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#### Abstract

\title{ Cooperative Employee Compensation }

Beverly L. Rotan
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This study looks at how education, cooperative function, and geography influence patterns in compensating cooperative employees. Generally, cooperative respondents in the West reported employees had the highest median salaries.

Job responsibility, decisionmaking, and performance were the three most important factors for setting salaries according to respondents in a survey conducted for this study. Bonuses were given across job categories. Bonuses were tied to a variety of factors but most reported were financial performance, sales, and performance. Health, life, and disability insurance were the most commonly paid benefits across all geographic areas, all sizes (sales) of cooperatives, and all cooperative function.
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This study was conducted after receiving many requests from cooperatives for information on cooperative employee compensation. It is anticipated that this information will be used as a general guide for newly organized cooperatives and as a source of comparison for established cooperatives.

The report takes a comprehensive look at salaries and fringe benefits that cooperatives offer to their mid- to upper-level employees. Data for this report were obtained in 1991 from a random sample of 400 cooperatives with sales of at least $\$ 1.8$ million. Cooperatives included in the sample were marketing and farm supply cooperatives, as classified by Agricultural Cooperative Service's Statistics and Technical Services Staff.

Thirty percent of the active cooperatives in the sample responded to the survey. As in any questionnaire, some questions were not answered or were only partly answered. Therefore, this information may not adequately address all of the unique requirements, skills, or risks associated with a given position or cooperative.
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Employees are the lifeblood of any organization. One of the objectives of a cooperative is to attract and keep competent and productive employees. Although salaries, perquisites (perks), and benefits are not the only inducement to employment, they play a big part.

Retirement; health, life, and disability insurance; bonuses (performance based); and educational assistance were some of the benefits that cooperatives offered their employees. These benefits were paid for by the cooperative, by the employee, or shared by both. Perquisites or "perks" are benefits paid by cooperatives that increase employees' compensation packages. Perks included vacations, holidays, and sick days; use of company car; or payment for mileage (private car) when doing cooperative business.

Some of the significant findings from this survey:

- The largest percentage of employees in specified job categories, with the exception of sales representative, were college educated.
- Generally, cooperatives paid for all specified benefits or shared in the cost of retirement benefits for their general managers, office managers, and field representatives.
- Salaries of other firms in the area was the least important factor in setting salaries for cooperative employees.
- Forty-eight percent of division managers and sales representatives received bonuses.
- Although fruit and vegetable cooperatives had sales that were the second highest compared to other cooperatives, the mean and median salaries of their employees were higher than other cooperatives.
- More general and division managers in the Corn Belt, East/South Central, and West had college degrees than those in other geographic areas.
- Generally, as sales volume increased, the median salaries increased for all job categories.
- The basis for bonuses for general managers, office managers, and division managers throughout the United States was financial performance and sales levels; while sales and sales growth were the primary factors for field and sales representatives.


# Cooperative Employee Compensation 

Beverly L. Rotan<br>Economist, Cooperative Services Division

A major challenge for all cooperatives is attracting and keeping productive people. Cooperatives must offer employees compensation commensurate with their experience and skills if they are to meet this challenge. Compensation can be both direct (e.g., salaries, wages) and indirect (benefits). It is important to provide a proper mix of direct and indirect compensations to attract and keep competent employees. Competent employees can enhance margins and membership savings for cooperatives.

Although there are no universal norms to guide the choice of what to pay any particular employee, some internal and external factors should be considered. Cost management (sales, operating expenses); job responsibilities; employee's educational background, experience and/or skills; and the number of employees needed are some of the internal factors that may influence salaries and benefits for employees. External factors include Federal and State Government policies and regulations, the labor market, and geographical location.

## GENERALSURVEYBACKGROUND

Data for this report were obtained in 1991 from a random sample of 400 cooperatives with sales of at least $\$ 1.8$ million. Cooperatives included in the sample were marketing and farm supply cooperatives, as classified by Agricultural Cooperative Service's Statistics and Technical Services Staff. There were 116 surveys returned or 30 percent of the active cooperatives in the sample.

Thirty-one percent of them were grain, 26 percent farm supply, 19 percent fruit and vegetable, and 17 percent dairy cooperatives. The remaining 7 percent were "other" marketing cooperatives.

Questions regarding educational background, salaries, benefits offered, principal products marketed or sold, sales, and any changes that occurred in the past 5 years were included in the survey. The results were grouped into four categories: 1) general; 2) by function of cooperative; 3) by region; and 4) by sales. There were five job categories in this study. Because each cooperative may have different titles for jobs with the same duties, the categories follow with brief general descriptions.

1. The chief executive officer (CEO), president, or general manager: execute policies established by the board to achieve the cooperative's objective.
2. The office manager, accountant, or con troller: keep timely and accurate records of cooperative's financial standing and, in some cases, carry out policies set forth by the CEO or cooperative board.
3. The division manager: carry out and support policies and objectives, as they apply to their particular division.
4. The field representative: use expertise on how best to cultivate land through soil testing and other tools and recommend appropriate products and innovative technological trends to improve farm operations.
5. The sales representative: keep abreast of new products on the market and meet with farmers to take orders and inform them of new products. Some sales representatives also make deliveries.

For purposes of this report, general manager refers to CEO/ president/ general manager. Office manager will indicate office manager/ accountant/ controller.

## GENERALSURVEYRESULTS

This report looks at overall responses. They are broken down by overall results, cooperative function, region, and sales groupings. The breakdown by cooperative function is limited to salaries and benefits for the five job categories.

## OVERALL RESULTS

## Education

The survey sought the educational level of employees in each job category. Levels of education included high school, some college, college graduate with bachelor, associate, master's, or doctoral degree.

Through all job categories, the trend for employees to be college educated was similar except for sales representatives. Twice as many general managers had bachelor or associate degrees compared with those who completed their formal education in high school (table 1). Sixty percent of respondents (those with high school and
some college) indicated sales representatives had not completed college. Respondents also reported that the percentage of general and office managers who completed college were more than twice the percentage of those that completed high school. It is interesting to note that 10 sales representatives (17 percent) had doctoral degrees, while only 7 (8 percent) of the general managers had the advanced degrees.

## Compensation

Compensation for employees came in indirect and direct forms. Indirect compensation included benefits that ranged from paid vacations to educational assistance. Direct compensation included most exclusively salary, wages, pay, and bonuses. It should be noted that the questionnaire did not ask respondents to differentiate between base salary and additional bonuses. Some salaries probably included bonuses.

## Salary

Salaries are usually affected by longevity. For this reason, some respondents reported a salary range rather than the current salary for each of their positions. When the salary range was given, the average of the high and low was used to produce a single observation for aggregating the data.

Table i-Educational background of employees
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcccc}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Level of } \\
\text { education }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { General ice } \\
\text { manager }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Field } \\
\text { manager } \\
\text { repre- } \\
\text { sentative }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sales } \\
\text { repre- } \\
\text { sentative }\end{array}
$$ <br>

manager\end{array}\right]\)| Percent |
| :---: |

In a few instances, it appears that respondents reported salaries for part-time or seasonal employment. This distorted the data for reporting the lowest salaries in each job category; therefore, the lowest salaries are not included in table 2. Reporting part-time employment makes the averages lower than they would be otherwise. In this case, the median or midpoint is a more reliable indicator of what most cooperatives are paying employees because unlike the average, it is not affected by observations that might be clustered at one extreme or the other. Table 2 includes the following descriptive statistics for sal aries: high, median, mean or average, and the number of observations above and below the average or mean. The data are skewed as indicated by this latter measure and by the difference between the mean and the median. In table 2, the means are larger than the medians and more observations fell below the means because of a few relatively high salaries.

As expected, the general manager had the highest median salary. It is interesting to note that the division manager's median salary was higher than the office manager's salary. It is assumed that respondents may have substituted division manager for assistant manager. The office manager, field representative, and sales representative's median salaries were the same or close.

## Salary Ranking

Respondents were asked to rank specific factors that contribute to setting salaries. Rankings were from 1 to 6 , with 1 being the most important. Evidently, more explanation in the survey's instructions may have been needed because many respondents used the 1 to 6 as a measure of importance for each factor separately, rather than indicate each factor's order of importance. A s a result, two or morefactors were often given the same rank.

Also, somefactors were assigned no rank, presumably because respondents considered these as having no impact on salary determination. To provide comparable percentages, a mark of 7 was given to each blank or unranked factor and added to the priority ordering.

Job responsibilities, decisionmaking capabilities, performance, experience, education, and the impact of other firms in the area were the ranking factors. Table 3 reports the order of importance but not the composite scores. Since some respondents reported a 1 to 6 rating, rather than a priority ordering from 1 to 6 , an analysis of variance technique was used to obtain composite scores for each factor's importance. The survey had listed these factors in the expected order of importance for

Table P-Summary of annual salary by employee category

| Job category | Salary range ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Responses above/below mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Median | Mean |  |
|  |  | - Dollars | -------- | Number |
| General manager | 300,000 | 45,000 | 62,904 | 30/75 |
| Office manager | 114,024 | 28,000 | 36,715 | 35/70 |
| Division manager | 127,500 | 33,500 | 41,371 | 31/52 |
| Field representative | 74,200 | 25,000 | 28,401 | 26/42 |
| Sales representative | 108,120 | 25,000 | 30,915 | 12/39 |

'Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees. Also, not every respondent answered for all job or salary ranges.

