.80	1.13	1.56	2.18	25.23
1967	1.21	1.44	1.70	1.76	4.09	8.24	1.87	4.29	2.03	4.58	1.74	1.18	34.13
1968	0.43	0.67	0.31	4.01	3.63	10.56	3.44	1.92	4.71	1.00	2.16	3.70	36.54
1969	2.60	0.13	1.22	3.87	2.50	7.56	8.75	0.82	2.25	3.56	1.11	1.63	36.00
1970	0.69	0.36	1.29	2.32	5.82	4.47	5.41	0.33	7.96	2.73	1.71	2.21	35.30
1971	1.78	3.05	2.23	2.04	0.95	3.87	2.97	3.02	2.48	1.47	3.41	3.91	31.18
1972	0.69	0.79	2.48	2.94	3.58	2.42	7.11	6.57	5.86	2.89	1.37	3.03	39.73
1973	1.65	1.44	2.72	7.25	5.40	1.99	1.26	1.28	5.56	4.49	2.02	2.61	37.67
1974	2.69	1.73	3.92	4.05	5.35	3.17	3.96	4.41	0.92	2.49	1.97		34.66
1975						4.83	3.68	3.50	1.08	0.26	5.09	0.36	18.80
1976	0.75	1.86	4.91	4.07	4.40	1.76	1.40	3.59	0.65	1.93	0.24	0.42	25.98
1977	0.47	0.70	3.96	2.18	1.02	3.96	3.29	6.63	3.58	2.71	2.35	1.80	32.65
1978	1.12	0.41	0.67	3.49	3.26	5.17	7.13	2.17	5.96	1.60	M2.23	1.90	35.11
1979	M2.68	0.93	3.71	3.55	1.17	6.96	2.29	7.18	1.50	2.31	2.27	1.41	35.96
1980	1.10	0.86	0.39	2.59	1.42	4.62	4.97	8.34	5.39	1.15	1.06	1.70	33.59
1981	0.15	2.29	0.71	5.19	0.87	3.64	2.30	7.16	4.04	3.10	1.39	0.64	31.48
1982	M2.11	0.08	2.64	4.41	M3.03	3.46	6.60	3.65	0.68	3.44	4.07	2.83	37.00
1983	0.38	0.99	2.26	3.14	3.79	2.98	2.82	3.09	3.	2.	3.22	2.33	30.