Figure 1- Number of Respondents Reporting Salary-Setting Factors


Office M anager 102 Respondents


Division Manager 73 Respondents


Figure 1 Continued- Number of Respondents Reporting Salary-Setting Factors
Field Representatives 63 Respondents


Sales Representatives 52 Respondents


Rank


## Table 3-Order of importance of factors for selected job categories

| Factor | General manager | Office manager | Division manager | Field representative | Sales representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ranking |  |  |  |  |
| Job responsibility | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Decisionmaking capabilities | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Performance (co-oplemployee) | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Experience | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Education | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Other firms in area | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |

most jobs, and the results generally reflected this pattern. Some bias seem to have occurred, but critical factor differences did show up. For example, job responsibility was ranked 1 by a majority of respondents for all job categories except sales representative. For the latter job, the third listed factor, performance, is the most important.

This may reflect that it is more feasible to monitor a sales representative by using sales performance, than using sales to measure a manager's performance. It is also interesting to find that decisionmaking capabilities were ranked third most important for all job categories except general manager. Decisionmaking capability was a key factor for general management, and respondents ranked it just slightly less than job responsibility.

Figure 1 shows the basis for these composite scores where, for instance, more respondents rated a manager's decisionmaking with a relatively high 2 and 3 than reported for job responsibility. What other firms in the area are paying is regarded as the least important factor in all job categories except sales representative.

The survey also asked if performance was a factor in setting salaries, how was performance evaluated/ measured, and by whom. M ost respondents indicated that the cooperative's financial and
employee's job performance were the basis for evaluating most employees. Table 4 shows some variations of these basic measurements, including preferred personality traits. The frequency of cooperatives that reported use of these measures are also listed in table 4.

Several methods of evaluating performance and their frequency are reported in table 4. M ost respondents indicated that predetermined goals and objectives were used to evaluate performance. Formal reviews, management by objectives, yearly evaluation, department measures by reports, continued monitoring, mutual discussions, history, and informal evaluations were other methods of evaluating performance.

## Bonuses

Bonuses are used to reward the outcome of performance of the employee and can be viewed as an added benefit and/ or salary. Respondents were asked if bonuses/ commissions weregiven to employees. In total, 40 percent of the respondents reported that their cooperative gave bonuses in all job categories. Division managers and sales representatives had the highest percentage of respon-

## Table 4-Frequency of performance measurement

Measurement ..... Frequency
Direct elements (115 respondents)
Financial performance ..... 30
Job performance. ..... 15
Sales (previous year). .....  6
Other firms/market pay ranges .....  5
Equity/rebate paid to members/returns to grower .....  4
Profit per department. ..... 4
Annual performance appraisals using job description. ..... 4
Cooperative performance. .....  3
Increase in volume .....  2
Member feedback. .....  2
Cost effectiveness .....  2
Innovation .....  2
Decisionmaking .....  2
Keep within budget. .....  2
Financial strength .....  2
Maintain status in the market through sales and cost containment. .....  2
Other ${ }^{1}$ ..... 16
Personality traits (52 respondents)
Attitude toward customers, members, co-workers, growers ..... 10
Knowledge and being able to communicate knowledge. .....  4
Neatness ..... 4
Accuracy. .....  4
Dependability. .....  2
Timeliness .....  2
Ambition ..... 2
Ability to follow instruction .....  2
Common sense .....  2
Other ${ }^{2}$ ..... 10
Method (31 respondents)
Preagreed goals and objectives ..... 11
Formal review ..... 4
Management by objectives .....  3
Yearly evaluation .....  3
Department measures by reports .....  2
Other ${ }^{3}$ ..... 4

[^0]Figure 2- Bonuses Given Across Specified Job Categories

dents who were given bonuses, both with 48percent (figure 2).

The basis for receiving bonuses included financial performance factors (margins, net earnings, income, savings), gross volume/sales, percentage of top quality product, performance, new procurement, units sold, sales growth, and other. Some respondents indicated that bonuses were paid but they did not indicate the basis and were placed in the "other" category.

Table 5 shows, in some instances, that job category revealed the reason a bonus was given. General managers, office managers, division managers, and field representatives were more likely to be given bonuses based on financial performance factors. Sales representatives were more likely to be given bonuses based on gross volume or sales. New procurements were the third most important basis for giving bonuses to field representatives.

## Benefits

Benefits such as retirement; health, life, and disability insurance; and educational assistance can be paid for by the cooperative, the employee, or
both parties. It is assumed that educational assistance may range from paid seminars to college courses. Most benefits across all job categories were either paid by the cooperative or shared by both. Seventy-one to 73 percent of respondents indicated that disability insurance for general managers, office managers, division managers, and field representatives was paid by the cooperative (table 6).

When asked what formula was used for retirement/pension plans, most respondents (24) reported "no formula." The next largest number (22) reported that the cooperative paid all retirement plan costs under a defined program (table 7). Five cooperatives paid all retirement plan costs under a conditional program. When the cooperative and employees shared the cost of retirement benefits, a variety of formulas was used. These formulas included: "cooperative matches," "cooperative pays percentage," "profit sharing (percentage of net profit/wages)," and "cooperative pays 0.7 percent and employee pays 1 percent of wages. These were the most frequent "formulas" used for retirement benefits.

For other cooperatives, pension plans such as the 401 K plan were used. These were either paid
exclusively by employees or by both the cooperative and the employee.

## Other Benefits

Other benefits were "perks" or extras that an organization may offer to employees. Respondents were asked if their cooperative offered paid vacations/ holidays and sick days, use of company car, social club membership, health club membership, first-class travel, and mileage (private car). Responses indicated that paid vacations/ holidays, sick days, mileage (private car), and use of company car were more widely made available to employees across the five job categories (table 8). The percentage ranged from 99 to 0 . First-class travel was high on the list for general managers, with an 88 -percent response rate. Few respondents indicated cooperatives offered membership in social and/ or health clubs.

## COOPERATIVE TYPE

Of 116 respondents, 31 percent were grain cooperatives, 26 percent were farm supply cooperatives, 19 percent were fruit and vegetable cooperatives, and 17 percent were dairy cooperatives. The rest (7 percent) were "other" marketing cooperatives.

## Salary, by Cooperative Type

Table 9 shows high, median, average salaries, and the number of observations above and below the average or mean, by cooperative function. The low end of the salary ranges is not reported because there were instances of seasonal employment. In table 9, the mean is larger than the median in each instance, and more observations fell below the mean because of a few relatively high salaries.

Table S-Basis for bonuses for all job categories

| Factor | General manager | Office manager | Division manager | Field represantative | Sales representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 66 | 65 | 63 | 33 | 25 |
| Gross volume/sales | 12 | 13 | 10 | 29 | 46 |
| New procurement2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 0 |
| Percent (top quality) | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Performance (cooperative/employee) | 12 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 14 |
| Sales growth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Units sold | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 8 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^1]Table 6-Benefits offered employees and how paid

| Job category and factors | Company <br> paid | Employee <br> paid | Both <br> paid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| General manager |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Retirement insurance | 47 | 7 | 46 |
| Health insurance | 56 | 3 | 41 |
| $\quad$ Life insurance | 58 | 14 | 28 |
| Disability insurance | 71 | 12 | 17 |
| Educational assistance | 59 | 10 | 31 |


| Office manager |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Retirement insurance | 47 | 8 | 45 |
| Health insurance | 55 | 1 | 44 |
| Life insurance | 61 | 14 | 25 |
| Disability insurance | 71 | 13 | 16 |
| Educational assistance | 61 | 9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Division manager | 51 | 2 | 47 |
| Retirement insurance | 58 | 0 | 42 |
| Health insurance | 64 | 7 | 29 |
| Life insurance | 73 | 9 | 17 |
| Disability insurance | 60 | 4 | 36 |
| Educational assistance |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Field representative | 47 | 6 | 47 |
| Retirement insurance | 53 | 2 | 45 |
| Health insurance | 59 | 13 | 27 |
| Life insurance | 72 | 16 | 12 |
| Disability insurance | 59 | 7 | 34 |
| Educational assistance |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sales representative | 51 | 6 | 43 |
| Retirement insurance | 57 | 0 | 43 |
| Health insurance | 63 | 12 | 25 |
| Life insurance | 65 | 16 | 19 |
| Disability insurance | 70 | 0 | 30 |
| Educational assistance |  |  |  |

Table 7-Frequency of formulas for contribution for retirement

| Measurement | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: |
| None | 24 |
| Cooperative pays 100 percent (defined) | 22 |
| Cooperative pays 100 percent (conditional) |  |
| Service and/or salary | 5 |
| Cooperative pays 5 percent of savings | 1 |
| Cooperative/employee share |  |
| Cooperative matches | 4 |
| Cooperative pays a percentage | 4 |
| Cooperative 0.7\%/employee 1\% salary | 4 |
| Profit sharing (percent of net margins/salary) | 4 |
| Employee pays $1 \% /$ cooperative rest | 3 |
| Cooperative 60\%/employee 40\% | 2 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 19 |
| 401 k plan |  |
| Employee contribution only | 3 |
| Cooperative/employee share | 3 |
| $\$ .50-\$ 1.00$ cooperative/employee limited maximum dollar amount or percentage | 2 |
| $75 \%$ of first 6\% of annual salary | 2 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 6 |

'Nineteen responses covered cooperative pays 5 percent of net tocooperative matches up to 5 percent of gross salaries. ${ }^{2}$ Six different responses ranged from profitability to cooperative matches employee contribution.