									12	28			40
1984	0.51	1.28	1.47	4.24	3.89	5.50	2.87	2.26	4.35	6.50	4.51	2.69	40.07
1985	1.13	2.57	1.87	1.49	2.60	1.93	2.39	2.20	4.25	6.37	5.64	1.47	33.91
1986	0.85	3.13	1.54	1.74	3.43	2.96	4.04	4.44	9.05	2.47	0.77	0.73	35.15
1987	0.65	0.05	2.44	4.09	5.49	0.57	4.26	5.77	2.95	1.19	2.73	3.63	33.82
1988	2.04	0.35	1.14	3.08	0.92	1.01	1.26	2.89	3.38	2.40	3.78	1.80	24.05
1989	0.69	0.57	2.08	1.42	1.24	1.64	6.42	4.10	4.41	1.39	0.78	0.19	24.93
1990	1.89	1.26	2.95	2.37	4.80	4.82	3.89	3.62	1.39	2.49	2.81	2.26	34.55
1991	1.00	0.10	2.34	3.67	2.45	2.69	4.15	2.65	2.92	4.59	4.09	1.25	31.90
1992	0.86	1.48	1.82	2.85	1.50	1.72	6.03	3.09	6.82	1.23	3.93	2.49	33.82
1993	1.74	0.99	2.11	6.73	3.18	7.18	3.36	4.11	5.64	0.93	1.51	0.54	38.02
1994	1.40	2.25	0.88	1.42	2.05	4.06	4.03	7.98	1.91	0.83	3.30	0.58	30.69
1995	1.78	0.15	1.78	3.65	3.76	2.39	8.21	5.35	0.99	5.62	2.63	0.65	36.96
1996	1.80	0.42	0.57	2.87	3.15	6.78	2.28	2.29	1.37	3.59	0.98	1.44	27.54
1997	1.44	2.77	1.40	1.76	2.65	5.55	4.09	2.87	1.14	1.05	1.22	0.96	26.90
1998	1.97	1.73	4.09	4.23	6.23	5.52	2.60	4.60	1.87	4.60	1.47	0.65	39.56
1999	3.01	0.83	0.48	6.72	4.46	4.66	3.42	1.96	3.98	0.78	1.31	1.48	33.09
2000	0.70	1.41	0.74	2.33	7.11	5.79	4.40	4.03	5.12	0.59	M2.09	1.97	36.28
2001	1.30	3.27	0.51	3.80	6.31	4.18	2.82	3.41	9.43	3.65	1.87	1.29	41.84
2002	0.63	2.07	1.98	3.96	2.48	4.08	1.06	4.30	3.71	2.78	0.52	0.62	28.19
2003	0.19	0.27	1.45	1.44	5.14	3.12	3.95	0.79	2.01	1.52	5.55	1.97	27.40
2004	0.48	1.00	4.00	2.26	11.26	5.09	3.38	4.42	0.59	2.32	2.07	1.14	38.01
2005	3.67	1.51	1.05	1.69	3.57	3.97	4.07	2.50	1.90	0.52	4.33	0.96	29.74
2006	2.98	1.15	2.93	3.35	6.46	5.57	2.41	4.95	3.76	4.40	2.68	1.58	42.22
2007	0.88	1.30	2.71	4.95	1.23	2.83	2.21	10.64	2.04	2.37	0.24	4.46	35.86
2008	1.79	3.02	1.95	6.99	2.18	9.33	5.37	1.44	3.88	2.35	1.21	3.65	43.16
2009	0.76	1.50	5.68	4.63	2.98	3.96	2.21	3.76	2.43	4.43	1.62	3.10	37.06
2010	0.70	0.69	1.02	4.72	3.76	8.81	8.88	3.99	2.24	2.27	1.12	1.52	39.72
2011	1.01	1.48	2.56	2.94	2.52	1.94	3.89	1.54	3.36	1.91	3.58	2.02	28.75
2012	1.13	0.79	2.47	2.28	4.22	0.42	M3.00	3.21	1.89	M3.73	0.85	2.79	26.78
2013	3.38	2.33	1.89	6.41	5.45	10.49	4.35	1.80	2.10	1.73	2.42	1.31	43.66
2014	0.99	0.85	1.02	3.87	3.72	5.16	4.87	2.92	2.03	2.62	1.97	0.96	30.98
2015	0.76	0.67	0.69	1.98	2.71	3.76	3.92	3.69	5.16	1.16	4.86	4.33	33.69
2016	0.46	0.50	3.30	1.44	2.77	8.85	2.76	6.12	5.04	3.72	2.46	2.02	39.44
2017	2.07	1.12	3.36	5.47	4.15	8.26	5.95	3.38	0.	4.	0.99	0.57	40.

								38	94	64
2018	2.08	3.24	0.56	2.26	6.12	5.96	M3.21			23.43

Notes: Data missing in any month have an "M" flag. A "T" indicates a trace of precipitation.

Data missing for all days in a month or year is blank.

Creation date: 2016-07-22

Appendix F– Desktop Historic Aerial Review – Combined Results

Off-Site Aerial Photography Review[1]
Badger State Solar Project - Town of Jefferson, Jefferson County,
Project Location: Sections 5,6,7,8, 17, 18 Township 6N, Range 14E, J