Table 8-Percentage of sample that offered employees other benefits

|  |  |  |  | Field | Sales <br> repre- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit | General <br> manager <br> (Number in sample) | Office <br> manager <br> $(114)$ | Division <br> manager <br> $(84)$ | repre <br> sentative <br> $(69)$ | sentative <br> $(53)$ |

Percent

| Paid vacations/holidays | 96 | 97 | 99 | 99 | 98 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paid sick days | 93 | 93 | 94 | 97 | 94 |
| Use of cooperative car | 61 | 21 | 48 | 59 | 48 |
| Social club membership | 17 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| Health club membership | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 88 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Mileage (private car) | 70 | 71 | 70 | 69 | 73 |

Table O-Summary of annual salary for employee category, by cooperative type

| Job category and cooperative function* | Salary range ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Responses above/below mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Median | Mean |  |
|  | ..... ...... | - Dollars | -......... | Number |
| Dairy |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 158,144 | 47,500 | 59,422 | 5/13 |
| Off ice manager | 89,500 | 28,000 | 32,670 | 12/8 |
| Division manager | 110,000 | 47,500 | 53,410 | 4/5 |
| Field representative | 32,500 | 25,250 | 25,253 | 7/7 |
| Sales representative | 54.754 | 44.000 | 40.601 | 3/2 |
| Fruit and Vegetable |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 225,000 | 58,400 | 73,388 | 6/14 |
| Office manager | 114,024 | 39,200 | 51,026 | 8/12 |
| Division manager | 127,500 | 50,000 | 53,666 | 5/10 |
| Field representative | 46,000 | 35,000 | 36,169 | 5/6 |
| Sales representative | 65.000 | 42,500 | 39.346 | 6/4 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 175,000 | 42,000 | 48,805 | 9/27 |
| Off ice manager | 98,750 | 26,000 | 28,264 | 13/18 |
| Division manager | 98,750 | 32,000 | 32,943 | 11/15 |
| Field representative | 74,200 | 24,000 | 27,704 | 4/15 |
| Sales representative | 30.000 | 23,250 | 22.995 | 9/9 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 163,000 | 42,000 | 50,419 | 8/21 |
| Off ice manager | 80,000 | 22,250 | 29,473 | 8/20 |
| Division manager | 70,000 | 26,250 | 30,435 | 6/18 |
| Field representative | 50,000 | 24,000 | 25,684 | 4/15 |
| Sales representative | 28,000 | 22,500 | 22,833 | 7/8 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 150,000 | 47,500 | 123,750 | $2 / 2$ |
| Off ice manager | 104,000 | 79,500 | 83,333 | 2/4 |
| Division manager | 91,520 | 88,450 | 84,903 | 4/2 |
| Field representative | 45,000 | 42,500 | 41,250 | 2/2 |
| Sales representative | 108,120 | 60,000 | 72,707 | 1/2 |

[^2]Table 10 -Percentage of respondents that give bonuses to employees

| Job categories ${ }^{1}$ | Percent given |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dairy (20 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager | 29 |
| Off ice manager | 12 |
| Division manager | 44 |
| Field representative | 36 |
| Sales representative | 50 |
| Fruit and Vegetable (22 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager | 37 |
| Off ice manager | 40 |
| Division manager | 38 |
| Field representative | 33 |
| Sales representative | 45 |
| Grain (36 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager | 42 |
| Off ice manager | 36 |
| Division manager | 45 |
| Field representative | 58 |
| Sales representative | 54 |
| Farm Supply (22 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager | 34 |
| Office manager | 37 |
| Division manager | 54 |
| Field representative | 43 |
| Sales representative | 53 |
| Other (8 cooperatives)2 |  |
| General manager | 43 |
| Office manager | 43 |
| Division manager | 43 |
| Field representative | 20 |
| Sales representative | 29 |

'Number of respondents varied by job category.
${ }^{2}$ Marketing cooperatives with low responses.

Consequently, the median is a more representative measure of cooperative salaries.

The median and mean salaries for general managers, office managers, division managers, and field representatives in fruit and vegetable cooperatives were highest, ranging from $\$ 36,169$ to $\$ 73,388$ for the mean and from $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 58,400$ for the median salary. It is interesting to note that although fruit and vegetable cooperatives had the second highest sales, they had the highest median and mean salaries. Statistically, the number of respondents reporting may have affected the averages. There were many more respondents in farm supply and grain cooperatives. Responses to salary information by the "other" marketing cooperatives were small. Therefore, salary data for this group will not be considered but are represented in the table for format consistency.

## Bonuses and Basis, by Cooperative Type

Although most respondent cooperatives did not give bonuses, those that did generally gave to all of the five job categories. However, distribution of bonuses to job categories varied by type of cooperative. For example, more sales representatives received bonuses than field representatives in all types of cooperatives except grain cooperatives (table 10). Dairy cooperatives were al so distinctive in that less than one-third of them gave bonuses to general and office managers.

As a rule, bonuses were tied to the job functions. For example, sales representatives' bonuses were based moreon sales. Financial and employee performance and sales were the most commonly indicated factors for basing bonuses for other job categories. Generally, bonuses for all job categories for dairy, fruit and vegetable, and grain cooperatives were evenly distributed and based on financial performance and / or performance and sales (table 11). This trend was evident throughout the report.

## Financing Benefits, by Cooperative Type

According to the survey, a larger percentage of respondents of dairy cooperatives reported that they

Table 11-Basis for bonuses for employees

| cooperative <br> function and <br> factor | General <br> manager | Office <br> manager | Division <br> manager | Field <br> repre- <br> sentative | Sales <br> repre- <br> sentative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Dairy |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial performance ${ }^{1}$ | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross volume/sales | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Percent of top quality | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Performance | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| New procurement2 | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fruit and Vegetable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Gross volume/sales | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Performance | 3 |  | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| New procurement* | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 13 |  | 7 | 3 | 7 |
| Gross volume/sales | 0 |  | 1 | 4 | 13 |
| Performance | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Units sold | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sales growth | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 10 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 5 |
| Gross volume/sales | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Performance | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Units sold | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sales growth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

[^3]*Members recruited, new contracts, and grower signup.
Waned responses such as agriculture's national average prices paid to farmers, or no standard was given.
${ }^{4}$ Marketing cooperatives with low responses.

## Table 12-Benefits offered and how paid, by cooperative function

|  | Retirement insurance |  |  | Health insurance |  |  | Life insurance |  |  | Disability insurance |  |  | Educational assistance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooperative function and job category | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S ${ }^{1}$ |

Percent

| Dairy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General manager | 50 | 7 | 43 | 63 | 5 | 32 | 73 | 20 | 7 | 75 | 8 | 17 | 56 | 11 | 33 |
| Office manager | 47 | 13 | 40 | 68 | 0 | 32 | 80 | 20 | 0 |  |  | 8 | 67 | 11 | 22 |
| Division manager | 46 | 9 | 55 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 73 | 9 | 18 | 67 | 11 | 22 | 56 | 11 | 33 |
| Field representative | 39 | 15 | 46 | 57 | 0 | 43 | 75 | 17 | 8 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 71 | 0 | 29 |
| Sales representative | 50 | 13 | 37 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 12 | 13 | 63 | 12 | 25 | 67 | 0 | 33 |
| Fruit and Vegetable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 75 | 12 | 13 | 48 | 9 | 43 | 59 | 18 | 23 | 67 | 13 | 20 | 33 | 17 | 50 |
| Off ice manager | 72 | 14 | 14 | 47 | 5 | 48 | 57 | 14 | 29 | 72 | 7 | 21 | 27 | 18 | 55 |
| Division manager | 82 | 0 | 18 | 57 | 0 | 43 | 64 | 0 | 36 | 82 | 0 | 18 | 25 | 0 | 75 |
| Field representative | 78 | 0 | 22 | 60 | 0 | 40 | 53 | 0 | 37 | 88 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 67 |
| Sales representative | 75 | 12 | 13 | 70 | 0 | 30 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 67 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 40 | 3 | 57 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 53 | 9 | 38 | 75 | 12 | 13 | 76 | 12 | 12 |
| Office manager | 40 | 3 | 57 | 63 | 0 | 37 | 48 | 11 | 41 | 68 | 21 | 11 | 79 | 7 | 14 |
| Division manager | 46 | 0 | 54 | 72 | 0 | 28 | 67 | 4 | 29 |  |  | 6 | 77 | 8 | 15 |
| Field representative | 28 | 5 | 67 | 59 | 6 | 35 | 59 | 8 | 43 | 75 | 12 | 13 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Sales representative | 31 | 0 | 69 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 50 | 14 | 36 | 57 | 14 | 29 | 89 | 0 | 11 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 32 | 7 | 61 | 39 | 0 | 61 | 52 | 15 | 33 | 55 | 15 | 30 | 53 | 6 | 41 |
| Off ice manager | 36 | 8 | 56 | 39 | 0 | 61 | 54 | 17 | 29 | 59 | 18 | 23 | 50 | 6 | 44 |
| Division manager | 35 | 9 | 56 | 36 | 0 | 64 | 50 | 18 | 32 | 56 | 19 | 25 | 50 | 6 | 44 |
| Field representative | 37 | 10 | 53 | 38 | 0 | 62 | 56 | 22 | 22 | 62 | 23 | 15 | 50 | 6 | 44 |
| Sales representative | 40 | 13 | 47 | 36 | 0 | 64 | 57 | 21 | 22 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 58 | 0 | 42 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 86 | 0 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 57 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 83 | 0 | 17 |
| Off ice manager | 86 | 0 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 57 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 83 | 0 | 17 |
| Division manager | 86 | 0 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 57 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 83 | 0 | 17 |
| Field representative | 80 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 40 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 25 |
| Sales representative | 100 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1} C=$ cooperative; $E=$ employee paid; and $S=$ shared by both.
${ }^{2}$ Marketing cooperatives with low responses.
were more apt to pay for health, life, and disability insurance, and educational assistance for specified employees. Cooperatives paid retirement insurance for general managers, office managers, and sales representatives for most of the respondents. More respondents indicated that division managers and field representatives shared the cost of retirement benefits in dairy cooperatives (table 12).