ummary of Aerial Imagery Analysi

FSA/ NAIP Date	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46
Jul-97						1, 7	3	3	3	3	3	3			3	3	3	3				na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	3					na		na	na	na	na
Jul-00	1, 3	1, 3		4, 7		1, 3, 4, 7	3	3	3	3	2	3, 7	3, 7			3		3				na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	3	3	3	3		na		na	na	na	na
Jul-06			3	1, 3		1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	3	3	2	3	3				3	3				1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1, 3	3	3	3				3		3, 1, 7	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7
Jul-08	3	3	3	1, 7		1, 3, 7	1	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 7	3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	3	1, 3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1, 3	3	3	1, 3,	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	2, 3	3	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7	
Jun-13	3	3, 4	1	1	3	1, 3	1	3	3	1, 3	1, 3	3	1, 3	3	3		3	3	1, 3, 7	3		1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1, 3	3	3	3		1, 7	3,		3		3	1, 7	1. 7	1.7
Sep-15	3	3	1	1	1, 6	1, 3	1	3	3	3	3	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7	4, 7	1, 3						3	3	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1, 3	3	3	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7	3	3, 7	7	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7	1, 7
Percentage of normal years with wet signatures	**66%**	**66%**	**66%**	**83%**	**33%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**83%**	**50%**	**50%**	**66%**	**66%**	**83%**	**33%**	**33%**	**16%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**50%**	**66%**	**66%**	**33%**	**66%**	**33%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**75%**
Hydric soils present?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**
Identified on WWI?	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**
Field Verification Required?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**
Wetland (W) / Waterway (WW) Identified in area?	**W-1**	**W-2**				**W-3, W-4**	**WW-6**		**W-7**	**W-8**	**W-10**	**W-15, W-16, W-18**	**W-17**			**W-11**			**W-12, W-13**	**W-14**							**W-22**							**W-24**	**W-23**		**W-19**	**W-20**	**W-21**		**W-25, W-26**			**W-30, W-31**		**W-34**
Upland Sample Point			**U-1**	**U-2**	**U-5**			**U-7**						**U-16**	**U-55, U-33, U-37**		**U-11, U-53**	**U-12**			**U-7, U-9**	**U-18**	**U-17**	**U-19**	**U-20**	**U-21, U-22**		**U-23**	**U-23**	**U-23**	**U-24**	**U-23**	**U-25**			**U-29**				**U-34**		**U-38**	**U-44, U-45**		**U-50**	

NA = not available

1 = Hydrophytic vegetation (seen as a different color of green)
2 = Surface water (usually black or white)
3 = Drowned out crops (bare soil or mud flats)
4 = Differences in color due to different planting dates or isolated areas not farmed
5 = Inclusion of wet areas in set-aside program
6 = Patches of greener color in "dry" years
7 = Crop stress (yellow) or sparse canopy (light green)

Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data, the recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N


Legend
Project Parcels




Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.


2000 - Normal


1997 - Normal

Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828


Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N


Legend
Project Parcels




Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.


2000 - Normal


1997 - Normal



Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N


Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.


2000 - Normal

Re-check
ON Monitor

1997 - Normal



Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC

Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828


Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400
Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.




Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

DRAFT

Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC

Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet

1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.




Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828


Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsn South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828


Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



Title

Historic Aerial Review Composite
Field Data (2015 Aerial Imagery)

Client/Project

Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location

T6N, R14E, SS. 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828


Prepared by CP on 2018-08-17
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend

- Project Parcels
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Waterway Sample Point
- Field Delineated Wetland Area
- Field Delineated Waterway (line)
- Field Delineated Waterway (polygon)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger Power, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2015-07-02 & 2015-09-22

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2015

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2013-06-19

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R13E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2013

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2008-07-09 & 2008-07-23

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2008

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Figure No.
X

Title
Historic Aerial Photography
NAIP 2006-07-09

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-16
Technical Review by XXX on 2018-XX-XX
Independent Review by XXX on 2018 XX-XX

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Parcels



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Wisconsin South FIPS 4803 Feet
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, Ranger, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2006

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Appendix G – Drain Tile Maps


Cyndi Pitzner (Hwy 18 Farm)



JEFFERSON Legal: 7 6N 14E

RICH & BARB SCHULTZ/C PITZNER-Corn		YIELD LINE:	**21.0**	FINAL PLANT DATE:	
CROP:	**RP-Corn**	FARM:	**9953**	A/R DUE:	
PRACTICE:	**NON IRR**	UNIT:	**1.07**	SHARE:	**1.000**
TYPE:	**GSG**	MAP AREA:	**000**	Other Persons Sharing:	
RICH & BARB SCHULTZ/C PITZNER-Soybeans		YIELD LINE:	**1.0**	FINAL PLANT DATE:	
CROP:	**RP-Soybeans**	FARM:	**10790**	A/R DUE:	
PRACTICE:	**NON IRR**	UNIT:	**1.07**	SHARE:	**1.000**
TYPE:	**COMM**	MAP AREA:	**000**	Other Persons Sharing:	

:ATEC GPS: Cindy Pitzner map 2.gps+Cindy Pitzner.gps




Customer:			4 in: 16174 ft
Location:			5in: 254 ft
Scale: 100 feet	Spacing: 40 ft	Date: June 2009 2014	6in: 835 ft
			8in: 438 ft


N
Hwy 18
System A
43.00'
200 ft

LATEC GPS: Cindy Pitzner system B.gps

Hwy 18 Marsh



Installed by JKS

Customer:			4 in: 4945 ft
Location:			6in: 736 ft
Scale: 200 feet	Spacing: Varies	Date: April 2010	Exist: 4604 ft

Cyndi Pitzner (Widman Farm)



JEFFERSON Legal: 5 6N 14E

CYNTHIA PITZNER TRUST-Corn		YIELD LINE:	**18.0**	FINAL PLANT DATE:	
CROP:	**RP-Corn**	FARM:	**10874**	A/R DUE:	
PRACTICE:	**NON IRR**	UNIT:	**1.05**	SHARE:	**1.000**
TYPE:	**GSG**	MAP AREA:	**000**	Other Persons Sharing:	
CYNTHIA PITZNER TRUST-Soybeans		YIELD LINE:	**7.0**	FINAL PLANT DATE:	
CROP:	**RP-Soybeans**	FARM:	**10874**	A/R DUE:	
PRACTICE:	**NON IRR**	UNIT:	**1.05**	SHARE:	**1.000**
TYPE:	**COMM**	MAP AREA:	**000**	Other Persons Sharing:	



JEFFERSON Legal: 6 6N 14E

CYNTHIA PITZNER TRUST-Corn		YIELD LINE:	**12.0**	FINAL PLANT DATE:	
CROP:	**RP-Corn**	FARM:	**10874**	A/R DUE:	
PRACTICE:	**NON IRR**	UNIT:	**1.06**	SHARE:	**1.000**
TYPE:	**GSG**	MAP AREA:	**000**	Other Persons Sharing:	
CYNTHIA PITZNER TRUST-Soybeans		YIELD LINE:	**6.0**	FINAL PLANT DATE:	
CROP:	**RP-Soybeans**	FARM:	**10874**	A/R DUE:	
PRACTICE:	**NON IRR**	UNIT:	**1.06**	SHARE:	**1.000**
TYPE:	**COMM**	MAP AREA:	**000**	Other Persons Sharing:	

Installed Tile 2013 - marsh



Count : 35137

Area : 0.00 ac


Length : 84,509 ft

Tile - Name

NOTILE(83,988.16 ft)

1

south of 18: Planting/Seeding
Elevation




Client: tom anfang
Farm: mintland farm
Field: south of 18
Name: insall tile 12 23 11
Type: Planting/Seeding
Area: 0.17 ac
Start Date: 12/22/2011 2:17 PM
End Date: 12/22/2011 5:45 PM
Job Hours: 3.0 hr
Min: 821.72 ft
Max: 822.64 ft
Avg: 822.15 ft

822.49 - 822.64 ft
822.30 - 822.48 ft
822.17 - 822.29 ft
821.98 - 822.16 ft
821.90 - 821.97 ft
821.80 - 821.89 ft
821.72 - 821.79 ft

FARM WORKS SOFTWARE

(2)

Kutz Farm Drainage, LLC




MINTLAND FARM
NORTH OF HY 18

Lateral 39889.57 ft
Main 5763.41 ft

(2)

Kutz Farm Drainage, LLC



Lateral	39889.57 ft	
Main	5763.41 ft	


(3)

south of 18: Planting/Seeding

Elevation

12-2011

TOM ANFANG
MINTLAND FARM
SOUTH OF HY 18



0 0.12 0.25
miles


Client: tom anfang
Farm: mintland farm
Field: south of 18
Name: insall tile 12 23 11
Type: Planting/Seeding
Area: 0.17 ac
Start Date: 12/22/2011 2:17 PM
End Date: 12/22/2011 5:45 PM
Job Hours: 3.0 hr
Min: 821.72 ft
Max: 822.64 ft
Avg: 822.15 ft

822.49 - 822.64 ft
822.30 - 822.48 ft
822.17 - 822.29 ft
821.98 - 822.16 ft
821.90 - 821.97 ft
821.80 - 821.89 ft
821.72 - 821.79 ft