Respondents indicated more grain, farm supply, and "other" marketing cooperatives paid for life and disability insurance and educational assistance for all employees in the survey. Educational assistance in fruit and vegetable cooperatives was also shared by the employee and the cooperative. Retirement and health insurance were also shared by more employees in farm supply cooperatives.

## Other Benefits Offered, by Cooperative Type

Table 13 shows other benefits offered employees by cooperative function. It should be noted here that the number of observations/ responses is included in the table and, in some cases, responses were small.

Onefifth or more respondents of dairy, grain, farm supply, and "other" marketing cooperatives offered paid vacations and sick days, along with first-class travel, company car, and mileage reimbursement (private car) for general managers, office managers, and in some cooperatives, division managers and field representatives. Respondents indicated few health and social club memberships were offered by cooperatives.

## REGIONAL DIFFERENCES

The United States was divided into five geographic regions-Corn Belt, Lake States, Northern Plains, West (Pacific \& Mountain regions), and East/ South Central (the Southeast, N ortheast, \& South Central regions). These regions were grouped in this manner to maintain anonymity due to insufficient data and for statistical analysis. In this section, results of questionnaires are presented by region (figure 3). M ost respondents were in the West and Lake States, 27 and 21 percent; followed by the East/ South Central, 19 percent; Corn

Belt, 18 percent; and finally the Northern Plains, 15 percent.

## Education

All regions, except the Lake States, had the largest percentage of general managers with bachelor/ associate and master's degrees ( 50 to 69 percent, table 14). Thirty-six percent of respondents in the $N$ orthern Plains and 32 percent of respondents in the Lake States said the general managers were high school graduates. The Lake States al so had the largest percentage of general managers who had "some college" education. Office managers in the East/ South Central area had the highest percentage (43 percent) of employees with a high school education. M ore than 50 percent of respondents indicated that division managers were college graduates with either a bachelor, associate, or master's degree in three regions-Corn Belt, 56 percent; East/ South Central, 55 percent; and the West, 52 percent.

Field representatives with college degrees were highest in the Corn Belt, Northern Plains, and West regions. The highest percentage of sales representatives with high school diplomas were found in the East/ South Central, Northern Plains, and West regions. Most sales representatives with either a bachelor or associate degree in the Corn Belt and Lake States.

## Regional Salary

Table 15 shows a breakdown of salary data for the five geographic regions. The high, median, and mean are reported for each category. The low salary was not reported because some respondents reported part-time salaries. It caused large spreads between the high and low salaries. With this type of data, the median is a more representative measure than the mean.

Regional differences aresignificant. The West had the largest median salary in all job categories with only two exceptions. The Corn Belt average for general managers and the East/ South Central average for sales representatives are higher, at

Table 13-Other benefits offered and paid for by cooperative, by cooperative function

| Cooperative function <br> andj obcategory | Paid <br> vacations | Paid <br> sick days | Cooperati ve <br> car | Soci al <br> cl ub | Heal th <br> cl ub | 1st cl ass <br> travel | MI eage <br> (pri vate car) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Dairy | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 24 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 20 |
| Office manager | 25 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 32 |
| Division manager | 17 | 17 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 17 |
| Field representative | 22 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 20 |
| Sales representative | 11 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Observations (number) | 64 | 58 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 35 |
| Fruit and Vegetable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 30 | 30 | 34 | 57 | 0 | 75 | 25 |
| Off ice manager | 24 | 24 | 16 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Division manager | 20 | 20 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 25 | 19 |
| Field representative | 13 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Sales representative | 13 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Observations (number) | 70 | 66 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 57 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 28 | 27 | 34 | 50 | 100 | 36 | 30 |
| Office manager | 23 | 23 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 21 |
| Division manager | 21 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 20 |
| Field representative | 15 | 16 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 14 |
| Sales representative | 13 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 15 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Observations (number) | 126 | 122 | 62 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 94 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 25 | 38 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 24 |
| Office manager | 23 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Division manager | 21 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Field representative | 17 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Sales representative | 14 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Observations (number) | 120 | 120 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 42 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 25 | 26 | 50 | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| Office manager | 25 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| Division manager | 25 | 25 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Field representative | 16 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Sales representative | 9 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 8 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Observations (number) | 32 | 32 | 23 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 12 |

[^4]Figure 3- Regional Breakdown

$\$ 52,500$ and $\$ 44,000$, than the respective medians in the West, at $\$ 42,000$ and $\$ 44,000$.

## Regional Salary Ranking

Geographic regions did not exhibit a significant difference in the way respondents ranked the factors-job responsibility, decisionmaking, performance, experience, education, and the impact of other firms in the area. Subsequently, no analysis was done.

## Region and Bonuses

Three regions-the Corn Belt, Lake States, and West-had responses of 40 percent or more in three of the five job categories (table 16). Four of the
regions reported a relatively high percentage, giving bonuses to sales representatives, while the Northern Plains reported the lowest percentage for this category over all job categories. Table 17 shows the distribution bases for determining bonuses for each job category by region. Respondents by region indicated that general, office, and division managers' basis for bonuses were on financial performance factors. More respondents in the Corn Belt and Northern Plains based bonuses for sales representatives on sales.

## How Benefits Are Paid

Benefits are paid either by the cooperative, employees, or shared by both (table 18). Most respondents indicated that retirement, health, life,

Table 14-Highest educational background of specified job categories, by region

| Job category and educational level | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Com } \\ & \text { Belt } \end{aligned}$ | Lake States | Northern Plains | West | East/South Central |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 14 | 32 | 36 | 25 | 10 |
| Some college | 14 | 40 | 14 | 12 | 19 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 54 | 28 | 36 | 50 | 57 |
| Master's Degree | 14 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 10 |
| Doctoral Degree | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 28 | 20 | 31 | 23 | 43 |
| Some college | 22 | 40 | 31 | 10 | 22 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 44 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 26 |
| Master's Degree | 6 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 9 |
| Doctoral Degree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 33 | 33 | 30 | 21 | 28 |
| Some college | 11 | 41 | 40 | 27 | 17 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 50 | 26 | 20 | 45 | 50 |
| Master's Degree | 6 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| Doctoral Degree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 31 | 40 | 0 | 25 | 46 |
| Some college | 6 | 30 | 50 | 15 | 27 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 63 | 30 | 38 | 55 | 27 |
| Master's Degree | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 |
| Doctoral Degree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 29 | 36 | 50 | 45 | 56 |
| Some college | 21 | 9 | 20 | 25 | 33 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 43 | 55 | 20 | 30 | 11 |
| Master's Degree | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Doctoral Degree | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 15-Annual salary range for specified employees, by region

| Region and salary range' | Job category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General manager | Office manager | Division manager | Field representative | Sales representative |
|  |  |  | Dollars |  |  |
| Corn Belt |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | 175,000 | 98,750 | 98,750 | 74,200 | 30,000 |
| Median | 52,500 | 30,000 | 33,500 | 25,250 | 24,500 |
| Mean | 67,739 | 37,543 | 42,850 | 29,951 | 25,342 |
| responses (\#) | 19 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| Northern Plains |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | 92,652 | 54,368 | 54,285 | 33,000 | 65,000 |
| Median | 43,500 | 20,500 | 25,000 | 24,000 | 22,500 |
| Mean | 44,178 | 24,111 | 29,003 | 24,833 | 26,360 |
| responses (\#) | 16 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 11 |
| Lake States |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | 163,000 | 79,000 | 75,000 | 45,000 | 60,000 |
| Median | 42,000 | 24,417 | 27,250 | 23,500 | 22,000 |
| Mean | 53,099 | 53,238 | 32,049 | 26,184 | 27,000 |
| responses (\#) | 23 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 9 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | 300,000 | 114,024 | 127,500 | 45,000 | 51,300 |
| Median | 48,000 | 37,200 | 50,000 | 33,000 | 28,300 |
| Mean | 79,397 | 48,706 | 49,389 | 32,178 | 33,880 |
| responses (\#) | 26 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 12 |
| East/South Central |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | 100,000 | 80,000 | 110,000 | 50,000 | 108,120 |
| Median | 42,000 | 24,565 | 36,300 | 24,000 | 44,000 |
| Mean | 63,233 | 34,838 | 47,652 | 25,768 | 47,803 |
| responses (\#) | 21 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 7 |

'Respondents gave salary information for both full- and part-time employees. Also, not every respondent answered for all job classifications or salary range (high, low, actual). In some cases, no salary information was given.

Table 16-Percentage of cooperatives that gave bonuses, by region

| Region, job category, and number in sample' | Percent of cooperatives |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corn Belt (21) |  |
| General manager | 42 |
| Off ice manager | 35 |
| Division manager | 57 |
| Field representative | 46 |
| Sales representative | 64 |
| Lake States (24) |  |
| General manager | 29 |
| Off ice manager | 32 |
| Division manager | 67 |
| Field representative | 53 |
| Sales representative | 64 |
| Northern Plains (17) |  |
| General manager | 27 |
| Off ice manager | 29 |
| Division manager | 29 |
| Field representative | 31 |
| Sales representative | 21 |
| West (32) |  |
| General manager | 47 |
| Office manager | 46 |
| Division manager | 55 |
| Field representative | 40 |
| Sales representative | 50 |
| East/South Central (22) |  |
| General manager | 27 |
| Off ice manager | 30 |
| Division manager | 25 |
| Field representative | 50 |
| Sales representative | 56 |

'Number of respondents varied in each job category
and disability insurance for general managers, office managers, and division managers were paid by the cooperative in the Corn Belt and West regions. For all job categories, more respondents in all regions reported that educational assistance was paid by the cooperative.

Finally, the cost of some benefits was shared by both the employee and the cooperative. The East/ South Central was the only region where health insurance for general managers, office managers, and division managers was shared by both the cooperative and the employee. Retirement benefits in the Lake States and Northern Plains were shared by cooperatives and employees in all job categories except general managers in the Lake States.

## Other Benefits

Other benefits or "perks" were paid primarily by the cooperative. Of the cooperatives that offered perks, table 19 shows those benefits most offered. The largest percentage of respondents in all regions reported offering all employees paid vacations/ holidays and sick days. Mileage (private car) or use of the company car was the next largest percentage, i.e., most common benefit given by respondents throughout all regions and for all job categories. Social and health club memberships and first-class travel were uncommon benefits in all regions.

## DIFFERENCES IN EMPLOYEE FACTORS, BY SALES RANGE

Many of the previously reported employment factors for the 116 respondent cooperatives can be grouped and compared by sales range categories. Out of the 116 cooperative respondents, 115 gave sales information. Of these, 16 cooperatives were in the smallest sales range, $\$ 1.8-2.8$ million; 41 cooperatives were in the $\$ 2.9-8.8$ million sales range; 33 cooperatives were in the $\$ 8.9-98.8$ million sales range; and 25 cooperatives were in the $\$ 99$ million or more sales range. Differences in the size of cooperatives, as measured by sales, have an affect on employment factors.

## Table 17-Basis for bonuses for specified employees, by region

| Job category and basis | Corn Belt | Lake States | Northern Plains | west | East/South Central |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent |  |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 87 | 37 | 80 | 63 | 72 |
| Gross volume/sales | 13 | 36 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Percent of top quality | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance (co-op/employee) | 0 | 9 | 20 | 25 | 14 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 66 | 63 | 100 | 58 | 66 |
| Gross volume/sales | 14 | 25 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Performance (co-op/employee) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 17 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 60 | 57 | 100 | 57 | 57 |
| Gross volume/sales | 20 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Percent of top quality | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance (co-op/employee) | 0 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 29 |
| New procurement ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Units sold | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 33 | 23 | 25 | 60 | 34 |
| Gross volume/sales | 33 | 33 | 50 | 20 | 33 |
| Percent of top quality | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance (co-op/employee) | 0 | 22 | 25 | 0 | 33 |
| New procurement3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Units sold | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance1 | 25 | 45 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross volume/sales | 62 | 33 | 50 | 50 | 34 |
| Performance (co-op/employee) | 0 | 11 | 17 | 50 | 34 |
| Units sold | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

'Margin, net earnings, income, profits, and savings.
*Varied responses such as agriculture's national average prices paid to fanners or no standard was given.
${ }^{3}$ Members recruited, new contracts, and grower signup.

Table 1 \&-Percentage of benefits paid for by cooperative and/or employee, by region

| J obcat egor yand benefit | Corn Belt |  |  | Lake States |  |  | Northern Pl ai ns |  |  | west |  |  | East/ South Central |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 55 | 5 | 40 | 45 | 15 | 40 | 19 | 6 | 75 | 60 | 4 | 36 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Health insurance | 58 | 0 | 42 | 63 | 4 | 33 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 56 | 6 | 38 | 32 | 0 | 68 |
| Life insurance | 53 | $1 a$ | 29 | 71 | 19 | 10 | 53 | 12 | 35 | 57 | 1 a | 25 | 53 | 0 | 47 |
| Disability insurance | 79 | 21 | 0 | al | 19 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 70 | 13 | 17 | 53 | 0 | 47 |
| Educational assistance | 57 | 7 | 36 | 46 | 27 | 27 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 55 | 10 | 35 | 78 | 0 | 22 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 50 | 10 | 40 | 38 | 19 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 77 | 61 | 4 | 35 | 57 | 0 | 43 |
| Health insurance | 56 | 0 | 44 | 55 | 5 | 40 | 57 | 0 | 43 | 59 | 0 | 41 | 39 | 0 | 61 |
| Life insurance | 47 |  | 29 | 78 | 17 | 5 | 50 | 21 | 29 | 63 | 13 | 24 | 63 | 0 | 37 |
| Disability insurance | 71 | 29 | 0 | 75 | 19 | 6 | 57 | 14 | 29 | 77 | 9 | 14 | 63 | 0 | 37 |
| Educational assistance | 57 | 7 | 36 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 61 | 6 | 33 | 78 | 0 | 22 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 60 | 0 | 40 | 41 | 12 | 47 | 27 | 0 | 73 | 60 | 0 | 40 | 57 | 0 | 43 |
| Health insurance | 57 | 0 | 43 | 58 | 0 | 42 | 73 | 0 | 27 | 68 | 0 | 32 | 31 | 0 | 69 |
| Life insurance | 50 | 21 | 29 | 76 | 6 | 1 a | 73 | 0 | 27 | 65 | 10 | 25 | 53 | 0 | 47 |
| Disability insurance | 70 | 30 | 0 | 86 | 7 | 7 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 10 | 10 | 53 | 0 | 47 |
| Educational assistance | 54 |  | 38 | 56 | 11 | 33 | 60 | 0 | 40 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 78 | 0 | 22 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 53 | 7 | 40 | 33 | 13 | 54 | 22 | 0 | 78 | 65 | 0 | 35 | 50 | 12 | 38 |
| Health insurance | 46 | 0 | 54 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 63 | 0 | 37 | 44 | 12 | 44 |
| Life insurance | 38 | 31 | 31 | 71 | 7 | 21 | 63 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 13 | 20 | 56 | 11 | 33 |
| Disability insurance | 60 | 40 | 0 | a4 | a | a | 67 | 0 | 33 | 74 | 13 | 13 | 67 | 11 | 22 |
| Educational assistance | 59 | a | 33 | 63 | 12 | 25 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 62 | 0 | 38 | 40 | 20 | 40 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 46 | 0 | 54 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 1 a | 9 | 73 | 69 | 0 | 31 | a3 | 0 | 17 |
| Health insurance | 50 | 0 | 50 | 38 | 0 | 63 | 70 | 0 | 30 | 64 | 0 | 36 | 57 | 0 | 43 |
| Life insurance | 58 | 17 | 25 | 74 | 13 | 13 | 50 | 10 | 40 | 66 | 17 | 17 | 67 | 0 | 33 |
| Disability insurance | 50 | 38 | 12 | 86 | 14 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 66 | 17 | 17 | 67 | 0 | 33 |
| Educational assistance | 73 | 0 | 27 | 60 | 0 | 40 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 64 | 0 | 36 | 75 | 0 | 25 |

[^5]Table 19-Percentage of other benefits offered employees and paid by cooperative, by region

| Job category and benefit | Corn Belt | Lake States | Northern Plains | West | East/South Central |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | 100 | 100 | 94 | 97 | 90 |
| Paid sick days | 100 | 100 | 88 | 91 | 86 |
| Use of company car | 50 | 52 | 59 | 71 | 67 |
| Social club membership | 18 | 9 | 18 | 21 | 21 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 13 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 16 |
| Mileage (private car) | 76 | 77 | 71 | 66 | 63 |
| Number of responses | \#18 | \#23 | \#16 | \#27 | \#18 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | 100 | 96 | 94 | 96 | 95 |
| Paid sick days | 100 | 96 | 88 | 96 | 89 |
| Use of company car | 11 | 23 | 59 | 31 | 25 |
| Social club membership | 0 | 5 | 18 | 4 | 13 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| Mileage (private car) | 88 | 14 | 71 | 71 | 72 |
| Number of responses | \#18 | \#23 | \#16 | \#27 | \#18 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | 100 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 100 |
| Paid sick days | 100 | 100 | 80 | 96 | 88 |
| Use of company car | 50 | 39 | 30 | 63 | 50 |
| Social club membership | 0 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 14 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 17 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 14 |
| Mileage (private car) | 73 | 74 | 60 | 78 | 56 |
| Number of responses | \#14 | \#20 | \#9 | \#24 | \#16 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 100 |
| Paid sick days | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 80 |
| Use of company car | 69 | 53 | 44 | 68 | 50 |
| Social club membership | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 9 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Mileage (private car) | 73 | 63 | 67 | 58 | 60 |
| Number of responses | \#13 | \#18 | \#9 | \#18 | \#10 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | 100 | 100 | 91 | 100 | 100 |
| Paid sick days | 100 | 100 | 91 | 92 | 86 |
| Use of company car | 58 | 50 | 36 | 43 | 57 |
| Social club membership | 0 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 17 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Mileage (private car) | 82 | 50 | 64 | 93 | 57 |
| Number of responses | \#12 | \#10 | \#10 | \#13 | \#7 |

## Educational Differences, by Sales Range

Cooperatives were grouped in four sales ranges in order to compare differences in employees' education levels. In most cases, there is a pattern of cooperatives with larger sales having employees with higher educational attainment.