FARM WORKS SOFTWARE

3

s 18
Name



S0

Client: Mintland
Farm: fischer
Field: s 18
Name: Drainage - Planned


(4)

south of 18: Planting/Seeding

Elevation

12-2011

TOM ANFANG
MINTLAND FARM
SOUTH OF HY 18



0 0.12 0.25
miles

Client: tom anfang
Farm: mintland farm
Field: south of 18
Name: insall tile 12 20 11
Type: Planting/Seeding
Area: 0.58 ac
Start Date: 12/20/2011 1:21 PM
End Date: 12/21/2011 6:13 PM
Job Hours: 3.2 hr
Min: 795.14 ft
Max: 996.49 ft
Avg: 829.15 ft

828.35 - 996.49 ft
827.53 - 828.34 ft
826.83 - 827.52 ft
826.37 - 826.82 ft
825.44 - 826.36 ft
824.55 - 825.43 ft
795.14 - 824.54 ft

FARM WORKS


Lunde:


- 2 mains on east side of land, one south of rise and one west of rise
- Both empty into ditch on south side of rise.
- South end of southern main is 70’ west of road and 100’ north of south end of field, and is visible without crops (and in GIS photos)
- Drains are 1000’ long, running east to the main with 60’ separation, shallow at west
- 2nd main empties into ditch at SW corner of rise and runs west and then north from there
- Fewer drains in this area since it is dryer, with wider spacing.




Notional representation of Lunde drains

LATEC GPS: koch farm big marsh.gps


North
ditch
ditch
ditch
pond
5"
4"
5"
5"
5




Jan. 2016


N↑
HWY 18
DITCH
shed
DITCH
100'

3

s 18
Name



Client: Mintland
Farm: fischer
Field: s 18
Name: Drainage - Planned


S0

(4)

south of 18: Planting/Seeding


Elevation

12-2011




Client: tom anfang
Farm: mintland farm
Field: south of 18
Name: insall tile 12 20 11
Type: Planting/Seeding
Area: 0.58 ac
Start Date: 12/20/2011 1:21 PM
End Date: 12/21/2011 6:13 PM
Job Hours: 3.2 hr
Min: 795.14 ft
Max: 996.49 ft
Avg: 829.15 ft

- 828.35 - 996.49 ft
- 827.53 - 828.34 ft
- 826.83 - 827.52 ft
- 826.37 - 826.82 ft
- 825.44 - 826.36 ft
- 824.55 - 825.43 ft
- 795.14 - 824.54 ft


N
4
100'
100'
Schleswig

LOCATION PLAN OF TILE SYSTEM



— LEGEND —

Farm Boundary
Permanent Fence
Existing Tile Line
Proposed Tile Line
New Tile Installed
Existing Deep Ditch
Proposed Deep Ditch
Existing Shallow Ditch
Proposed Shallow Ditch

SECTION 5 & 7
TOWNSHIP 6 N. RANGE 14 E
COUNTY JEFFERSON STATE WIS.

COOPERATOR PAUL FISHER
COOPERATING WITH JEFFERSON CO. SCD
SURVEYED BY K. S. REGER

CONSTRUCTION REPORT

Date Installed 9/55
Contractor DON MOUNCE
Staked By K.S. REGER
Amount of Tile Installed 2502 FT.

Remarks:

K.S. Kruger
SIGNATURE W.N.C.
9-30-55
DATE


TILE DRAINAGE PLAN

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
ROBERT M. SALTER, CHIEF

REFERENCE

CARTOGRAPHIC APPROVAL			TECHNICAL APPROVAL	
DESIGNED	DRAWN	CHECKED	DATE	DRAWING NO.
K.S.K.	J.N.R.	K.S.K.	9-30-55	WI-7-50-16

INOR JOBS ONLY


6" DONE 1996
POND
75
Except
First 4 Lines To North
50'
Last Line South
75'
--- old Lines

Stantec Consulting Service Inc.
209 Commerce Parkway, Cottage Grove, WI 53527

May 1, 2019
File: 193705828

Attention: Ms. Lindsay Tekler
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
101 S. Webster St.
ES/7 P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707

Dear Ms. Tekler,

Reference: Navigability Determination Request for Badger State Solar, LLC, Jefferson County, WI.

The applicant, Badger State Solar, LLC respectfully requests the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources complete a navigability determination for the Badger State Solar (Badger State) project located in Jefferson County, WI. The project area is shown in Figure 1, attached..