Cooperatives with sales volume in the lowest range, $\$ 1.8-2.8$ million, had the largest percentage of office managers, division managers, and field representatives who ended their formal education at the high school level (table 20). However, 69 percent of general managers in the lowest sales range had bachelor, associate, or higher degrees, while in the next sales range, \$2.9-8.8 million, only 39 percent of general managers had bachelor degrees or higher. The pattern of cooperative size and employee education is also contradicted by the second lowest sales range, with 80 percent completing formal education in high school, while the lowest sales range had 67 percent in that category. However, cooperatives in the second sales range category had the highest percentage, but not the most, of field representatives with bachelor or associa te degrees (table 20).

In the \$8.9-98.8 million sales range, roughly half of the employees in all job categories had either some college or bachelor/associate degrees. In the more than $\$ 99$ million sales range, all other job categories, except sales representatives, had a substantial majority with bachelor or associate degrees. Of a total of 18 doctoral degrees, the highest sales range had 13 and the next highest sales range had the remainder of 5 .

## Salary and Sales Range

Salaries tend to be higher on average for cooperatives with higher sales ranges. There are a few exceptions between the lowest and the next highest range with regard to mean salaries but less so with regard to median salaries. This may have occurred because there were 62 responses in the $\$ 1.8-2.8$ million sales range as compared to 115 responses in the $\$ 2.9-8.8$ million sales range. In some cases, respondents had more than one employee in a specified job category.

The mean and median are the key summary statistics reported (table 21) to provide measures of distribution. Salaries for general managers tend to differ significantly between large and small cooperatives, whereas salaries for more narrowly focused jobs are more similar regardless of the size of an organization's total sales.

## Ranking Factors for Salary, by Sales

Table 22 shows the ordering factors that respondents indicated were most important in setting salaries by sales range. Some of the factors received the same rank. As a result, two or more factors were often given the same rank, for example, three l's and three 6's. Another example, while sales representatives in the $\$ 1.8-2.8$ million sales range had a majority of respondents ranking job responsibility and decisionmaking capabilities as 1 , experience for general and division managers also was ranked as 1. Again, more explanation in the survey's instructions may have been needed because many respondents used the 1 to 6 as a measure of importance for each factor separately, rather than indicate each factor's order of importance.

Another explanation may be needed. It is assumed that there are no division managers in the smaller cooperatives (those with sales from $\$ 1.8$ to $\$ 2.8$ million). Therefore, it is also assumed that respondents may have substituted assistant managers for division managers in this sales size.

Also, some factors were assigned no rank, presumably because respondents considered these as having no impact on salary determination. To provide comparable percentages, a mark of 7 was added to the priority ordering and given to each blank or unranked factor.

In the other sales ranges and for all job categories, respondents ranked job responsibility, decisionmaking, and performance either 1,2 , or 3 . In most instances when setting salaries, other firms in area and education were the least important factor, with a rank of 5 or 6 . The exceptions were in the $\$ 1.8$ - $\$ 2.8$ million sales range for division managers, field representatives, and sales representatives. In contrast, respondents in these job categories felt

Table 20-Educational background of specified employee, by sales range

| Sales, educational <br> level (number in each <br> sales range) | General <br> manager | Office <br> manager | Division <br> manager | Field <br> repre- <br> sentative | Sales <br> repre- <br> sentative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Percent

| Observations (number) | 16 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (16 cooperatives) |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 12 | 38 | 43 | 63 | 67 |
| Some college | 19 | 31 | 36 | 25 | 22 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 65 | 31 | 21 | 13 | 11 |
| Master's Degree | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Doctoral Degree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Observations (number) | 39 | 34 | 24 | 15 | 5 |
| (41 cooperatives) |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 38 | 38 | 42 | 27 | 80 |
| Some college | 23 | 35 | 38 | 13 | 0 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 36 | 24 | 21 | 53 | 20 |
| Master's Degree | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Doctoral Degree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Observations (number)' | 31 | 29 | 34 | 26 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 26 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 24 |
| Some college | 23 | 21 | 32 | 27 | 24 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 39 | 41 | 29 | 42 | 43 |
| Master's Degree | 13 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 5 |
| Doctoral Degree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Observations (number)' | 25 | 27 | 31 | 25 | 19 |
| (25 cooperatives) |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 0 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 42 |
| Some college | 8 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 21 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor or Associate Degree | 64 | 59 | 74 | 52 | 32 |
| Master's Degree | 20 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Doctoral Degree | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

[^6]| Sales range and job category | Salary range ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Responses above/below mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | High |  |
|  |  | Dollars |  |  |
| \$1.8-2.8 million |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 43,241 | 30,000 | 63,000 | 2/12 |
| Off ice manager | 21,682 | 19,250 | 69,000 | 5/11 |
| Division manager | 21,000 | 21,000 | 52,500 | 516 |
| Field representative | 23,492 | 20,974 | 35,000 | 3/5 |
| Sales representative | 24,651 | 21,000 | 27,500 | 2/5 |
| \$2.9-8.8 million |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 37,290 | 37,500 | 61,800 | 20/19 |
| Off ice manager | 23,012 | 22,029 | 42,500 | 14/20 |
| Division manager | 25,867 | 25,000 | 52,000 | 7/14 |
| Field representative | 23,204 | 24,000 | 33,000 | 8/7 |
| Sales representative | 21,900 | 21,000 | 27,500 | 5/5 |
| \$8.9-98.8 million |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 60,966 | 52,500 | 155,000 | 10/21 |
| Off ice manager | 33,608 | 30,000 | 79,000 | 12/18 |
| Division manager | 36,889 | 33,950 | 75,000 | 8/18 |
| Field representative | 26,899 | 24,500 | 45,000 | 8/16 |
| Sales representative | 31,389 | 25,000 | 65,000 | 5/14 |
| Over \$99 million |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 128,305 | 120,000 | 300,000 | 9/12 |
| Off ice manager | 68,121 | 71,400 | 114,024 | 13/12 |
| Division manager | 67,379 | 64,000 | 127,500 | 11/14 |
| Field representative | 36,129 | 35,000 | 74,200 | 8/14 |
| Sales representative | 41,123 | 30,000 | 108,120 | 7/8 |

'Respondents gave salary information for both full- and part-time employees. Also, not every respondent answered for all job classifications or salary range (high, low, actual). In some cases, no salary information was given.

| Factors sales range | General manager | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Off ice } \\ & \text { manager } \end{aligned}$ | Division manager | Field representative | Sales representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rank |  |  |  |  |
| \$1.8-2.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsibility | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Decisionmaking | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Performance | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Experience | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Education | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Other firms | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| \$2.9-8.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsibility | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Decisionmaking | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Performance | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Experience | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Education | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Other firms | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| \$8.9-98.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsibility | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Decisionmaking | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Performance | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Experience | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Education | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Other firms | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Over \$99 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsibility | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Decisionmaking | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Performance | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Experience | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Education | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Other firms | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 |

other firms in the area was a key factor for setting salaries.

## Bonuses and Their Basis, by Sales Range

Respondents with sales in the $\$ 8.9-98.8$ million and $\$ 99$ million or more ranges reported the largest percentages of bonuses given, 50 and 51 percent, respectively. Respondents with sales of \$2.9-8.8 million had the lowest percentage that gave bonuses. Respondents in the $\$ 1.8-2.8$ million sales range reported a relatively high percentage for field representatives, sales representatives, and division managers (table 23).

Table 24 shows what factors determined bonuses. In the first sales level, more respondents reported financial performance as the basis for bonuses for employees in all job categories.

In the next sales category, \$2.9-8.8 million, financial performance as the basis for bonuses for general managers, office managers, and division managers again had the largest number of respondents. For field representatives, bonuses were based equally on financial performance, sales, and sales growth. Bonuses that were given to sales representatives based on sales and units sold were equally important.

A gain in the \$8.9-98.8 million and the $\$ 99$ million or more sales level, the financial performance was most important to general, office, and division managers. More cooperative respondents with sales of \$8.9-98.8 million reported gross sales/ volume was the basis for bonuses for field representatives while respondents in the $\$ 99$ million or more sales range reported that bonuses were based on financial performance, new procurement, and sales equally. Respondents indicated that sales representatives in the last two sales levels gave bonuses based on gross volume/ sales.

## Relationship Between Sales and How Financed

Cooperatives in all sales ranges paid for life and disability insurance for employees in the five job categories (table 25). Payment of health insurance and/ or retirement insurance was more likely

Table 23-Percentage of specified employees receiving bonuses, by sales ranges

| Job category and <br> salary range ${ }^{\prime}$ | Percent rece <br> bonuses |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| \$1.8-2.8 million |  |
| (16 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager | 20 |
| Office manager | 36 |
| Division manager | 45 |
| Field representative | 56 |
| Sales representative | 56 |
| All categories | 40 |
|  |  |
| \$2.9-8.8 million |  |
| (41 cooperatives) | 27 |
| General manager | 17 |
| Office manager | 38 |
| Division manager | 21 |
| Field representative | 17 |
| Sales representative | 25 |
| All categories |  |
| \$8.9-98.8 million |  |
| (33 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager | 46 |
| Off ice manager | 42 |
| Division manager | 57 |
| Field representative | 44 |
| Sales representative | 54 |
| All categories | 50 |
| Over \$99 million | 47 |
| (25 cooperatives) |  |
| General manager |  |
| Off ice manager |  |
| Division manager |  |
| Field representative |  |
| Sales representative |  |
| All categories |  |

'Number of respondents varied in each job category.

| Sales and bonus factor | General manager | Off ice manager | Division manager | Field representative | Sales representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number |  |  |
| \$1.8-2.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Gross sales/volume | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Performance (coop./employee) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| New procurement2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Others | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2.9-8.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance ${ }^{1}$ | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Gross sales/volume | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent of top quality | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance (coop./employee) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Units sold | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sales growth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \$8.9-98.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 10 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Gross sales/volume | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Percent of top quality | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Performance (coop./employee) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| New procurement* | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Other3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Over $\$ 99$ million |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial performance' | 8 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| Gross volume/sales | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Percent of top quality | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Performance (coop./employee) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| New procurement2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Other3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

'Margins, net earnings, income, profits, and/or savings,
${ }^{2}$ Number of farmers recruited, grower sign up, and/or new contracts procured.
${ }^{3}$ Varied responses such as agriculture's national average prices paid to farmers, or no standard was given.

| Sales and benefits | General manager |  |  | Office manager |  |  | Division manager |  |  | Field representative |  |  | Sales representative |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1.8-2.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 33 | 9 | 58 | 36 | 9 | 55 | 38 | 12 | 50 | 38 | 12 | 50 | 43 | 14 | 43 |
| Health insurance | 47 | 6 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 53 | 55 | 0 | 45 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 29 | 0 | 71 |
| Life insurance | 50 | 36 | 14 | 62 | 31 | 8 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 57 | 43 | 0 |
| Disability insurance | 78 | 11 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 10 | 86 | 14 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 66 | 17 | 17 |
| Educational assistance | 63 | 0 | 37 | 63 | 0 | 37 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 60 | 40 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| \$2.9-8.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 30 | 13 | 57 | 27 | 23 | 50 | 38 | 6 | 56 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 22 | 11 | 67 |
| Health insurance | 62 | 5 | 33 | 59 | 3 | 38 | 68 | 0 | 32 | 57 | 7 | 36 | 60 | 0 | 40 |
| Life insurance | 47 | 19 | 34 | 48 | 26 | 26 | 61 | 6 | 33 | 44 | 27 | 27 | 38 | 12 | 50 |
| Disability insurance | 58 | 15 | 27 | 57 | 24 | 19 | 64 | 7 | 29 | 56 | 33 | 11 | 60 | 20 | 20 |
| Educational assistance | 47 | 29 | 24 | 46 | 31 | 23 | 50 | 10 | 40 | 45 | 22 | 33 | 57 | 0 | 43 |
| \$8.9-98.8 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 53 | 4 | 43 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 52 | 0 | 48 | 46 | 0 | 54 | 55 | 5 | 40 |
| Health insurance | 63 | 0 | 37 | 63 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 74 | 0 | 26 |
| Life insurance | 76 | 10 | 14 | 79 | 11 | 11 | 72 | 12 | 16 | 68 | 14 | 18 | 78 | 11 | 11 |
| Disability insurance | 80 | 15 | 5 | 77 | 14 | 9 | 76 | 14 | 10 | 79 | 16 | 5 | 69 | 23 | 8 |
| Educational assistance | 71 | 0 | 29 | 79 | 0 | 21 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 82 | 0 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 18 |
| Over \$99 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement insurance | 69 | 0 | 31 | 65 | 0 | 35 | 64 | 0 | 36 | 65 | 0 | 35 | 67 | 0 | 33 |
| Health insurance | 44 | 56 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 42 | 0 | 58 | 47 | 0 | 53 |
| Life insurance | 56 | 0 | 44 | 52 | 0 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 48 | 53 | 0 | 47 | 60 | 0 | 40 |
| Disability insurance | 77 | 5 | 18 | 73 | 4 | 23 | 73 | 4 | 23 | 71 | 5 | 24 | 62 | 7 | 31 |
| Educational assistance | 59 | 5 | 36 | 59 | 5 | 36 | 59 | 5 | 36 | 50 | 6 | 44 | 50 | 6 | 44 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{C}=$ paid by cooperative; $\mathrm{E}=$ paid by employee; and $\mathrm{S}=$ shared by both.
shared by employees and cooperatives in the lower two sales ranges, while in the next two higher sales ranges these benefits were paid for by the cooperative. There was an exception. Office managers, division managers, field representatives, and sales representatives in the $\$ 99$ million or more sales range shared payment of health insurance benefits with the cooperative. The percentage range of benefits paid by cooperatives was from 43 percent to 86 percent. Retirement was the benefit most often shared by both the cooperative and the employee
in the first two sales levels for general managers, office managers, and division managers.

## Other Benefits and Sales

Most respondents indicated that other bene-fits-paid vacations and sick days, and mileage of private car-were offered to employees by cooperatives in every sales range (table 26). Ten out of 23 cooperatives in the over $\$ 99$ million sales range offered social club membership.

| Benefits and sales range | General manager | Office manager | Division manager | Field repre sentative | Sales representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Number

| \$1.8-2.8 million <br> (16 cooperatives) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paid vacation/holidays | 16 | 16 | 10 | 9 |
| Paid sick days | 16 | 16 | 10 | 9 |
| Use of cooperative car | 9 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Social club membership | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health club membership | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First-class travel | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mileage (private car) | 11 | 11 | 8 | 6 |

## \$2.9-8.8 million

| (41 cooperatives) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paid vacation/holidays | 39 | 31 | 15 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |
| Paid sick days | 35 | 30 | 19 | 15 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| Use of cooperative car | 17 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| Social club membership | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| First-class travel | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Mileage (private car) | 30 | 22 | 13 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 11 |

## \$8.9-98.8 million

(33 cooperatives)

| Paid vacation/holidays | 30 | 30 | 27 | 25 | 19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paid sick days | 29 | 27 | 25 | 19 |  |
| Use of cooperative car | 21 | 5 | 13 | 13 | 19 |
| Social club membership | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| Health club membership | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| First-class travel | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Mileage (private car) | 18 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 18 |

Over $\$ 99$ million

| (25 cooperatives) |  |  | 19 | 14 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paid vacation/holidays | 25 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 14 |
| Paid sick days | 25 | 24 | 14 | 15 |  |
| Use of cooperative car | 21 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 15 |
| Social club membership | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health club membership | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 15 |
| First-class travel | 5 | 3 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| Mileage (private car) | 14 | 20 |  |  | 13 |

'Number of responses varied in each job category.

## CHANGES

The most substantial change in the last 5 years, according to respondents, was increased salaries. Salaries were increased to keep up with inflation (table 27). Although, survey results indicated that "other firms in the area" had little to do with influencing a cooperative in setting salaries, in some instances it is likely that changes in some benefits were made to keep up with other firms in the area.

Other changes were in the form of additions or changes in the benefits being offered to employees. There were a number of additions made in the last 5 years of which the 401k retirement plan was the mostsignificant. Respondents also indicated that cafeteria plan, merit pay, and disability insurance were important additions to their benefits. Others were health insurance, personal leave, and profit-sharing pension plans.

The most important change was a greater share of health insurance premiums paid by employees. Higher contribution to 401 k , performance and training based more on accountability, and upgraded pension benefit were the next most frequently reported changes.

## SUMMARY

There are no set guidelines for cooperatives to set salaries and benefits. They should be sufficient to attract the type of workers that the cooperative needs and should provide incentives for quality performance.

There are certain factors any business must consider. These factors may be the result of external influences, Federal and State government policies and regulations, competitive background and geographical locations or internal factors, the educational background of employee, skill, physical ability (required by job), and experience. of the employee.