Within the Badger State project area, observed waterways, waterbodies, and/or other connections to off-site wetland or aquatic features were mapped and described. Geomorphological characteristics of each waterway were collected at a waterway sample point, including width and height of top of bank, width and height of ordinary high-water mark, channel substrate, approach slope, turbidity, and flow rate. The waterway lines, polygons, and sample point locations were surveyed using a GPS unit and mapped with GIS software.

Waterways identified during the field review included intermittent and perennial streams, agricultural ditches, and roadside ditches. Waterways are summarized below in Table 1, and their locations are depicted on Figures 1 and 2 (attached). Photographs of the waterways are also attached to this document. The majority of waterways within the project area are excavated linear channels that convey drain tile discharge.

Table 1. Waterway Summary Table

Waterway ID	Waterway Sample Point(s)	Top of Bank Width (feet)	OHWM Width (feet)	Top of Bank Height (feet)	OWHM Height (feet)	Substrate	Waterway Type
WW1	S4	8	0.25	1	0.25	sand	Intermittent
WW2	H1	22	7	8	2	muck	Perennial
	H3	25	10	6	2	muck	Perennial
WW3	H2	20	5	6	2	muck	Perennial
WW4	P6	23	14	6	3	muck	Perennial
WW5	P7	15	8	4	3	muck	Perennial

Reference: Navigability Determination Request for Badger State Solar, LLC, Jefferson County, WI.

Waterway ID	Waterway Sample Point(s)	Top of Bank Width (feet)	OHWM Width (feet)	Top of Bank Height (feet)	OWHM Height (feet)	Substrate	Waterway Type
	P8	15	6	4	2	muck	Perennial
WW6	P5	18	5	5	1	muck	Perennial
	A13	16	8	4	2	muck	Perennial
WW7	A12	25	12	8	2	muck	Perennial
WW8	P3	22	8	5	1	muck	Perennial
	P4	20	8	6	2	sand	Perennial
	A10	17	7	9	3	mucky sand	Perennial
WW9	P1	20	8	4	2	muck	Perennial
	P2	20	4	4	1	muck	Perennial
WW10	L2	10	4	3	1	muck	Perennial
	A2	20	10	10	4	mucky sand	Perennial
	A3	18	10	10	4	sandy muck	Perennial
WW11	A1	23	10	10	4	muck	Perennial
	A4	22	6	10	4	sandy muck	Perennial
	A5	18	6	8	3	muck	Perennial
	A6	10	3	4	2	muck	Intermittent
	A7	20	8	8	2	muck	Perennial
WW12	L1	20	7	9	3	muck	Perennial
WW13	A11	22	10	8	4	muck	Perennial
WW14	--	15	4	8	1	muck	Perennial
WW15	A8	20	6	9	3	muck	Perennial
	A9	24	9	11	4	muck	Perennial
WW16	S5	20	3	7	1	clay muck	Perennial
WW17	S6	20	4	8	1	clay muck	Perennial
WW18	S3	2.2	2.5	1.5	0.25	muck	Intermittent
WW19	S1	1.5	0.5	1	0.25	Silt / gravel	Intermittent
	S2	1.5	0.5	1	0.25	silt	Intermittent

Reference: Navigability Determination Request for Badger State Solar, LLC, Jefferson County, WI.

Regards,

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.



Brian J. Karczewski

Phone: (608) 839-2013
Brian.karczewski@stantec.com

Attachment: Figures 1 and 2, Photo Log
c.
kb document2

Figure No.
1

Title
Project Location and Topography

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705928
Prepared by CP on 2018-08-15
Technical Review by CA on 2018-08-24
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 1,000 2,000 Feet
1:24,000 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
Project Boundary



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Background: USGS 1:24k Topographic Quadrangles

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Y:\193705928\03_data\gis_cad\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig1_topo_193705928.mxd Revised: 2019-05-07 By: rdelrose



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1927\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetlands\Report_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-06-06 By: jdefrente



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1921\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport\2019\Fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-06-06 By: jdforette



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WsDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

v:\1937\active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-09 By: jd.degrote



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxd\Wetlands\WetlandReport_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: rdelmore



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-03 By: jd.dinzeb




Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetlands\Report_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: jd.depuete



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\Wetland\Report_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: rdelorie




Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, W&DOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

y:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: jd.depreste



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

\\1493\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\Fig2_surveyability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: rdefreate



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\Fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: rdefronzo



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project

Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI


193705828

Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

\\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\Fig2_drawnability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: rdefreate