Job evaluation is one of the tools available for developing equitable pay structures. Job evaluations may be developed through several methodsranking, classification, factor comparison, and

Table 27-Cooperatives reporting changes in salary and benefit package in last 5 years

| Change | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Number of responses' | 118 |
| Changes |  |
| Inflation/cost of living | 17 |
| Change in share of health premium | 5 |
| Health insurance coverage decreased | 3 |
| Cooperative pays 1/2 deductible | 2 |
| Increased for employees' share of health |  |
| package | 2 |
| Performance and training more based on |  |
| accountability | 2 |
| Upgraded pension benefit | 2 |
| Higher contribution to 401 k | 2 |
| Be more competitive with "like" companies | 2 |
| For job performance | 2 |
| Freeze on salary | 2 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 13 |
| Added |  |
| 401 k plan |  |
| Cafeteria plan (section 125) |  |
| Merit pay |  |
| Disability insurance | 13 |
| Retirement plan (3 yrs service) | 6 |
| Profit-sharing pension plan | 2 |
| Personal leave days |  |
| Health insurance and paid vacation | 2 |
| Dental under health | 2 |
| Executive bonus plan | 2 |
| Deferred compensation program | 2 |
| Health care rebate program | 2 |
| Medical plan/health insurance | 2 |
| Increase in sick leave | 2 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 2 |
| Some respondents gave more than one response. |  |
| *Thirteen different responses included life insurance made optional, |  |
| cumulative sick days, self dental funding, etc. |  |
| 3Nine responses included bonus program, HMO, incentive pay |  |
| emphasis, additional holidays, etc. | 2 |
|  | 2 |

'Some respondents gave more than one response.
*Thirteen different responses included life insurance made optional, cumulative sick days, self dental funding, etc. ${ }^{3}$ Nine responses included bonus program, HMO, incentive pay emphasis, additional holidays, etc.
point. Each of these methods have advantages and disadvantages.

Benefit plans must also be evaluated. Benefit planners should consider employee needs and expectations, cost escalation, tax considerations, benefit quality, and actions of competitors. There are other questions that the cooperative must answer. What benefits should be offered and how will they be ced? What conditions must be met before an employee is eligible for benefits? These questions can be answered through interviews of employees, monitoring benefit activities of other businesses in the area, government agencies, and reading various benefit publications.
"Perks" were offered to most employees. Paid vacations, holidays and sick days, use of company car, and mileage reimbursement (private car) were more widely made available to employees in all job categories. Membership in social and health clubs was not so widely available to employees of cooperatives.

According to respondents, employees had some college education or a college degree. Cooperative sales further defined the educational background of selected employees. In the largest sales level, more than $\$ 99$ million, all selected employees with the exception of sales representatives were college graduates with a bachelor or associate degree.

Performance, job responsibility, and decisionmaking capabilities were the most important salary-setting elements. Throughout all job categories, generally education and other firms in the area were the least important factor in setting salaries. The methods for evaluating performance varied. The largest percentage of the respondents indicated that preagreed goals and objectives were used. Less than half of the respondents reported that bonuses were given to employees based on financial performance factors such as margins, net earnings, income, savings.

There were minor regional differences in benefits, ranking factors for setting salaries, "perks," and educational levels of cooperatives. Salary was highest in the West region in all job categories, except for field representatives in the Corn Belt, and sales representatives in the East/ South

Central, Northern Plains, and Lake States. M ost bonuses were based on financial performancefactors in all regions and job categories with the exception of general managers in the Lake States, field representatives in all regions except the Northern Plains, and sales representatives in all regions.

M ost respondents indicated that retirement plans for general, office, and division managers and field representatives in the West were paid by their cooperative.

As cooperative sales volume increased, so did salaries of job categories except for general managers in the smallest sales level.

Cooperative leaders must look at internal and external influences when setting salaries and benefits and use all available resources such as job evaluations, Government agencies, other firms in the area, and employee interviews in developing an equitable salary structure and maintaining a balance between needs of the employee and the cooperative. Finally, cooperatives must be ready to adapt their salaries and benefits to remain competitive.

## APPENDIX

## Definitions

Cafeteria Plan-Is the official term used in Section 125 of the Internal Revenue Code which describes a flexible benefits program between taxable and nontaxable benefits that the Federal Government recognizes. It is an individual combination of benefits to each employee, rather than a standard program that covers all employees the same way.

Perquisites ("perks")-Additional benefits designed not only to increase size of a compensation package, but also to compensate for the stresses and strains of employment. These benefits are paid by organization.

Section 401 k (cash or deferred)-The Internal Revenue Code that permits employees to choose between currently taxable cash compensation and not currently taxable contributions to certain qualified plans. Therefore, an employee can elect whether their employer will make contributions on their behalf to a qualified profit-sharing plan (including thrift plan), or a qualified stock bonus plan, or the preEmployment Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) money purchase pension plan; or instead pay the amount to the employees as cash compensation, without having contributions elected to be made to the qualified plan considered as currently taxable income to the employees under the constructive receipt doctrine.
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## DEFINITIONS OFJOB CATEGORIES:

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND OWNER/PRESIDENT/G ENERAL MANAGER -- The decisionmaking element of the cooperativerole is toformulate, execute policies, and to obtain cooperative's objective.

ACCOUNTANT/CONTROLLER/OFFICE MANAGER -- Role is to keep timely and accurate records of cooperative's financial standing.

DIVISION MANAGERS -- Carry out and support policies, objectives of the cooperative and the Chief Executive Officer/President/General M anager.

FIELD REPRESENTATIVE/CONSULTANT -- Uses expertise on how best to cultivate land through soil testing and other tools, and recommends appropriate products and innovative technological trends to improve farm operations.

SALES REPRESENTATIVE -- Keeps abreast of new product on market. M eets withfarmers to take orders and inform farmers of new products. Some sales representatives also make deliveries.

|  | Chief Executive )fficer/President/ General Manager | Accountant/ Controller/ Office Manager | Division M anagers | Field 'epresentative/ Consultant | Sales <br> Representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. How was employee found and ultimately hired? (Through media, advertisement reference, word of mouth etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. How many employees do you employ? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. What is educational background? Use following codes for: High School = HS, GED; Some College = SC (no degree); College = BS (2 yrs or 4 yrs ); MS; PhD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. If specific salary information is confidential or if there is more than one employee in job category please use high or low salary Example: General Manager \$15,000 (low) \$25,000 (high) |  |  |  |  |  |
| What is present annual sal ary for each job category? |  |  |  |  |  |



[^7]9. If retirement/ pension is shared by employee and cooperative, what is formula for contribution? Example: Cooperative matches employee's contribution or cooperative pays 75 percent into retirement/ pension plans, cooperative pays percentage according to salary, years of service, etc.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
10. What changes, if any, have occurred in your organization's salary and benefit package in the LAST 5 years? Please give a brief description.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
11. What is principal product sold or marketed?
$\qquad$
12. What were your total sales for 1990 ?
13. If performance is a factor in question 5 , how is it evaluated or measured by the board of directors and how does the CEO, in turn, evaluate performance of key staff members?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Contact Person (Optional)

NAME
PHONE

# U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Cooperative Service <br> P.O. Box 96576 <br> Washington, D.C. 20090-6576 

Agricultural Cooperative Service (ACS) provides research, management, and educational assistance to cooperatives to strengthen the economic position of farmers and other rural residents. It works directly with cooperative leaders and Federal and State agencies to improve organization, leadership, and operation of cooperatives and to give guidance to further development.

The agency (1) helps farmers and other rural residents develop cooperatives to obtain supplies and services at lower cost and to get better prices for products they sell; (2) advises rural residents on developing existing resources through cooperative action to enhance rural living; (3) helps cooperatives improve services and operating efficiency; (4) informs members, directors, employees, and the public on how cooperatives work and benefit their members and their communities; and (5) encourages international cooperative programs.

ACS publishes research and educational materials and issues Farmer Cooperatives magazine. All programs and activities are conducted on a nondiscriminatory basis, without regard to race, creed, color, sex, age, marital status, handicap, or national origin.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 16 miscellaneous responses included volume handled, sales increase, productivity, number of units sold, operation smoothness, staffing quality, service, market share, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ten responses included knowledge of sources, honesty, effectiveness, efficiency, attendance, willing to give little extra, etc.
    ${ }^{3}$ Four responses included mutual discussion, history, continued monitoring, and informal evaluation.

[^1]:    'Margin, net earnings, income, profits, and savings.
    *Varied responses such as agriculture's national average prices paid to farmers, or no standard was given.
    ${ }^{3}$ Members recruited, new contracts, and grower signup.

[^2]:    'Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees. Also, not every respondent answered for all job or salary ranges.
    ${ }^{2}$ As classified by Agricultural Cooperative Service's Statistic and Technical Services Staff.
    ${ }^{3}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives with low responses.

[^3]:    'Margin, net earnings, income, profits, and savings.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Marketing cooperati veswithl ow responses.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C}=$ paid by cooperative; $\mathrm{E}=$ paid by employee; and $\mathrm{S}=$ shared by both.

[^6]:    'In some cases, the number of observations were more than number of cooperatives in specified sales range because there were more than one employee in that job category.

[^7]:    1 If your organization has any written policies on pension/retirement plans/formulas, bonuses/commission, or related compensation/benefit packages you may wish to send a copy of policies.