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1921\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\gis\mxds\WetlandReport\2019\fig2_navwaterbdy_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: jd.dierette



Figure No.
2

Title
Navigability Determination

Client/Project
Badger State Solar, LLC
Badger State Solar Project


Project Location
T6N, R14E, S5, 6, 7, 8, 17 & 18;
T6N, R13E, S11, 12;
Jefferson Co., WI

193705828
Prepared by JD on 2019-01-10
Technical Review by CP on 2019-01-10
Independent Review by BK on 2019-04-16

0 200 400 Feet
1:4,800 (At original document size of 11x17)

N

Legend
- Project Boundary
- Waterway Sample Point
- Photo Point
- Field Delineated Waterway



Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 HARN Wisconsin TM
2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, WDNR, WisDOT
3. Orthophotography: NAIP 2017

Stantec

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

V:\1937\Active\193705828\03_data\gis_cad\arcmxd\WetlandReport_2019\fig2_navigability_determination_193705828.mxd Revised: 2019-05-08 By: rdefreate



Photo 000147. WW-2, view northwest



Photo 000148. WW-2, view southwest



Photo 000149. WW-3, view northeast



Photo 000150. WW-3, view southeast



Photo 000151. WW-2, view east.



Photo 000152. WW-2, view west.



Photo 000146. Photo of drainageway, leading to WW-6.



Photo 000266. WW-1, view west.



Photo 000153. WW-4, view south.



Photo 000154. WW-4, view north



Photo 000155. WW-5, view east.



Photo 000156. WW-5, view northwest.



Photo 000157. WW-5, view southwest.



Photo 000158. WW-5, view southeast.



Photo 000125. WW-8, view northwest.



Photo 000126. WW-8, view northeast.



Photo 000133. WW-8, view northeast.



Photo 000134. WW-8, view northwest.



Photo 000135. WW-8, view northeast.



Photo 000136. WW-8, view northwest.



Photo 000144. WW-6, view north.



Photo 000145. WW-6, view southwest.



Photo 000143. Photo of ag / mint field. Waterway is shown at this location on the 24K hydro layer – confirmed not present in the field..



Photo 000142. Photo of ag / mint field. Waterway is shown at this location on the 24K hydro layer – confirmed not present in the field.



Photo 000141. Photo of ag / mint field. Waterway is shown at this location on the 24K hydro layer – confirmed not present in the field..



Photo 000139. WW-7, view southwest



Photo 000140. WW-7, view northwest



Photo 000137. WW-7, view southeast



Photo 000138. WW-7. View west



Photo 000115. WW-11, view northwest



Photo 000116. WW-11, view southwest



Photo 000121. WW-12, view southwest



Photo 000122. WW-12, view southeast



Photo 000123. WW-10, view northwest



Photo 000124. WW-10, view southwest



Photo 000131. WW-9, view southeast



Photo 000132. WW-9, view southeast



Photo 00011. WW-11, view west



Photo 00012. WW-11, view northeast



Photo 00013. WW-10, view northwest



Photo 00014. WW-10, view southwest



Photo 00017. Photo of ag field. Waterway is shown at this location on the 24K hydro layer – confirmed not present in the field.



Photo 00018. WW-11, view southeast



Photo 00019. WW-11, view northeast



Photo 000129. WW-9, view northeast



Photo 000130. WW-9, view southeast



Photo 000127. WW-13, view east



Photo 000128. WW-13, view northeast



Photo P3_vE. WW-14, view east



Photo WW14_vE. Eastern limit of WW-15, view east



Photo 0007114. WW-18, view northwest



Photo 0007120. WW-14, view northt



Photo 0007121. WW-14, view north.



Photo 000396. WW-19, view northwest



Photo 000497. WW-19, view northwest



Photo 000599. WW-19, view south



Photo 000119. WW-15, view southeast



Photo 000120. WW-15, view northeast



Photo 000117. WW-15, view southeast



Photo 000118. WW-15, view southwest



Photo 000110. Photo of area where waterway is mapped on the 24K hydro layer, view east. Waterway confirmed not present in the field.



Photo 000111. WW-11, view northeast



Photo 000112. WW-11, view southeast



Photo 000113. WW-11, view southwest



Photo 000114. WW-11, view southeast



Photo 00015. WW-10, view northwest



Photo 00016. WW-10, view southwest